GROUNDWATER QUALITY IN GEORGIA FOR 2019

Anthony W. Chumbley and John R. Scroggs

GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIVISION WATERSHED PROTECTION BRANCH WATERSHED PLANNING AND MONITORING PROGRAM

ATLANTA 2021

CIRCULAR 12AG

GROUNDWATER QUALITY IN GEORGIA FOR 2019

Anthony W. Chumbley and John R. Scroggs

The preparation of this report was funded in part through a grant from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency under the provisions of Section 106 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act of 1972, as amended.

GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
Mark Williams, Commissioner

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIVISION Richard E. Dunn, Director

WATERSHED PROTECTION BRANCH James A. Capp, Branch Chief

> ATLANTA 2021

CIRCULAR 12AG

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	<u>1-1</u>
1.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE	1-1
1.2 FACTORS AFFECTING CHEMICAL GROUNDWATER QUALITY	1-2
1.3 HYDROGEOLOGIC PROVINCES OF GEORGIA	1-3
1.3.1 Coastal Plain Province	1-3
1.3.2 Piedmont/Blue Ridge Province	1-5
1.3.3 Valley and Ridge Province	1-5
1.3.4 Appalachian Plateau Province	1-6
1.4 REGIONAL GROUNDWATER PROBLEMS	1-6
CHAPTER 2 GEORGIA GROUNDWATER MONITORING NETWORK	<u>2-1</u>
2.1 MONITORING STATIONS	2-1
2.2 USES AND LIMITATIONS	2-1
2.3 ANALYSES AND DATA RETENTION	2-3
CHAPTER 3 CHEMICAL GROUNDWATER QUALITY IN GEORGIA	<u>3-1</u>
3.1 OVERVIEW	3-1
3.2 CRETACEOUS AQUIFER SYSTEM	3-3
3.2.1 Aquifer System Description	3-3
3.2.2 Field Parameters	3-3
3.2.3 Major Anions, Non-Metals, and Volatile Organic Compounds	3-5
3.2.4 Metals by Inductively-Coupled Plasma Spectrometry (ICP)	3-5
3.2.5 Metals by Inductively-Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICPMS)	3-5

3.3 CLAYTON AQUIFER	3-6
3.3.1 Aquifer Description	3-6
3.3.2 Field Parameters	3-6
3.3.3 Major Anions, Non-Metals, and Volatile Organic Compounds	3-6
3.3.4 Metals by Inductively-Coupled Plasma Spectrometry (ICP)	3-8
3.3.5 Metals by Inductively-Coupled Plasma/Mass Spectrometry (ICPMS)	3-8
3.4 CLAIBORNE AQUIFER	3-8
3.4.1 Aquifer Description	3-8
3.4.2 Field Parameters	3-8
3.4.3 Major Anions, Non-Metals, and Volatile Organic Compounds	3-9
3.4.4 Metals by Inductively-Coupled Plasma Spectrometry (ICP)	3-8
3.4.5 Metals by Inductively-Coupled Plasma/Mass Spectrometry (ICPMS)	3-9
3.5 JACKSONIAN AQUIFER	3-1
3.5.1 Aquifer Description	3-11
3.5.2 Field Parameters	3-11
3.5.3 Major Anions, Non-Metals, and Volatile Organic Compounds	3-11
3.5.4 Metals by Inductively-Coupled Plasma Spectrometry (ICP)	3-13
3.5.5 Metals by Inductively-Coupled Plasma/Mass Spectrometry (ICPMS)	3-13
3.6 FLORIDAN AQUIFER SYSTEM	3-13
3.6.1 Aquifer System Characteristics	3-13
3.6.2 Field Parameters	3-14
3 6 3 Major Anjons, Non-Metals, and Volatile Organic Compounds	3-1

3.6.4 Metals by Inductively-Coupled Plasma Spectrometry (ICP)	3-15
3.6.5 Metals by Inductively-Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICPMS)	3-17
3.7 MIOCENE/SURFICIAL AQUIFER SYSTEM	3-18
3.7.1 Aquifer System Characteristics	3-18
3.7.2 Field Parameters	3-18
3.7.3 Major Anions, Non-Metals, and Volatile Organic Compounds	3-19
3.7.4 Metals by Inductively-Coupled Plasma Spectrometry (ICP)	3-19
3.7.5 Metals by Inductively-Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICPMS)	3-19
3.8. PIEDMONT/BLUE RIDGE AQUIFER SYSTEM	3-21
3.8.1 Aquifer System Characteristics	3-21
3.8.2 Field parameters	3-23
3.8.3 Major Anions, Non-Metals, and Volatile Organic Compounds	3-24
3.8.4 Metals by Inductively-Coupled Plasma Spectrometry (ICP)	3-24
3.8.5 Metals by Inductively-Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICPMS)	3-25
3.9 VALLEY AND RIDGE/APPALACHIAN PLATEAU AQUIFER SYSTEM	3-26
3.9.1 Aquifer System Characteristics	3-26
3.9.2 Field Parameters	3-27
3.9.3 Major Anions, Non-Metals, and Volatile Organic Compounds	3-27
3.9.4 Metals by Inductively-Coupled Plasma Spectrometry (ICP)	3-27
3.9.5 Metals by Inductively-Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICPMS)	3-27

CHAPTER 4 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	4-1
4.1 PHYSICAL PARAMETERS AND pH	4-1
4.1.1 pH	4-1
4.1.2 Conductivity	4-2
4.1.3 Temperature	4-3
4.2 ANIONS, NON-METALS AND VOCS	4-3
4.2.1 Chloride and Fluoride	4-3
4.2.2 Sulfate	4-3
4.2.3 Nitrate/Nitrite	4-4
4.2.4 Phosphorus	4-4
4.2.5 Dissolved Oxygen	4-4
4.2.6 Volatile Organic Compounds	4-5
4.3 ICP METALS	4-5
4.3.1 Aluminum	4-6
4.3.2 Iron and Manganese	4-6
4.3.3 Calcium, Magnesium, Sodium, and Potassium	4-6
4.4 ICPMS METALS	4-7
4.4.1 Chromium and Nickel	4-7
4.4.2 Arsenic, Selenium, Uranium, and Molybdenum	4-7
4.4.3 Copper, Lead, and Zinc	4-8
4.4.4 Barium	4-8
4.5 CONTAMINATION OCCURRENCES	4-8
4.5.1 Primary MCL and Action Level Exceedances	4-9

4.5.2 Secondary MCL Exceedances	4-9
4.5.3 Volatile Organic Compounds	4-10
4.6 GENERAL QUALITY	4-16
5.0 CHAPTER 5 LIST OF REFERENCES	5-1

APPENDIX

Laboratory and Station Data	A-1
LIST OF FIGURES	
Figure 1-1. The Hydrogeologic Provinces of Georgia	1-4
Figure 3-1. The Major Aquifers and Aquifer Systems of the Coastal Plain Province	3-2
Figure 3-2. Locations of Stations Monitoring the Cretaceous Aguifer System	3-4
Figure 3-3. Location of the Stations Monitoring the Clayton Aquifer	3-7
Figure 3-4. Locations of Stations Monitoring the Claiborne Aquifer	3-10
Figure 3-5. Locations of Stations Monitoring the Jacksonian Agulfer	3-12
Figure 3-6. Locations of Stations Monitoring the Floridan Aquifer System	3-16
Figure 3-7. Locations of Stations Monitoring the Miocene/Surficial Aquifer System	3-20
Figure 3-8. Locations of Stations Monitoring the Piedmont/Blue Ridge AquiferSystem	3-22
Figure 3-9. Locations of Stations Monitoring the Valley-and-Ridge/ Appalachian Plateau Aquifer System	3-28
LIST OF TABLES	
Table 2-1. Georgia Groundwater Monitoring Network, Calendar Year 2017	2-2
Table 4-1. Contaminant Exceedances, Calendar Year 2018	4-11
Table 4-2. VOC Detection Incidents, Calendar Year 2018	4-15
Table A-1. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Cretaceous/	Δ-2

Table A-2. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Clayton Stations	A-6
Table A-3. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Claiborne Stations	A-8
Table A-4. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Jacksonian Stations	A-1 0
Table A-5. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Floridan Stations	A-12
Table A-6. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Miocene Stations	A-18
Table A-7. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Pledmont-Blue Ridge Stations	A-20
Table A-8. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Valley-and-Ridge/ Appalachian Plateau Stations	A-28
Table A-9. Analytes, EPA Analytical Methods, and Reporting Limits	A-3 0
Table A-10. Analytes, Primary MCLs, and Secondary MCLs	A-3 3

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

This report, covering the calendar year 2019, Is the thirty-third of the Circular 12 series. The first 19 reports, Circulars 12A through 12S, summarized the chemical quality of groundwater statewide across Georgia and utilized a static array of sampling stations that were sampled periodically, typically on a semiannual, annual, or biennial basis. The next five reports, Circulars 12T through 12X, dealt with specialized chemical groundwater quality issues: water quality in the Coastal region, water quality available to small public water systems, water quality in the Piedmont/Blue Ridge physiographic province, groundwater uranium in Georgia and groundwater arsenic in Georgia. With this report and its predecessors, Circular 12Y, 12Z, 12AA, 12AB, 12AC, 12AD, 12AE and 12AF continuing to monitor the chemical quality of groundwater in Georgia using a static array of periodically sampled stations.

These summaries are among the tools used by the Georgia Environmental Protection Division (EPD) to assess trends in the quality of the State's groundwater resources. EPD is the State organization with regulatory responsibility for maintaining and where possible, improving groundwater quality and availability. EPD has implemented a comprehensive statewide groundwater management policy of anti-degradation (EPD, 1991; 1998). Four components comprise EPD's current groundwater quality assessment program:

- 1. The Georgia Groundwater Monitoring Network: EPD's Watershed Protection Branch, Source Water Assessment Program, took over the Georgia Groundwater Monitoring Network from the Regulatory Support Program when that program disbanded in 2012. The Monitoring Network is designed to evaluate the ambient groundwater quality of eight aquifer systems present in the State of Georgia. The data collected from sampling of the Groundwater Monitoring Network form the basis for this report.
- 2. Water Withdrawal Program (Watershed Protection Branch, Water Supply Section): This program provides data on the quality of groundwater that the residents of Georgia are using.
- Groundwater sampling at environmental facilities such as municipal solid waste landfills, Resource Conservation Recovery Act (RCRA) facilities, and sludge disposal facilities. The primary agencies responsible for monitoring these facilities are EPD's Land Protection and Watershed Protection Branches.

4. The Wellhead Protection Program (WHP), which is designed to protect areas surrounding municipal drinking water wells from contaminants. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) approved Georgia's WHP Plan on September 30, 1992. The WHP Plan became a part of the Georgia Safe Drinking Water Rules, effective July 1, 1993. The protection of public supply wells from contaminants is important not only for maintaining groundwater quality, but also for ensuring that public water supplies meet health standards.

Analyses of water samples collected for the Georgia Groundwater Monitoring Network during the period January 2019 through December 2019 and from previous years form the database for this summary. The Georgia Groundwater Monitoring Network is presently comprised of 138 stations, both wells and springs. Twenty-one of the stations are scheduled for quarterly sampling; the remainder are scheduled to be sampled yearly. Each sample receives laboratory analyses for chloride, sulfate, nitrate/nitrite, total phosphorus, 26 metals, and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Samples from the mineral spring and main well at Indian Springs State Park (stations P12A and P23) also receive analysis for fluoride. Field measurements of pH, conductivity, and temperature are performed on the sample water from each station. Field dissolved oxygen measurements are made on sample water from wells.

During the January 2019 through December 2019 period, Groundwater Monitoring staff collected 203 samples from 126 wells and 12 springs. A review of the data from this period and comparison of these data with those for samples collected for preceding monitoring efforts indicated that groundwater quality at most of the 138 stations has remained good.

1.2 FACTORS AFFECTING CHEMICAL GROUNDWATER QUALITY

The chemical quality of groundwater is the result of complex physical, chemical, and biological processes. Among the more significant controls are the chemical quality of the water entering the groundwater flow system, the reactions of the infiltrating water with the soils and rocks that are encountered, and the effects of the well-and-pump system.

Most water enters the groundwater system in upland recharge areas and in areas of leakage from adjacent geologic units. Water seeps through interconnected pore spaces and fractures in the soils and rocks until discharged to a surface water body (e.g., stream, lake, or ocean). The initial water chemistry, the amount of recharge, and the attenuation capacity of soils have a strong influence on the quality of groundwater in recharge areas. Chemical interactions between the water and the aquifer host rocks have an increasing significance with longer residence times. As a result, groundwater from discharge areas tends to be more highly mineralized than groundwater in recharge areas.

The well-and-pump system can also have a strong influence on the quality of the well water. Well casings, through compositional breakdown, can contribute metals (e.g., iron from steel casings) and organic compounds (e.g., tetrahydrofurans from PVC pipe cement) to the water. Pumps can aerate the water being drawn up and discharged. An improperly constructed or failing well can offer a conduit that allows local pollutants to enter the groundwater flow system

1.3 HYDROGEOLOGIC PROVINCES OF GEORGIA

This report defines three hydrogeologic provinces by their general geologic and hydrologic characteristics (Figure 1-1). These provinces consist of:

- 1. The Coastal Plain Province of south Georgia;
- 2. The Piedmont/Blue Ridge Province, which includes all of north Georgia but the northwestern corner;
- 3. The combined Valley and Ridge and Appalachian Plateau Provinces of northwest Georgia.

1.3.1 Coastal Plain Province

Georgia's Coastal Plain Province generally comprises a wedge of loosely consolidated sediments that gently dip and thicken to the south and southeast. Groundwater in the Coastal Plain flows through interconnected pore space between grains and through solution-enlarged voids in carbonate rock.

The oldest outcropping sedimentary formations (Cretaceous) are exposed along the Fall Line (Figure 1-1), which is the northern limit of the Coastal Plain Province. Successively younger formations occur at the surface to the south and southeast.

The Coastal Plain of Georgia contains several confined and unconfined aquifers. Confined aquifers are those in which the readily permeable layer of aquifer medium is interposed between two layers of poorly permeable material (e.g., clay or shale). If the water pressure in such an aquifer exceeds atmospheric pressure, the aquifer is artesian. Water from precipitation and runoff enters the aquifers and aquifer systems in their updip outcrop areas, where permeable sediments hosting the aquifer are exposed. Water may also enter the aquifers downdip from the recharge areas through leakage from overlying or underlying aquifers. Most Coastal Plain aquifers are unconfined in their updip outcrop areas, but become confined in downdip areas to the south and southeast, where they are overlain by successively younger rock formations. Groundwater flow through confined Coastal Plain aquifers is generally to the south and southeast, in the direction of dip of the sedimentary layers.



Figure 1-1. The Hydrogeologic Provinces of Georgia

The sediments forming the major aquifer systems in the Coastal Plain range in age from Cretaceous to Holocene. Horizontal and vertical changes in the sediment layers that form these aquifer systems determine the thickness and extent of the aquifer systems. Several aquifer systems may be present in a single geographic area forming a vertical "stack".

The Cretaceous and Jacksonian aquifer systems (primarily sands) are a common source of drinking water within a 35-mile wide band that lies adjacent to and south of the Fall Line. However, the aquifer systems do extend downdip of the band. A well has been planned to test the Cretaceous aquifer along the Atlantic Coast for water supply development. Southwestern Georgia relies on three vertically stacked aquifer systems plus the upper part of the Cretaceous aquifer system for drinking water supplies: the Clayton, the Claiborne, and the Floridan aquifer systems. The Miocene/Surficial aquifer system (primarily sands) is the principal shallow aquifer system occupying much of the same broad area occupied by the Floridan aquifer system in central and eastern Georgia. The system is unconfined over most of its inland extent but becomes partly confined both in the coastal area and in the Grady, Thomas, Brooks, and Lowndes County area of South Georgia.

1.3.2 Piedmont/Blue Ridge Province

Though the Piedmont and Blue Ridge Physiographic Provinces differ geologically geomorphologically, the two physiographic provinces share hydrogeological characteristics and thus can be treated as a single hydrogeologic province. A two-part aquifer system characterizes the Piedmont/Blue Ridge Province (Daniel and Harned, 1997). The upper part of the system is the regolith aquifer, composed of saprolite and overlying soils and alluvium. The regolith aguifer is unconfined, and the water resides primarily in intergranular pore spaces (primary porosity). The lower aquifer in the Piedmont/Blue Ridge aquifer system is the bedrock This aquifer is developed in metamorphic and igneous bedrock (mostly Paleozoic and Precambrian in age); the water resides in fractures and, in the case of marbles, solution-enlarged voids (secondary porosity). In contrast to the regolith aquifer, no intergranular (primary) porosity exists in the bedrock aquifer. The bedrock aquifer is semi-confined with the overlying regolith aquifer media and the bedrock itself offering local confinement to the fractures and voids. The regolith aquifer also serves as the reservoir that recharges the bedrock aquifer.

1.3.3 Valley and Ridge Province

Faulted and folded consolidated Paleozoic sedimentary formations characterize the Valley and Ridge Province. The principal porosity present in aquifer media consists of fractures and solution-enlarged volds in the carbonate rocks; intergranular porosity may be important in some places. Locally, groundwater and surface-water systems closely interconnect. Dolostones and limestones of the Knox Group are the principal aquifers where they occur in fold axes at the centers of broad valleys. The greater hydraulic conductivities of the thick carbonate sections in this province permit higher yielding wells than in the Piedmont/Blue Ridge Province.

1.3.4 Appalachian Plateau Province

Rocks in this province consist of consolidated Paleozoic sediments inclusive of the Mississippian and Pennsylvanian. Faulting and folding are less intense than in the Valley and Ridge province, and sediments tend to be flatter lying and more continuous areally. As in the Valley and Ridge Province, secondary porosity is the most important type of porosity. The highly fractured Fort Payne Chert and the Knox Group are major water-bearing units in this province.

Only a small part of this province extends into Georgia, at the State's far northwest comer (Dade County and parts of Chattooga and Walker Counties). Due to its small extent in Georgia and its lack of monitoring stations for the current project, the Appalachian Plateau Province is combined with the Valley and Ridge Province for the purposes of this report.

1.4 REGIONAL GROUNDWATER PROBLEMS

Data from groundwater investigations in Georgia, including those from the Groundwater Monitoring Network, indicate that virtually all of Georgia has shallow groundwater sufficient for domestic supply. Iron, aluminum, and manganese are the only constituents that occur routinely in concentrations exceeding drinking water standards. These metals are mostly naturally occurring and do not pose a health risk. Iron and manganese can cause reddish or yellowish-brown to dark brown or black stains on objects and can give water a bitter metallic taste. Aluminum can cause water to appear cloudy.

In the karstic carbonate terranes of the combined Valley and Ridge/ Appalachian Plateau Province, interconnection between the surface water systems and the groundwater systems can be extensive enough such that waters supplying some wells and springs (e.g., Crawfish Spring and Cedartown Spring) have been deemed under direct surface influence, requiring surface water type treatment if used for public supplies.

In the Piedmont/Blue Ridge Province, water available to wells drilled into bedrock consisting of granitic intrusive rocks, granitic gneisses, or homblende gneiss/amphibolite assemblages occasionally may contain excessive naturally occurring uranium.

Aquifers in the outcrop areas of Cretaceous sediments south of the Fall Line typically yield acidic water that may require treatment. The acidity occurs naturally and results from the inability of the sandy aquifer sediments to neutralize acidic rainwater and from biologically influenced reactions between infiltrating water and solls. Groundwater from the Cretaceous along the coast is typically brackish but may be fresh at some locations.

Nitrate/nitrite concentrations in shallow groundwater from the farm belt in southern Georgia are usually within drinking water standards, but are somewhat higher than levels found in other areas of the State.

Three areas of naturally reduced groundwater quality occur in the Floridan aquifer system. The first is the karstic Dougherty Plain of southwestern Georgia. The second is the Gulf Trough area. The third is in the coastal area of east Georgia.

In the Dougherty Plain, as with the carbonate terranes of northwestern Georgia, surface waters and the contaminants they entrain can directly access the aquifer through sink holes.

The Gulf Trough is a linear geologic feature extending from southwestern Decatur County through northern Effingham County and may represent a filled marine current channel (Huddleston, 1993). Floridan groundwater in and near the trough may be high in total dissolved solids and may contain elevated levels of sulfate, barium, radionuclides, and arsenic (Kellam and Gorday, 1990; Donahue et al., 2013).

In the Coastal area of east Georgia, the influx of water with high dissolved solids content can dramatically raise levels of sodium, calcium, magnesium, sulfate, and chloride. In the Brunswick part of the Coastal area, groundwater withdrawal from the upper permeable zone of the Floridan aguifer system results in the upwelling of groundwater with high dissolved solids content from the deeper parts of the aquifer system (Krause and Clarke, 2001). In the Savannah portion of the Coastal area, heavy pumping in and around Savannah and Hilton Head, South Carolina has caused a cone of depression which has induced seawater to enter the Floridan aquifer system in South Carolina and to flow down-gradient toward Savannah. The seawater has not vet reached Savannah and may not reach Savannah for many years. The seawater enters the aquifer system via breaches in the Miocene confining unit along the bottoms of waterways and sand-filled paleochannels offshore of the Beaufort/Hilton Head area of South Carolina in what is referred to as the Beaufort Arch; where the top of the Floridan aquifer system is closer to the ocean water (Foyle et al., 2001; Krause and Clarke, 2001).

CHAPTER 2 GEORGIA GROUNDWATER MONITORING NETWORK

2.1 MONITORING STATIONS

For the period January 2019 through December 2019, attempts were made to place sampling stations in the Coastal Plain Province's six major aquifer systems, in the Piedmont/Blue Ridge Province, and in the Valley and Ridge/ Appalachian Plateau Province (Table 2-1). Stations are restricted to wells or springs that are for the most part tapping a single aquifer or aquifer system. Attempts were made to have some monitoring stations located in the following critical settings:

- 1. areas of recharge;
- areas of possible pollution or contamination related to hydrogeologic settings (e.g., granitic intrusions, the Dougherty Plain, and the Gulf Trough);
- 3. areas of significant groundwater use.

Most of the monitoring stations are municipal, industrial, and domestic wells that have well construction data.

2.2 USES AND LIMITATIONS

Regular sampling of wells and springs of the Groundwater Monitoring Network permits analysis of groundwater quality with respect to location (spatial trends) and time of sample collection (temporal trends). Spatial trends are useful for assessing the effects of the geologic framework of the aquifer and regional land-use activities on groundwater quality. Temporal trends permit an assessment of the effects of rainfall and drought periods on groundwater quality and quantity. Both trends are useful for the detection of non-point source pollution. Non-point source pollution arises from broad-scale phenomena such as acid rain deposition and application of agricultural chemicals on crop lands.

It should be noted that the data of the Groundwater Monitoring Network represent water quality in only limited areas of Georgia. Monitoring water quality at the 138 sites located throughout Georgia provides an indication of groundwater quality at the locality sampled and at the horizon corresponding to the open interval in the well or to the head of the spring at each station in the Monitoring Network. Caution should be exercised in drawing unqualified conclusions and applying any results reported in this study to groundwaters that are not being monitored.

Table 2-1. Georgia Groundwater Monitoring Network, Calendar Year 2019.			
Aquifer or Aquifer System	Number of Stations Visited (Samples Taken)	Primary Stratigraphic Equivalents	Age of Aquifer Host Rocks
Cretaceous	23 stations (23 samples)	Ripley Formation, Cusseta Sand, Blufftown Formation, Eutaw Formation, Tuscaloosa Formation, Providence Sand, Steel Creek Formation, Gaillard Formation, Pio Nono Formation	Late Cretaceous
Clayton	5 stations (5 sample)	Clayton Formation	Paleocene
Claiborne	3 stations (3 samples)	Claiborne Group	Middle Eocene
Jacksonian	10 stations (10 samples)	Barnwell Group	Late Eocene
Floridan	38 stations (70 samples)	Ocala Group, Suwanee Limestone	Middle Eocene to Early Oligocene
Miocene/Surficial	6 stations (6 samples)	Hawthorne Group, Miccosukee Formation, Cypresshead Formation	Miocene to Recent
Piedmont/Blue Ridge	46 stations (76 samples)	Various igneous and metamorphic complexes	Precambrian and Paleozoic
Valley and Ridge/ Appalachian Plateau	7 stations (10 samples)	Shady Dolomite, Knox Group, Conasauga Group	Paleozoic, mainly Cambrian, Ordovician

Stations of the Groundwater Monitoring Network are intentionally located away from known point sources of pollution. The stations provide baseline data on ambient water quality in Georgia. EPD requires other forms of groundwater monitoring for activities that may result in point source pollution (e.g., landfills, hazardous waste facilities, and land application sites) through its environmental facilities permit programs.

Groundwater quality changes gradually and predictably in the areally extensive aquifer systems of the Coastal Plain Province. The Monitoring Network allows for some definition of the chemical processes occurring in large confined aquifers. Unconfined aquifers in northern Georgia and in the surface recharge areas of southern Georgia are of comparatively small extent and more open to interactions with land use activities. The wide spacing of most monitoring stations does not permit equal characterization of water-quality processes in these settings. The quality of water from monitoring stations drawing from unconfined aquifers represents only the general nature of groundwater in the vicinity of the stations. Groundwater in the recharge areas of the Coastal Plain aquifer systems is one of the future drinking-water resources for down-flow areas. Monitoring stations in these recharge areas, in effect, constitute an early warning system of potential future water quality problems in confined portions of the Coastal Plain aquifer systems.

2.3 ANALYSES AND DATA RETENTION

Analyses are available for 203 water samples collected from 138 stations (126 wells and 12 springs) during the period January 2019 through December 2019. In 1984, the first year of the Groundwater Monitoring Network, EPD staff sampled from 39 wells in the Piedmont/Blue Ridge and Coastal Plain Provinces. Between 1984 and 2004, the network had expanded to include 128 stations situated in all three hydrogeologic provinces, with most of the stations being in the Piedmont and Coastal Plain Provinces, the largest hydrogeologic provinces in Georgia.

Groundwater from all monitoring stations is tested for chloride, sulfate, nitrate/nitrite, total phosphorus, a variety of metals, and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Water from stations P12A and P23 also receive testing for fluoride. Testing for the VOCs was done using the Gas Chromatography / Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS) method (EPA method 524.2). Testing for anions chloride, fluoride and sulfate was done using the Ion Chromatography method (EPA method 300.0). Testing for nitrite / nitrate as total nitrogen was done using the Automated Colorimetry method (EPA method 353.2). Testing for phosphorus was done using the Semi-Automated Colorimetry method (EPA method 365.1). Appendix Table A-9 lists the EPA methods used to test for these analytes along with a reporting limit for each analyte. The results of the chemical tests are reported in this Circular. Before collecting a sample, EPD personnel also observe and record certain field measurements; pH, conductivity, dissolved oxygen, and temperature. This Circular also reports these measurements.

Testing for aluminum, beryllium, calcium, cobalt, iron, potassium, magnesium, manganese, sodium, titanium, and vanadium was undertaken using the inductively

coupled plasma (ICP) method (EPA method 200.7 in Table A-9). This method works well for most of the major metals listed above. This method was also used to test for arsenic, barium, cadmium, chromium, copper, nickel, lead, antimony, selenium, thallium, and zinc. The inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICPMS) method (EPA method 200.8 in Table A-9) was also used to test for the metals mentioned in the previous sentence as well as for molybdenum, silver, tin, and uranium. The ICPMS method generally gives better results for trace metals.

Pursuant to the Georgia Safe Drinking Water Act of 1977, EPD has established Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs) for certain analytes and other parameters, certain of which are included in analyses performed on Groundwater Monitoring samples (EPD, 2009). Primary MCLs pertain to analytes that can adversely affect human health if the maximum concentration for an analyte is exceeded for drinking water. Secondary MCLs pertain to parameters that may give drinking water objectionable, though not health-threatening, properties that may cause persons served by a public water system to cease using the water. Unpleasant taste and the ability to cause stains are examples of such properties. MCLs apply only to treated water offered for public consumption; nevertheless, they constitute useful guidelines for evaluating the quality of untreated (raw) water. Table A-10 in the Appendix lists the Primary and Secondary MCLs for Groundwater Monitoring Network analytes.

Most wells currently on the Monitoring Network have in-place pumps. Using such pumps to purge wells and collect samples reduces the potential for cross-contamination that would attend the use of portable pumps. Pumped wells may affect VOC concentrations of sample water. Two wells, the Miller Ball Park Northeast Well (PA9C) and the Springfield Egypt Road Test Well (MI17), are flowing, which dispenses altogether with pumps and lessens the effects of the pump-well system on sample water.

Sampling procedures are adapted from techniques used by United States Geologic Survey (USGS) and EPA. For wells except PA9C and MI17, EPD personnel purge the wells (EPA recommends removing three to five times the volume of the water column in the well) before collecting a sample to reduce the influence of the well, pump, and plumbing system on water quality. A purge of 15 to 20 minutes is usually sufficient to allow readings of pH, conductivity, temperature, and dissolved oxygen to stabilize and to allow corrosion films on the plumbing to be flushed away.

The apparatus used for monitoring field measurements and collecting samples consists of a garden hose with two branches at its end and a container. One branch conveys water to a container; the other branch allows the water to flow freely. On the container branch, water enters the bottom of the container, flows past the probe of the instrument taking field measurements, and discharges over the top of the container. Such an apparatus minimizes the exposure of the sample water to atmosphere. Once the field measurements have stabilized, sample containers are then filled with water discharging from the end of the free-flowing branch. Sample waters do not pass through a filter before collection. As a rule, trends for field measurements with increasing purge time include a lowering of pH, conductivity and dissolved oxygen. For

shallower wells, the temperature tends to approach the mean atmospheric temperature for the area. For deeper wells the rising temperature due to geothermal heating may become apparent.

Once the sample bottles are filled, they are promptly placed on ice to preserve water quality. EPD personnel transport samples to the laboratory on or before the Friday of the week during which the samples were collected, well before holding time for the samples lapse. Field measurements and analytical results are provided in Tables A-1 through A-8 in the Appendix.

Files at EPD contain records of the field measurements and chemical analyses. Owners of wells or springs receive copies of the laboratory analysis sheets as well as cover letters and laboratory sheet summaries. The cover letters state whether any MCLs were exceeded. The Drinking Water Program's Compliance and Enforcement Unit receives notification of Primary MCL exceedances involving public water supplies.

Station numbering assigns each station a two-part alphanumeric designation, the first part consisting of an alphabetic abbreviation for the aquifer being sampled and the second part consisting of a serial number, sometimes with an alphabetic suffix, the two parts separated by a dash. Some wells were also added from previous sampling and monitoring programs that were previously labeled with a County alphabetic abbreviation instead of an aquifer. In this case the previous identification number was retained for cross reference with previous samples. In order for the groundwater database to be compatible with the Georgia Environmental Monitoring and Assessment System (GOMAS), a Watershed Protection Branch branch-wide water database, the stations were also assigned a three-part alphanumeric designation; the first part being an alphabetic abbreviation "GW" (for groundwater), the second part numeric representing the local river basin and the third part a serial number.

CHAPTER 3 CHEMICAL GROUNDWATER QUALITY IN GEORGIA

3.1 OVERVIEW

Georgia's major aquifer systems are grouped into three hydrogeologic provinces for the purposes of this report: the Coastal Plain Province, the Piedmont/Blue Ridge Province, and the Valley and Ridge/Appalachian Plateau Province.

The Coastal Plain Province comprises six major aquifer systems that are restricted to specific regions and depths within the Province (Figure 3-1). These major aquifer systems commonly incorporate smaller aquifers that can be locally confined. Groundwater Monitoring Network wells in the Coastal Plain aquifer systems are generally located in three settings:

- Recharge (or outcrop) areas that are located in regions that are geologically updip and generally north of confined portions of these aquifer systems;
- 2. Updip, confined areas that are located in regions that are proximal to the recharge areas, yet are confined by overlying geologic formations. These are generally south to southeast from the recharge areas;
- 3. Downdip, confined areas, located to the south or southeast in the deeper, confined portions of the aquifer systems, distal to the recharge areas.

The Piedmont/Blue Ridge Province comprises two regional aquifers, the regolith aquifer and the bedrock aquifer (Daniel and Harned, 1997). The regolith aquifer is composed of saprolite — bedrock that has undergone intense chemical weathering — plus soil and alluvium. The regolith aquifer, highly porous and appreciably permeable, serves as the reservoir that recharges the bedrock. The igneous and metamorphic bedrock exhibits low porosity — nearly all of the porosity is secondary and consists of discontinuous fractures, but can be very permeable as fractures can locally transmit water rapidly. Despite the regional scale of these two aquifers, flow systems are small-scale and localized, in contrast to those of the Coastal Plain.

Paleozoic sedimentary formations characterize the combined Valley and Ridge/Appalachian Plateau Province, although unlike in the Coastal Plain, these sedimentary formations are consolidated and have been subjected to faulting and folding. Also, in contrast to the Coastal Plain Province, the faulting and folding has resulted in the creation of numerous, small-scale localized flow systems in the Valley and Ridge/Appalachian Plateau Province. The major water-bearing units in the province are carbonate rocks. Faulting and fracturing of the carbonates have led to the widespread development of karst features, which significantly enhance porosity and permeability and exert a strong influence on local flow patterns.




Figure 3-1. The Major Aquifers and Aquifer Systems of the Coastal Plain Province (after Davis, 1990).

3.2 CRETACEOUS AQUIFER SYSTEM

3.2.1 Aquifer System Description

The Cretaceous aquifer system is a complexly interconnected group of aquifer subsystems developed in the late Cretaceous sands of the Coastal Plain Province. These sands outcrop in an extensive recharge area immediately south of the Fall Line in west and central Georgia (Fig. 3-2). In east Georgia, overlying Tertiary sediments restrict Cretaceous outcrops to valley bottoms. Five distinct subsystems of the Cretaceous aquifer system, including the Providence aquifer, are recognized west of the Ocmulgee River (Pollard and Vorhis, 1980). These merge into three subsystems to the east (Clarke et al, 1985; Huddlestun and Summerour, 1996). The aquifer thickens southward from the Fall line, where the clays and sands pinch out against crystalline Piedmont rocks, to a column approximately 2,000 feet thick at the southern limits of the main aquifer use area (limit of current utilization, Figure 3-2). Below the limit of utilization some Cretaceous wells have reached depths of 4,000 feet.

The Providence aquifer, a prominent subsystem of the Cretaceous aquifer system in the western Coastal Plain, is developed in sands and coquinoid limestones at the top of the Cretaceous column. The permeable Providence Formation-Clayton Formation interval forms a single aquifer in the updip areas (Long, 1989) and to the east of the Flint River (Clarke et al., 1983). East of the Ocmulgee River, this joint permeable interval is termed the Dublin aquifer (Clarke et al., 1985). This report treats the Providence aquifer as a part of the Cretaceous aquifer system.

EPD used 23 wells to monitor the Cretaceous aquifer system. Reported depths ranged from 128 feet (K7) to 1025 feet (PD6). All except wells MAC1, MAR1 and K6 are local government owned public supply wells. Well MAC1 provides water for a park, well MAR1 produces process water for a sand mining operation and well K6 produces process water for a kaolin mine. All wells are sampled yearly.

3.2.2 Field Parameters

The pHs of sample waters from all 23 wells ranged from 3.47 (K9A) to 9.05 (K15A), with a median of 5.26. As a rule, pHs of waters from the deeper wells are basic (pH > 7.0), while those from shallower wells are acidic (pH < 7.0). Wells PD3 and TAL1 seem to be the exceptions. Their sampling pH of 8.32 (PD3) and 8.41 (TAL1) would be expected for a well about twice their reported depth of 456 feet (PD3) and 300 feet (TAL1). Conductivities are available for all 23 wells and ranged from 17 uS/cm (BUR2) to 447 uS/cm (K15A), with a median of 54 uS/cm. As a rule, the deeper wells gave water with the higher conductivities. The temperatures measured should be viewed as approximations of the temperature of the water in the aquifer. Temperatures over all 23 well samples ranged from 18.00 degrees C (WEB1) to 30.86 degrees C (K15A). Comparing well depths with sample water




Figure 3-2. Locations of Stations Monitoring the Cretaceous Aquifer System.

temperatures shows that the deeper wells generally tend to yield water with higher temperatures. The water temperature can also depend somewhat on the time of year measured, since sample water must traverse a zone influenced by surface temperature on its way from the aquifer to the measurement point. Dissolved oxygen measurements are available for 22 of the 23 wells. Concentrations ranged from 0.23 mg/L (K20) up to 8.32 mg/L (GLA1). Generally, the dissolved oxygen content of groundwater decreases with depth. Dissolved oxygen measurements can suffer from various interferences and processes that can expose the groundwater to air. An inadequately purged well may deliver water that has been in contact with air in the well bore. Pumping a well's water level down near the pump intake can entrain air into the pumped water. Also, pumping the water level in the well below a recharging horizon allows water to "cascade" or fall freely down the well bore and splash, thereby becoming aerated.

3.2.3 Major Anions, Non-Metals, and Volatile Organic Compounds

Testing for chloride, sulfate, combined nitrate/nitrite, total phosphorus, and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) was done for samples from all 23 wells. None of the 23 samples contained detectable chloride. Well WEB1 had detectable VOCs (chloroform 0.54 ug/L and chlorodibromomethane 0.59 ug/L). Sulfate was detected in samples from nine wells, with all concentrations at or below 42 mg/L (MAR1). Nitrate/nitrite was detected in 12 samples and ranged up to 2.5 mg/L (K19). Samples from 11 wells contained detectable phosphorus, with concentrations ranging up to 0.77 mg/L (MAC1).

3.2.4 Metals by Inductively-Coupled Plasma Spectrometry (ICP)

All 23 samples contained detectable sodium, which ranged from 1,000 ug/L (K9A) to 110,000 ug/L (K15A). The current high reporting limit for analyzing potassium accounts for the lack of potassium detections. Five wells gave samples with detectable aluminum ranging up to 840 ug/L (WEB1). Sixteen wells yielded samples containing detectable calcium, and 13 wells gave samples containing detectable iron. Calcium levels ranged from undetected to 64,000 ug/L (WEB1). Iron levels ranged from undetected to 12,000 ug/L (K3), with samples from six wells exceeding the Secondary MCL of 300 ug/L. Seven samples contained detectable magnesium, with a maximum value of 4,100 ug/L (PD6). Nine wells gave samples with detectable manganese. None exceeded the Secondary MCL of 50 ug/L. Beryllium, cobalt, potassium, vanadium, and titanium remained undetected.

3.2.5 Metals by Inductively-Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICPMS)

ICPMS analysis found detectable levels of copper, zinc, selenium, barium, thallium and lead. Barium was detected in 21 of 23 samples with a maximum concentration of 79 ug/L (CHT1). Selenium was detected in one sample at a level of 8.2 ug/L (MAR1) and thallium was detected in one sample at a level of 1.1 ug/L (TAL1). Copper was detected in samples from six wells with the maximum level at 23 ug/L (K12); zinc was detected in samples from 13 wells, with the maximum level

at 44 ug/L (K19); lead was detected in samples from five wells, with the maximum level at 2.7 ug/L (K19). The copper and lead levels fell below their respective action levels of 1,300 ug/L and 15 ug/L and zinc below its secondary MCL of 5,000 ug/L. The highest concentrations for these three metals tend to occur in samples with the lowest pHs. These three metals commonly leach into sample water from plumbing and are not necessarily present naturally.

3.3 CLAYTON AQUIFER

3.3.1 Aquifer System Description

The Clayton aquifer system of southwestern Georgia is developed mainly in the middle limestone unit of the Paleocene Clayton Formation. Limestones and calcareous sands of the Clayton aquifer system crop out in a narrow belt extending from northeastern Clay County to southwestern Schley County (Figure 3-3). Aquifer thickness varies, ranging from about 50 feet in the outcrop area to 265 feet in southeastern Mitchell County (Clarke et al., 1984). Both the Flint River to the east and the Chattahoochee River, to the west are the areas of discharge for the aquifer in its updip extent. Leakage from the underlying Providence aquifer system and from overlying permeable units in the Wilcox Formation confining zone provides significant recharge in downdip areas (Clarke et al., 1984). As mentioned previously, permeable portions of the Clayton and Providence Formations merge to form a single aquifer in the updip area and east of the Ocmulgee River. East of that river these combined permeable zones are called the Dublin aquifer (Clarke et al., 1985).

3.3.2 Field Parameters

EPD sampled five wells annually to monitor the Clayton aquifer system. Wells CT3, CT5A, SUM1 and SUM2 are public supply wells and well CT8 is a private well. These wells vary in depth from 80 feet (CT8) to 367 feet (CT3). The sample waters had a pH range of 4.16 (CT8) to 8.04 (CT3), an electrical conductivity range of 47 uS/cm (CT8) to 255 uS/cm (CT3), a temperature range of 15.34 degrees C (SUM1) to 21.18 degrees C (CT3) and a dissolved oxygen range of 0.73 mg/L (CT3) to 7.42 mg/L (CT8).

3.3.3 Major Anions, Non-Metals, and Volatile Organic Compounds

Testing for chloride, sulfate, combined nitrate/nitrite, total phosphorus, and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) was done for samples from all five wells. No volatile organic compounds were detected in any of the five samples. Sulfate was detected in three samples and ranged from 13 mg/L (CT3 and CT5A) to 85 mg/L (SUM2). Nitrate/nitrite was detected in three samples and ranged from 0.18 mg/L (SUM2) up to 1.6 mg/L (CT8). Phosphorus was detected in two samples: 0.02 mg/L (SUM1) and 0.03 mg/L (CT5A). No samples contained detectable chloride.




Figure 3-3. Location of the Stations Monitoring the Clayton Aquifer.

3.3.4 Metals by Inductively-Coupled Plasma Spectrometry (ICP)

All five samples contained detectable sodium ranging from 1,600 ug/L (CT5A) to 6,700 ug/L (CT3). The current high reporting limit for analyzing potassium accounts for the lack of potassium detections. Two wells gave samples with detectable aluminum with a high concentration of 1,700 ug/L (SUM2). Four wells yielded samples containing detectable calcium at levels ranging from undetected to 46,000 ug/L (CT5A) and three wells gave samples containing detectable iron at levels ranging from undetected to 15,000 ug/L (SUM2). Four samples contained detectable magnesium and ranged from undetected to 8,200 ug/L (SUM2). Four wells gave samples with detectable manganese with one well (SUM2) exceeding the Secondary MCL of 50 ug/L with a detection of 150 ug/L. Beryllium, cobalt, potassium, titanium and vanadium remained undetected.

3.3.5 Metals by Inductively-Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICPMS)

ICPMS analysis found detectable levels of chromium, nickel, copper, zinc, cadmium, barium and lead. Chromium, nickel and cadmium were detected at concentrations of 5.2 ug/L (SUM2), 16 ug/L (SUM2) and 1.2 ug/L (CT5A) respectively. Barium was detected in all five samples with a maximum concentration of 89 ug/L (SUM2). Copper was detected in three samples with the maximum level at 8.8 ug/L (SUM1); zinc was detected in two samples, with the maximum level at 73 ug/L (SUM2); and lead was detected in samples from three wells, with the maximum level at 10 ug/L (SUM2). The copper and lead levels of all three wells fell below their respective action levels of 1,300 ug/L and 15 ug/L.

3.4 CLAIBORNE AQUIFER

3.4.1 Aguifer Description

The Claiborne aquifer is developed primarily in the sandy units in the middle and lower portion of the Middle Eocene Claiborne Group of southwestern Georgia. Claiborne Group sands crop out in a belt extending from northern Early County through western Dooly County. Recharge to the aquifer occurs both as direct infiltration of precipitation in the recharge area and as leakage from the overlying Floridan aquifer system (Hicks et al., 1981; Gorday et al., 1997). The discharge boundaries for the updip portion of the aquifer are the Ocmulgee River to the east and the Chattahoochee River to the west. The aquifer generally thickens to the southeast and is more than 350 feet thick near its downdip limit of utilization (Figure 3-4) (Tuohy, 1984).

The clay-rich upper unit of the Claiborne Group, the Lisbon Formation, acts as a confining layer and separates the Claiborne aquifer from the overlying Floridan aquifer system (McFadden and Perriello, 1983; Long, 1989; Huddlestun and Summerour, 1996). The lower, water-bearing parts of the group had been

correlated with the Tallahatta Formation (e.g., McFadden and Perriello, 1983; Long, 1989: Clarke et al., 1996) or more recently, have been divided into two formations, the upper one termed the Still Branch Sand and the lower one correlated to the Congaree Formation (Huddlestun and Summerour, 1996). East of the Ocmulgee River, permeable Congaree-equivalent sands are included in the Gordon aquifer (Brooks et al., 1985).

Three stations, all in or near the recharge area, were available to monitor the Claiborne aquifer. Wells CL2 and CL4A are municipal public supply wells, and well CL8 supplies water for drinking and other purposes for a State forestry nursery. Well CL2 is 315 feet deep, CL4A is 230 feet deep, and CL8 is not known precisely, but is about 90 feet deep.

3.4.2 Field Parameters

The pH of sample water from all the wells were mildly acidic or basic; CL2 at 7.48, CL4A at 6.58 and CL8 at 5.99. Conductivities registered at 83 uS/cm (CL8), 144 uS/cm (CL4A), and 200 uS/cm (CL2); and temperatures registered at 20.02 degrees C (CL2), 20.10 degrees C (CL4A) and 20.16 degrees C (CL8). Dissolved oxygen contents measured at 3.47 mg/L (CL2) and 0.31 mg/L (CL8). Since well CL4A exposes water to air, there was no measurement for dissolved oxygen for the water at this well.

3.4.3 Major Anions, Non-Metals, and Volatile Organic Compounds

Well CL2 was the only station to give a sample with detectable nitrate/nitrite (0.58 mg/L as nitrogen). A sample from well CL4A contained detectable sulfate at 12 mg/L. Samples from two wells contained detectable phosphorus (CL4A at 0.37 mg/L and CL8 at 0.53 mg/L). None of the samples contained detectable chloride or VOCs.

3.4.4 Metals by Inductively-Coupled Plasma Spectrometry (ICP)

Calcium and sodium were detected in samples from all three wells. The maximum and minimum calcium concentrations were 39,000 ug/L (CL2) and 11,000 ug/L (CL8). The maximum and minimum sodium concentrations were 1,800 ug/L (CL8) and 1,300 ug/L (CL2). Detectable magnesium occurred only in the samples from well CL8 (1,200 ug/L) and CL4A (2,900 ug/L). Wells CL4A and CL8 gave samples with detectable iron at 2,000 ug/L and 490 ug/L respectively and manganese at 53 ug/L and 48 ug/L respectively. The CL4A and CL8 samples both exceeded the iron Secondary MCL of 300 ug/L and the CL4A sample exceeded the manganese Secondary MCL of 50 ug/L respectively.

3.4.5 Metals by Inductively-Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICPMS)

ICPMS analyses found barium in all three samples. The maximum and minimum barium concentrations were 35 ug/L (CL8) and 11 ug/L (CL4A). Analysis found no other trace metals.




Figure 3-4. Locations of Stations Monitoring the Claiborne Aquifer.

3.5 JACKSONIAN AQUIFER

3.5.1 Aquifer Description

The Jacksonian aquifer system (Vincent, 1982) of central and east-central Georgia is developed primarily in sands of the Eocene Barnwell Group, though isolated limestone bodies are locally important. Barnwell Group outcrops extend from Macon and Crawford Counties (Hetrick, 1990) eastward to Burke and Richmond Counties (Hetrick, 1992). Figure 3-5 shows the extent and most significant Jacksonian recharge areas. Aquifer sands form a northern clastic facies of the Barnwell Group; the sands grade southward into less permeable silts and clays of a transition facies (Vincent, 1982). The water-bearing sands are relatively thin, ranging from 10 to 50 feet in thickness. Limestones equivalent to the Barnwell Group form a southern carbonate facies and are included in the Floridan aquifer system. The Savannah River and the Flint River are the eastern and western discharge boundaries for the updip parts of the Jacksonian aquifer system. The Jacksonian aquifer system is equivalent to the Upper Three Runs aquifer, as discussed by Summerour et al. (1994), page 2, and Williams (2007), "General Hydrogeology" table.

Ten wells were available to monitor the Jacksonian aquifer system. Wells J1B, J8A, J9 and J10 are domestic wells, while all the other wells are public supply wells. All are drilled wells from 90 feet (J1B) to 520 feet (J4), where the depth is known, and each is scheduled for annual sampling.

3.5.2 Field Parameters

The pHs for all the wells were near neutral. The pHs range from 7.27 (J6) to 8.05 (J9). Conductivities ranged from 170 uS/cm (J9) to 348 uS/cm (J5). Temperatures ranged from 16.91 degrees C for well J8A to 20.26 degrees C for well J5, with water from the deeper wells registering higher temperatures. Dissolved oxygen concentrations ranged from 1.07 mg/L for well J8A to 8.01 mg/L for well J9 and are usually lowest in the deeper wells.

3.5.3 Major Anions, Non-Metals, and Volatile Organic Compounds

Sample waters from wells J5 and J6 contained detectable sulfate of 13 mg/L and 15 mg/L respectively. Nitrate/nitrite was detected in seven of the ten samples ranging from undetected to 2.6 mg/L as nitrogen (J1B), and all measurements were below the Primary MCL of 10 mg/L as nitrogen. Phosphorus was detected in water from eight of the ten wells and ranged from undetected to 0.17 mg/L (J10). No sample waters contained detectable chloride. The sample water from well J4 had detectable trihalomethanes (disinfectant by-products possibly from leaky check valve) in the following concentrations: bromodichloromethane 0.72 mg/L, chlorodibromomethane 1.1 mg/L and bromoform o.65 mg/L.




Figure 3-5. Locations of Stations Monitoring the Jacksonian Aquifer.

3.5.4 Metals by Inductively-Coupled Plasma Spectrometry (ICP)

All ten wells gave waters with detectable calcium from 34,000 ug/L (J9) to 69,000 ug/L (J8A). Magnesium was detected in seven of the ten wells and ranged from undetected to 2,400 ug/L (J5). Detectable sodium occurred in each well sample and ranged from 1,600 ug/L (J9) to 4,000 ug/L (J1B). Well J8A gave a sample with detectable aluminum at a concentration of 3,600 ug/L. Iron was detected in six of the ten wells and ranged from undetected to 170 ug/L (J6). Wells J5, J8A and JEF1 gave a sample containing 74 ug/L, 15 ug/L and 52 ug/L manganese respectively. The sample from well J5 and JEF1 exceeded the manganese Secondary MCL of 50 ug/L. According to Kellam and Gorday (1990), the high calcium / magnesium ratios for these wells signifies that they derive most of their recharge from local surface water.

3.5.5 Metals by Inductively-Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICPMS)

Nine of the ten wells yielded waters containing detectable barium, with a range from undetected (JEF1) to 70 ug/L (J11). Lead was detected in well J8A at 1.3 ug/L and zinc was detected in three of the ten samples, with the maximum level at 28 ug/L (J8A). The copper and lead levels fell below their respective action levels of 1,300 ug/L and 15 ug/L. Thallium was detected in well J4 at 1.2 ug/L. Analysis found no other trace metals.

3.6 FLORIDAN AQUIFER SYSTEM

3.6.1 Aquifer System Characteristics

The Floridan aquifer system is developed predominantly in Eocene and Oligocene limestones and dolostones that underlie most of the Coastal Plain Province (Figure 3-6). The aquifer is a major source of groundwater for much of its outcrop area and throughout its downdip extent to the south and east.

The upper water-bearing units of the Floridan are the Eocene Ocala Group and the Oligocene Suwanee Limestone (Crews and Huddlestun, 1984). These limestones and dolostones crop out in the Dougherty Plain (a karstic area in southwestern Georgia) and in adjacent areas along strike to the northeast. In parts of Camden and Wayne Counties, the Oligocene unit is absent and the upper portions of the Floridan are restricted to units of Eocene age (Clarke et al., 1990). The lower parts of the Floridan consist mainly of dolomitic limestone of middle and early Eocene age and pelletal, vuggy, dolomitic limestone of Paleocene age, but extend into the late Cretaceous in Glynn County. The lower portions of the Floridan are hydrologically connected with the upper parts but are deeply buried and not widely used except for some municipal and industrial wells in the Savannah area. From its updip limit, defined by clays of the Barnwell Group, the aquifer system thickens to well over 700 feet in coastal Georgia.

A dense limestone facies occupying the Gulf Trough locally limits groundwater quality and availability (Kellam and Gorday, 1990; Applied Coastal Research Laboratory, 2001). The Gulf Trough may be a filled marine-current channel extending across Georgia from southwestern Decatur County through northern Effingham County. The trough, active beginning in the early Eocene, had ceased operating and filled with sediment in the Miocene.

A groundwater divide separates a smaller southwestward flow regime in the Floridan aquifer system in the Dougherty Plain in southwestern Georgia from the larger southeastward flow regime characteristic for the aquifer system under the remaining part of Georgia's Coastal Plain. Rainfall infiltration in outcrop areas and downward leakage from extensive surficial residuum recharge the Dougherty Plain flow system (Hayes et al., 1983). The main body of the Floridan aquifer system, lying to the east, is recharged by leakage from the Jacksonian aquifer and by rainfall infiltration in outcrop areas and in areas where overlying strata are thin. Significant recharge also occurs in the area of Brooks, Echols, Lowndes, Cook and Lanler counties where the Withlacoochee River and numerous sinkholes breach the upper confining units (Krause, 1979).

Monitoring water quality in the Floridan aquifer system was done by using 37 wells and one spring, with 28 scheduled for sampling on a yearly basis and 10 on a quarterly basis. The total number of samples collected was 70. All 37 wells are drilled wells. Thirty-three wells are local-government-owned public supply wells. One well supplies industrial process water, one well is a former USGS test well, one a private residence well and the remaining well supplies water for a coastal marina. Depths range from 174 feet (PA25 municipal well) to 1,211 feet (PA9C test well). The one remaining site is Radium Spring in Albany.

3.6.2 Field Parameters

Measurements of pH are available for all samples from all 38 locations and ranged from 6.91 (PA16) to 8.04 (PA18). The median pH is 7.61 and the mean is 7.56. Conductivities are also available for all the samples from all sites and ranged from 161 uS/cm (PA41A) to 3,104 uS/cm (PA9C) with a median of 315 uS/cm and a mean of 359 uS/cm. Temperatures are available for all sampling events and ranged from 18.52 degrees C for well GLY4 to 25.79 degrees C for well THO2 with a median of 22.66 degrees C and a mean of 22.61 degrees C. The high temperatures reflect the geothermal effect of the deeper wells. Fifty-nine dissolved oxygen measurements are available from 33 wells. The available measurements range from 0.25 mg/L (GLY3) to 5.89 mg/L (PA60) with a median of 0.41 mg/L and a mean of 1.34 mg/L. No measurements were taken at spring PA59 or at wells PA5, PA9C, PA14A, and PA28 because the raw water outlets will not permit the attachment of the usual sampling apparatus and exposes sample water to air.

3.6.3 Major Anions, Non-Metals, and Volatile Organic Compounds

Eleven Floridan wells yielded 14 samples containing detectable chloride. Chloride concentrations ranged from undetected to 770 mg/L (PA9C). measurement for well PA9C is more than 19 times the next highest concentration of 40 mg/L for well PA4. Well PA9C derives water from the lower part of the Floridan aquifer. Twenty-nine samples from 16 wells gave samples containing detectable sulfate. Levels ranged from undetected to 270 mg/L (PA9C). Twenty-one water samples from 10 wells and one spring contained detectable nitrate/nitrite. Concentrations ranged from undetected to 2.4 mg/L as nitrogen (PA59). There is a general tendency for shallower wells to give samples with higher levels of nitrate/nitrite. Nitrate/nitrite levels in the samples from each quarterly sampled well tend, as a rule, to be similar. Phosphorus was detected in 32 samples from 25 wells and one spring. Phosphorus levels ranged up to 0.37 mg/L (PA36) as total Volatile organic compounds (VOCs), consisting entirely of trihalomethane compounds, were detected in three samples from two wells. These compounds typically arise as byproducts from disinfection and their presence can indicate the reflux of treated water back down a well or result from sterilizing well plumbing following maintenance. The occasional nature of trihalomethane detections suggests a maintenance related origin. Radium Spring also yielded a water sample with a VOC detection. This VOC was trichloroethylene detected at a concentration of 0.64 ug/L, which is found in degreasers commonly used in factories and dry cleaners. Springs are subject to surface contaminations more so than deeper wells.

3.6.4 Metals by Inductively-Coupled Plasma Spectrometry (ICP)

ICP analyses found detectable levels of potassium, manganese, iron, calcium, magnesium, aluminum, and sodium. Detectable potassium occurred in only one sample from well (PA9C). Failure to find detectable potassium in other samples results from the insensitivity of the testing procedure, as indicated by the high reporting limit (5,000 ug/L) for the metal. Detectable manganese occurred in 21 samples from twelve wells. The maximum concentration of 110 ug/L occurred in one sample from well PA34A. All four samples from quarterly-sampled well PA34A and samples from annually sampled wells PA18, PA34B, PA34C and PA34D exceeded the Secondary MCL of 50 ug/L. The manganese levels in the samples from each of the quarterly sampled wells vary within a restricted range. Wells giving samples with manganese detections seem clustered in two areas: one in the Cook-Irwin-Lanier County area and the other in the Candler-Emanuel-Jenkins-Telfair-Toombs County area. Iron was detected in 29 samples from 18 wells and one spring. Of these, three samples exceeded the Secondary MCL of 300 ug/L: annual wells PA9C (1,400 ug/L), GLY2 (650 ug/L) and LIB2 (310 ug/L). The iron contents of samples from four quarterly wells (PA29, PA34A and PA36) seemed to vary within restricted ranges. Detectable magnesium was found in all samples from all wells and spring except for those from quarterly well PA25 and annual well PA60. Magnesium concentrations ranged up to 77,000 ug/L (well PA9C), with a mean of 12,849 ug/L and a median of 12,500 ug/L. Wells PA25 and PA60 are




Figure 3-6. Locations of Stations Monitoring the Floridan Aquifer System. Note: Point PA34A represents wells PA34A, PA34B, PA34C, and PA34D 3-16

Floridan recharge area wells. Kellam and Gorday (1990) have noted that Ca/Mg ratios are higher in groundwaters from Floridan recharge areas, as is the case with these wells. Magnesium levels in samples from each quarterly well seem to vary within relatively narrow ranges. Calcium was detected in all samples from the 37 Floridan wells and spring. Concentrations ranged from 20,000 ug/L (THO2) to 110,000 ug/L (PA9C), with a mean of 39,914 ug/L and a median of 35,500 ug/L. For samples from quarterly wells, calcium concentrations seem to fall within a narrow range for each well. Aluminum was detected above the Secondary MCL of 50-200 ug/L in three samples from three wells, PA23A (530 ug/L), PA36 (350 ug/L) and PA44 (210 ug/L). Sodium was also found in all sample waters from all 37 wells and spring and ranged in concentration from 1,800 ug/L (PA27) to 430,000 ug/L (PA9C), with a mean of 16,591 ug/L and a median of 7,550 ug/L. Sodium concentrations generally increase with depth.

3.6.5 Metals by Inductively-Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICPMS)

ICPMS analysis found the following detectable metals in the Floridan samples: nickel, copper, zinc, lead, cadmium, selenium, molybdenum and barium. Well PA23 has given intermittent samples with detectable arsenic before, but none this year. Annual well PA9C gave a sample showing detectable selenium (5.9 ug/L) below the Primary MCL (50 ug/L). Quarterly wells PA28 and PA44 gave one sample each showing detectable cadmium at 0.7 ug/L below the Primary MCL of 5 ug/L. Quarterly well PA28 gave two samples out of four showing detectable nickel (29 ug/L and 42 ug/L) below the Primary MCL (100 ug/L). Two samples from two wells contained detectable copper, one from annual well PA17 and one from quarterly well PA28. Six samples from five wells contained detectable zinc. Annual well PA17 contained detectable lead (2.0 ug/L). Copper and lead detections were below the action levels of 1,300 ug/L for copper and 15 ug/L for lead. The zinc concentration fell below the Secondary MCL of 5,000 ug/L. Twelve samples drawn from quarterly wells PA23A, PA28 and PA56 contained detectable molybdenum. Well PA28 produced the sample with the highest concentration of 20 ug/L. All three wells are in the Gulf Trough area. Barium was detected in all samples from all wells and spring and ranged in concentration from 3.3 ug/L (PA60) to 220 ug/L (PA34D), all below the Primary MCL of 2,000 ug/L. The mean concentration of barium was 88.0 ug/L and the median was 47.0 ug/L. Barium seems to be more abundant in samples from wells of 400 foot to 700-foot depth range.

3.7 MIOCENE/SURFICIAL AQUIFER SYSTEM

3.7.1 Aquifer System Characteristics

The Miocene/Surficial aquifer system is developed in sands of the Miocene Hawthorne Group and of the Pliocene Miccosukee and Cypresshead Formations of the Georgia Coastal Plain (Figure 3-7).

The Hawthorne Group covers most of the Coastal Plain and consists predominantly of sand and clay (Huddlestun, 1988), although carbonate rocks and phosphorites may locally be significant (Huddlestun, 1988; Clarke et al., 1990). Clarke et al., 1990, note that three sequences consisting of a basal dense phosphatic limestone layer, a middle clay layer, and an upper sand layer typify the Miocene section in the coastal area. The sand layers in the two lowermost of the sequences host the lower and upper Brunswick aquifers, which are included in the Miocene/Surficial aquifer system of this report.

The Cypresshead Formation overlies the Hawthorne Group in the Coastal area (from the Atlantic coast to about 45 miles inland) and consists, in updip areas, predominantly of fine to coarse-grained quartz sand and, in downdip areas, interbedded fine sand and clay (Huddlestun, 1988). In the Coastal Plain of far south central and southwestern Georgia, the Miccosukee Formation overlies the Hawthorne Group (Huddlestun, 1988).

The Miccosukee Formation consists predominantly of sand but contains some clay. The characteristic lithology consists of thin-bedded to laminated fine to medium sand with scattered layers or laminae of clay. Also included in the aquifer system are Pleistocene arkosic sands and gravels interbedded with clays and Holocene sands and gravels interbedded with muds. The upper part of the aquifer system is unconfined, whereas the deeper parts of the system may be locally confined and under artesian conditions.

Six annually sampled wells were used to monitor the Miocene/Surficial aquifer system. Wells MI1, MI2A and MI10B are private domestic wells, well WAY1 is a public supply well for a mobile home park and well MI10B is no longer being used as a drinking water source. Well MI16 is used for general purposes at a fire station. Well MI17 originated as a geologic bore hole -- a hole drilled for investigating bedrock -- that became a flowing well. It is currently used both as a domestic water source and as an augmentation well for maintaining a pond. Well MI2A is a bored well. The remainder are drilled wells. Depths, actual or approximate, have been determined for all six wells.

3.7.2 Field Parameters

The pHs of the sample waters from the six wells used to monitor the Miocene/Surficial aquifer system ranged from 4.33 (MI2A) to 7.89 (MI16). Two of the six wells sampled (MI2A and MI10B) produced acidic water. The remaining four

wells gave basic water. The acidic water-yielding wells included two of the shallowest, while the basic water-producing wells included the two deepest. Conductivities ranged from 106 uS/cm (MI10B) to 331 uS/cm (MI16). Water temperatures ranged from 19.20 degrees C (MI17) to 24.42 degrees C (MI10B). Dissolved oxygen data are available for five of the six wells and range from 0.31 mg/L (MI16 and WAY1) to 4.70 mg/L (MI2A). Valid dissolved oxygen measurements cannot be made on well MI17 since the water is exposed to air before sampling.

3.7.3 Major Anions, Non-Metals, and Volatile Organic Compounds

Chloride registered at 22 mg/L in a sample from the bored well MI2A. The sample from the deepest Miocene well (MI16) provided the only sulfate detection at 36 mg/L. Nitrate/nitrite was detected in the sample water from the bored well MI2A at 6.6 mg/L as nitrogen, which lies in the range of likely human influence (≥ 3.1 mg/L as nitrogen) (Madison and Brunett, 1984). Detectable phosphorus was found in samples from three of the six wells. The concentrations ranged from not detected (MI1, MI2A and MI17) to 0.25 mg/L (MI10B). One of the samples contained detectable VOCs in the form of chloroform at 2.1 ug/L (MI2A).

3.7.4 Metals by Inductively-Coupled Plasma Spectrometry (ICP)

Samples from all six wells contained calcium, magnesium, and sodium. Calcium levels ranged from 4,600 ug/L (well MI2A) to 44,000 ug/L (well MI17). Magnesium levels ranged from 1,800 ug/L (well MI17) to 15,000 ug/L (well MI16). Sodium levels ranged from 6,000 ug/L (well MI10B) to 17,000 ug/L (well MI16). Potassium was detected in well MI2A at a concentration of 5,600 ug/L. Iron was detected in the sample from well MI10B at 830 ug/L. This last value far exceeds the Secondary MCL for iron of 300 ug/L. Manganese was found in samples from four wells: MI2A (10 ug/L), MI10B (60 ug/L), MI17 (11 ug/L) and WAY1 (110 ug/L). The 60 ug/L and 110 ug/L levels exceed the Secondary MCL for manganese of 50 ug/L. The high iron and manganese levels in water from drilled well MI10B are the reason the residents ceased using the water for household purposes, i.e., cooking, drinking, and laundering. Aluminum was detected in well MI2A at a concentration of 170 ug/L, above the Secondary MCL range of 50-200 ug/L.

3.7.5 Metals by Inductively-Coupled Plasma/Mass Spectrometry (ICPMS)

ICPMS analyses found detectable copper, zinc, selenium, barium, and lead in the Miocene aquifer samples. All six samples contained detectable barium, which ranged in concentration from 19 ug/L (MI1) to 140 ug/L (MI10B). The sample from drilled well MI10B contained selenium at a level of 13 ug/L. Selenium at detectable levels is rare in Georgia's groundwater. Zinc was detected in samples from well MI1 (23 ug/L), MI2A (15 ug/L), MI10B (35 ug/L), MI16 (35 ug/L) and WAY1 (18 ug/L). Detectable lead occurred in the sample from well MI2A (1.0 ug/L). The sample from well MI2A also contained copper at a level of 8.2 ug/L. The copper, lead, and zinc in the water samples were likely derived from plumbing.




Figure 3-7. Locations of Stations Monitoring the Miocene/Surficial Aquifer System.

None of the metals exceeded applicable action levels (1,300 ug/L for copper and 15 ug/L for lead) or MCLs (5,000 ug/L Secondary for zinc).


3.8 PIEDMONT/BLUE RIDGE AQUIFER SYSTEM

3.8.1 Aquifer System Characteristics

The Piedmont/Blue Ridge aquifer system in Georgia is part of the Piedmont and mountain aquifer system that extends from New Jersey into Alabama (Daniel and Harned, 1997). The system is unconfined or semiconfined and is composed of two major hydrogeologic units: a) regolith and b) fractured igneous and metamorphic bedrock (Heath, 1980; Daniel and Harned, 1997). Figure 3-8 shows the extent of the system in Georgia.

The regolith hydrologic unit is comprised of a mantle of soil, alluvium in and near stream bottoms and underlying saprolite. Saprolite is bedrock that has undergone extensive chemical weathering in place. Downward percolating, typically acidic, groundwater leaches alkali, alkaline earth and certain other divalent metals from micas, feidspars, and other minerals composing the original rock, leaving behind a clay-rich residual material. Textures and structures of the original rock are usually well-preserved, with the saprolite appearing as a highly weathered version of the original rock. The regolith unit is characterized by high mostly primary porosity (35% to 55%) (Daniel and Harned, 1998) and serves as the reservoir that feeds water into the underlying fractured bedrock. Though it can store a great deal of water, saprolite, owing to its clay content, is relatively impermeable. Saprolite grades downward through a transition zone consisting of saprolite and partially weathered bedrock with some fresh bedrock into fresh bedrock.

The fractured bedrock hydrologic unit is developed in igneous and metamorphic rocks. In contrast to the regolith, the porosity in such rocks is almost totally secondary, consisting of fractures and solution-enlarged voids. In the North Carolina Piedmont, Daniel and Harned (1997) found 1% to 3% porosity typical for Fractures consist of faults, breaks in the rock with differential bedrock. displacement between the broken sections, and joints, breaks in the rock with little or no differential displacement (Heath 1980). Fractures tend to be wider and more numerous closer to the top of the bedrock. Daniel and Harned (1997) noted that at a depth of about 600 feet, pressure from the overlying rock column becomes too great and holds fractures shut. Fracturing in schistose rocks consists mainly of a network of fine, hair-line cracks which yield water slowly. Fractures in more massive rocks (e.g. granitic rocks, diabases, gneisses, marbles, quartzites) are mostly open and are subject to conduit flow. Thus, wells intersecting massive-rock fractures are able to yield far larger amounts of water than wells in schistose rocks or even wells in regolith. Fractures can be concentrated along fault zones, shear zones, late-generation fold axes, foliation planes, lithologic contacts, compositional layers, or intrusion boundaries.



General Recharge Area (from O'Connell and Davis, 1991)

Figure 3-8. <u>Locations of Stations Monitoring the Piedmont/Blue Ridge Aquifer System.</u>

Seventy-six samples from 40 wells and six springs were used to monitor water quality in the Piedmont/Blue Ridge aquifer system. Thirty-nine of these wells are drilled. Thirty-two of the 40 wells are public supply wells, and the remaining eight are domestic. Two of the 40 wells are bored (P33 and P43) and are in domestic use. Of the six springs, four (P12A, P44, HAS2 and TOW1) are mineral springs at State Parks, one (BR7) is free flowing beside a County Road and the last (BR5) is a public supply source. The State Park mineral spring P12A and the following wells are scheduled for sampling on a quarterly basis: P21, P23, P25, P32, P33, P34, P35, P37 and BR1B. Well P25 was added to the network on a quarterly basis, and per agreement with the State Park manager an annual filtered sample is to be collected in addition to the quarterly unfiltered ones. The remaining stations are sampled on a yearly basis. Where their depths are known, wells deriving water from the bedrock aquifer range in depth from 80 feet to 705 feet. Domestic bored wells P33 (47 feet deep) and P43 (unknown) are the only wells drawing from the regolith aquifer.

3.8.2 Field Parameters

Seventy-one pH measurements from 45 stations are available for the Piedmont/Blue Ridge aquifer system. The pHs ranged from 4.28 (HAS2) to 7.91 (P32). Twenty-five total samples were basic; all four samples from quarterly spring P12A, three samples from quarterly wells P32 and P35, two samples from quarterly well BR1B and one sample from wells BR9, P20, P21, P24, P30, P33, COU1, COU2, COU3, FAY1 18.8, MAD1, UPS1, and WAS3. The remaining samples were acidic. The mean pH was 6.54 and the median 6.48. Conductivity measurements are available for all 76 samples. Conductivities range from 14 uS/cm (HAS2) to 903 uS/cm (well P32). The mean conductivity was 214 uS/cm and the median was 170 uS/cm. Samples with the higher pHs generally tended to have higher conductivities and vice versa. Temperatures were available for all sampled waters and range from 9.46 degrees C (spring TOW1) to 29.60 degrees C (spring P44). The mean temperature was 17.80 degrees C and the median was 17.77 degrees C. Geothermally elevated temperatures are not readily apparent for the Piedmont/Blue Ridge. Latitude, ground elevation, and season appear to have more influence on the sampling temperature. Dissolved oxygen measurements are available for 62 of the 76 samples from 36 of 46 stations. The samples from quarterly spring P12A and annual springs P44, HAS2, BR5, BR7 and TOW1; and wells P39, COU2, FRA1, BR1B and UNI1 received no dissolved oxygen measurements since exposure of the sample water to air can render the measurement inaccurate. Dissolved oxygen levels ranged from 0.63 mg/L for well P32 to 11.45 mg/L for well P45. The 11.45 mg/L and 9.26 mg/L readings for well P45 lie above and just below respectively of the oxygen saturation level (9.70 mg/L) for the temperature at sampling (16.74 degrees C and 16.58 degrees C respectively). This reading suggests free-falling (cascading) water in the well or entrainment of air at the pump intake due to a low pumping water level and does not reflect the actual oxygen level in the groundwater.

3.8.3 Major Anions oxygen, Non-Metals, and Volatile Organic Compounds

All samples received testing for chloride, sulfate, nitrate/nitrite, total phosphorus, and VOCs. Four samples each from spring P12A and well P23, both located at Indian Springs State Park, received testing for fluoride. Five stations yielded nine samples with detectable chloride: quarterly spring P12A with two samples and quarterly well P37 with all four samples; and annual wells P30, WAS3 and WKE1 with one sample each. Well P37 gave the sample with the highest level at 45 mg/L. Detectable fluoride occurred in all four samples from well P23 at levels between 0.91 mg/L and 1.10 mg/L. Detectable fluoride also occurred in all four samples from quarterly spring P12A at levels ranging from 4.6 mg/L to 4.6 mg/L. This last range of levels exceeds the Primary MCL of 4 mg/L for fluoride; the spring water from this station has consistently done so in the past. Historical fluoride levels have ranged from slightly above 4 mg/L to slightly above 5 mg/L. Sulfate was detected in 33 samples from eight quarterly and seven annual stations, with the highest concentration (450 mg/L) occurring in a sample from quarterly well P32. Quarterly spring P12A and quarterly wells P21, P25, P32, P37 and BR1B each have sulfate values that vary within narrow ranges. Nitrate/nitrite was detected in 54 of 76 samples from 33 stations with high concentrations of 4.30 mg/L, 3.60 mg/L and 3.20 mg/L as nitrogen for wells P33, WKE1 and P30 respectively. These levels are well below the Primary MCL of 10 mg/L as nitrogen, but within the range of likely human influence (> 3.1 mg/L as nitrogen) (Madison and Brunett, 1984). Detectable phosphorus occurred in 48 samples from 32 stations, with the highest concentration of 0.29 mg/L being found for annual well COU2. concentrations vary within narrow ranges within the quartets of samples from quarterly spring P12A and from quarterly wells P21, P23, P25, P33, P34 and P35. Detectable VOCs occurred in samples from wells P43 (chloroform 6.4 ug/L), COU4 (methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE) 1.4 ug/L), FRA1 (toluene 0.81 ug/L) and UPS1 (chloroform 1.3 ug/L). Chloroform, bromodichloromethane and dichloromethane are disinfectant by-products: MTBE and toluene are fuel additives.

3.8.4 Metals by Inductively-Coupled Plasma Spectrometry (ICP)

ICP analysis found detectable aluminum, calcium, iron, potassium, magnesium, manganese, sodium and titanium. No beryllium, cobalt, or vanadium was detected. Calcium was found in all samples except spring HAS2. This spring is located in the FD Roosevelt State Park. The probable explanation for no detectable calcium in this spring is probably because the spring flows through a homogeneous quartzite rock. The highest calcium levels ranging from 130,000 ug/L to 190,000 ug/L occurred in the quarterly samples from well P32. The mean calcium concentration was 25,718 ug/L and the median concentration was 17,000 ug/L. As a rule, calcium levels of samples from each quarterly station tend to cluster closely. Magnesium was detected in 67 samples from 39 stations. Magnesium contents of sample waters ranged from not detected up to 37,000 ug/L (well P30). As with calcium, magnesium levels in samples from each quarterly well generally tend to cluster. All three samples from the quarterly regolith well P33 and samples from annual bedrock wells P38, P43 and BR8; and annual springs BR5,

HAS2 and TOW1 contained no detectable magnesium. Sodium was present in 75 of 76 samples and ranged from not detected in the sample from spring HAS2 to 40,000 ug/L from spring P12A. Sodium levels for each quarterly well have a general tendency to cluster. The mean sodium concentration was 11,358 ug/L and the median was 8,550 ug/L. Detectable potassium was found in all four samples from one station (well P35) in a range of 6,600 ug/L to 7,100 ug/L. The low sensitivity of the current laboratory testing procedure for potassium probably accounts for the apparent scarcity of this metal. Aluminum was detected in ten samples from wells P20, P21, P33, P40, P45, COU2, BR5 and BR9. Well P45 registered the highest level at 550 ug/L. Aluminum levels exceeded the Secondary MCL range of 50-200 ug/L in all ten samples. Iron was detected in 37 samples from 23 wells and two springs, with a range from not detected up to 4,800 ug/L (well HAL1). This concentration exceeds the Secondary MCL for iron of 300 ug/L. Eight other wells produced nine samples with an iron level greater than the Secondary MCL; P33 (350 ug/L and 580 ug/L), P43 (970 ug/L), COU1 (980 ug/L), COU2 (3,100 ug/L), COU3 (2,300 ug/L), FRA1 (770 ug/L), MAD1 (610 ug/L) and WHI1 (1,700 ug/L). Manganese was detected in 47 samples from 22 wells and two springs, with a maximum concentration of 1,000 ug/L (well COU2). Twenty samples from wells P20, P21, P25, P35, P37, COU1, COU2, COU3, COU4, HAL1, HAS1, MAD1 and WAS3 equaled or exceeded the Secondary MCL of 50 ug/L. Titanium was detected in wells P33 (10 ug/L), COU2 (12 ug/L) and BR9 (18 ug/L).

3.8.5 Metals by Inductively-Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICPMS)

ICPMS analysis of water samples detected the following metals: copper. zinc, tin, barium, lead and uranium. None of the following metals were found in detectable amounts: chromium, nickel, arsenic, selenium, molybdenum, silver, cadmium, antimony and thallium. Tin was detected in two samples from spring P12A (31 ug/L) and well P25 (44 ug/L). Copper occurred in 11 samples from 7 wells, with a maximum level of 17 ug/L in the samples from wells P33 and HAL1. All copper detections occurred in mostly acidic waters, with the highest pH for a sample containing detectable copper registering at 7.60 (COU2) and 7.10 (P33), which seem to be an anomaly. No detectable copper occurred in any other neutral or basic waters. Zinc was detected in 25 samples from 19 wells, with the maximum level at 720 ug/L from well FRA1. All zinc detections except for wells P20 (pH 7.33), P24 (pH 7.25), COU2 (pH 7.60), FAY1 18.8 (pH 7.32) and BR9 (pH 7.57) occurred in acidic waters. Lead was detected in 16 samples from 10 wells. All lead detections occurred in acidic water except for wells P21 (pH 7.01) and P33 (pH 7.10). All lead detections occurred with zinc or copper detections except in wells P21 and P25. These three metals commonly leach into sample water from plumbing and are not necessarily present naturally. Barium was a nearly ubiquitous trace metal, being detected in 68 samples from 39 wells and five springs. Four samples from quarterly spring P12A and four samples from quarterly well P32 contained no detectable barium. The maximum sample concentration was 320 ug/L from well COU2. No samples exceeded the Primary MCL of 2,000 ug/L. Uranium was detected in six samples from five wells. Uranium detections were down from previous years due to the reporting limit of the lab going from the previous 1.0

ug/L to 10 ug/L. Uranium concentrations ranged from not detected up to 45.1 ug/L (P34), which exceeded the Primary MCL of 30 ug/L for uranium. Granitic bedrock is present where these wells are drilled and is the most common bedrock type to host uraniferous water.

3.9 VALLEY AND RIDGE/APPALACHIAN PLATEAU AQUIFER SYSTEM

3.9.1 Aquifer System Characteristics

Since Georgia's portion of the Appalachian Plateau Province extends over such a small area of the State, i.e., its northwestern corner, this report includes that province with the Valley and Ridge Province for purposes of discussion. Bedrock in the combined province is sedimentary, comprising limestones, dolostones, shales, siltstones, mudstones, conglomerates and sandstones (Figure 3-9).

Primary porosity in the province's bedrock is low, leaving fractures and solution-enlarged voids as the main water-bearing structures. The bedrock in the province is extensively faulted and folded, conditions that have served to proliferate fracturing and to segment water-bearing strata into numerous local flow systems, in contrast to the expansive regional flow regimes characteristic of the Coastal Plain sediments. Fractures in limestones and dolostones can become much enlarged by dissolution, greatly increasing their ability to store water.

Zones of intense fracturing commonly occur in carbonate bedrock along such structures as fold axes and fault planes and are especially prone to weathering. Such zones of intense fracturing give rise to broad valleys with gently sloping sides and bottoms covered with thick regolith. The carbonate bedrock beneath such valleys presents a voluminous source of typically hard groundwater.

As in the Piedmont/Blue Ridge Province, the regolithic mantle of soil and residuum derived from weathered bedrock blankets much of the Valley and Ridge/Appalachian Plateau Province. The water table lying within the regolithic mantle yields soft water ("freestone" water) sufficient for domestic and light agricultural use (Cressler et al., 1976; 1979). The regolithic mantle also acts as a reservoir, furnishing water to the underlying bedrock, which supplies most of the useful groundwater in the province.

Monitoring water quality in the Valley and Ridge/Appalachian Plateau aquifers made use of five springs and two drilled wells (Figure 3-9). Springs VR2A, VR8, VR10 and VR12 are public supply springs. Spring VR3 is a former public supply spring now serving ornamental purposes in a public park. Well VR1 is a public supply well and well VR6A is an industrial process water source. Spring VR8 is scheduled for quarterly sampling, while all the other stations are sampled on an annual basis. All stations tap carbonate bedrock aquifers.

3.9.2 Field Parameters

Sample water pHs ranged from 6.89 for spring VR10 to 7.77 for spring VR12. Conductivities ranged from 212 uS/cm (spring VR12) to 308 uS/cm (spring VR2A). Dissolved oxygen was measured as 8.26 mg/L for well VR1. Dissolved oxygen measurements were made on spring waters at or downstream of spring heads; however, due to atmospheric exposure at the spring heads, these measurements may not validly represent oxygen levels in the water prior to discharge. The temperature of sample waters from well VR1 was 16.09 degrees C and 18.85 degrees C from well VR6A. For spring waters, contact with the surface environment may have altered actual water temperatures present at the spring heads, since water temperatures were measured downstream from the springheads.

3.9.3 Major Anions, Non-Metals, and Volatile Organic Compounds

Neither chloride nor sulfate was detected in any of the sample waters. Detectable nitrate/nitrite was present in all sample waters and ranged from 0.33 mg/L as nitrogen in spring VR12 to 1.60 mg/L as nitrogen in spring VR10. Phosphorus was detected in one well; well VR6A (0.02 mg/L). The sample from well VR6A was the only one to contain detectable VOCs. The compounds consisted of: 1,1-dichloroethylene at 1.5 ug/L (Primary MCL = 7 ug/L) and tetrachloroethylene at 2.2 ug/L (Primary MCL = 5 ug/L). These compounds, particularly the chlorinated ethylenes, are used primarily as solvents. The owner/user of well VR6A manufactures barium and strontium compounds and anthraquinone.

3.9.4 Metals by Inductively-Coupled Plasma Spectrometry (ICP)

ICP analysis found calcium and magnesium in all samples, sodium in all samples but one and iron in two samples. Iron was detected in the samples from spring VR10 (40 ug/L) and one of the four samples from spring VR8 (30 ug/L) all below the Secondary MCL of 300 ug/L. Calcium levels ranged from 26,000 ug/L from spring VR12 to 42,000 ug/L from spring VR2A. Magnesium levels ranged from 13,000 ug/L from spring VR12 to 18,000 ug/L from well VR1. Sodium levels ranged from undetected from spring VR12 to 9,500 ug/L from well VR6A.

3.9.5 Metals by Inductively-Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICPMS)

ICPMS analysis found zinc and barium. Detectable barium was present in all samples and ranged from 11 ug/L from well VR1 and springs VR8 and VR12 to 460 ug/L from well VR6A. All samples save the one from VR6A have barium levels below 100 ug/L. Well VR6A furnishes process water to a firm that manufactures barium and strontium compounds and is situated in an area that sees the mining and processing of barite. Zinc was detected in one sample from spring VR10 at a level of 18.0 ug/L.




Figure 3-9. Locations of Stations Monitoring the Valley-and-Ridge/Appalachian Plateau Aquifer System.

CHAPTER 4 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

EPD personnel collected 203 water samples from 126 wells and 12 springs on the Groundwater Monitoring Network during the calendar year 2019. The samples were analyzed for VOCs, chloride, sulfate, nitrate/nitrite, total phosphorus, 14 trace metals by ICPMS analysis, and 23 major metals by ICP analysis. Waters from two neighboring stations in the central Piedmont received analyses for fluoride because one of the stations was known to produce water with excessive levels of fluoride. These wells and springs monitor the water quality of eight major aquifers and aquifer systems as considered for this report in Georgia:

Cretaceous/Providence aquifer system,

Clayton aquifer,

Claiborne aquifer,

Jacksonian aquifer

Floridan aquifer system,

Miocene/Recent aquifer system,

Piedmont/Blue Ridge aquifer system,

Valley and Ridge/Appalachian Plateau aquifer system.

4.1 PHYSICAL PARAMETERS AND pH

4.1.1 pH

The Cretaceous/Providence aquifer system, developed in Coastal Plain sands, furnished waters with the overall lowest pHs. This aquifer system featured only five of 23 wells yielding waters with basic pHs.

Not many stations were available to sample wells tapping the Clayton, Claiborne, or Jacksonian aquifers. However, the results are these: 1) Clayton – acidic – as expected for updip portions of the aquifer, downdip portions should be basic; 2) Claiborne – the two acidic wells are fairly shallow and updip in sands; and the basic well is deeper and probably penetrates some limey sand or limestone and is almost neutral; 3) Jacksonian – all ten wells were basic or nearly neutral – basic and neutral waters should be expected from limey sands.

The Floridan aquifer system, as might be expected for carbonate-rock aquifers, gave waters with mildly basic pHs. Waters from the Floridan are the most basic in pH of any in the study.

The Miocene aquifer system is developed in sands. However, these may include shelly detritus in some places (evident at surface excavations near well MI17 and at coastal well MI16). Dissolution of such detritus can raise the pHs of groundwaters in such areas, giving water from these wells a nearly neutral to mildly basic pH. In places where such shelly matter is not available, waters emerge with low pHs, as at well MI2A.

Sample-water pHs in the Piedmont/Blue Ridge are generally mildly acidic, with 25 out of 76 sample measurements exceeding or equaling a pH of 7.00.

The Valley-and-Ridge/Appalachian Plateau sampling stations are all located in the Valley-and-Ridge sector. With carbonate rocks being the major aquifer media, samples from the sector would be expected to be mildly basic, which nine samples taken in the sector were found to be basic with one sample slightly acidic. In the past, some of these samples were found to be slightly acidic. The seeming incidence of past acidic waters was probably due to a larger amount of typically acidic precipitation entering the springs' flow systems than the carbonate bedrock can neutralize.

The very acidic pHs of the sample waters in the updip portions of the Jacksonian, Clayton, Claiborne, and, particularly, the Cretaceous/Providence can face metal plumbing with leaching and corrosion problems. Such waters may contain elevated or excessive, but not naturally occurring, levels of lead, copper, and zinc.

4.1.2 Conductivity

Conductivity in groundwaters from the sandy Cretaceous/ Providence aquifer system seems to be highest for the deeper wells in the Providence sands near the Chattahoochee River. Overall, conductivities are relatively low, in the range of lower tens of microsiemens.

Similar conductivities can be found in waters from the updip portions of the Clayton and Claiborne aquifers, where the media consist mostly of sand. For the Piedmont/Blue Ridge aquifer system, low conductivities could be associated with groundwaters hosted by quartzites or quartz veins. High conductivities may arise in waters in deep flow regimes where waters are long in contact with granitic and other reactive host rocks.

Conductivities of groundwaters in the Floridan and other carbonate rock aquifers are generally higher than those in siliceous rocks. This condition results from the dissolution of carbonate minerals, in cases augmented by dissolution of intergranular sulfate, where dissolved sulfate will also be present in the water.

4.1.3 Temperature

Groundwater temperatures measured under the current sampling procedure are only approximations of the actual groundwater temperature, as some heating can result from the action of pumping and heating or cooling can result from exposure to ambient surface conditions. Nevertheless, groundwaters from shallower wells in the northern part of the State are overall somewhat cooler than those from the southern part; and those from wells much deeper than about 400 to 500 feet show effects from geothermal warming.

4.2 ANIONS, NON-METALS AND VOCS

4.2.1 Chloride and Fluoride

Chloride at currently detectable levels is not too common in ambient groundwaters. Abundance seems to be largest in the deeper Floridan waters, which had detections at 11 out of 38 stations. The Floridan occurrences seem restricted to the Gulf Trough and Coastal areas, with the Coastal area sample from well PA9C giving the study's only Secondary MCL exceedance for chloride. The Miocene/Surficial aquifer had one of six stations of less than 100 feet depth giving water with detectable chloride. Chloride is also relatively abundant in Piedmont/Blue Ridge waters, detected at five out of 46 stations.

Water samples receive testing for fluoride only at Piedmont/Blue Ridge stations P12A, a mineral spring, and well P23, a nearby well. All four samples from spring P12A exceeded the Primary MCL for fluoride. Testing more stations for fluoride could provide a better base level assessment of fluoride contents in the State's ambient groundwaters.

4.2.2 Sulfate

Sulfate is more widespread than chloride. Sulfate is more abundant in deeper waters, with the shallowest occurrence from Piedmont/Blue Ridge mineral spring P12A (0 feet-deep) and bored well P33 (47 feet-deep), along with Cretaceous well MAR1 (150 feet-deep). Sulfate seems more abundant in Floridan sample waters, detectable at 16 out of 38 stations. Sulfate is also abundant in the Piedmont/Blue Ridge, occurring in detectable amounts in waters from 15 of 46 stations. The Cretaceous aquifer yielded samples containing detectable sulfate in nine out of 23 stations. The Clayton aquifer yielded samples containing detectable sulfate in three out of five stations. Jacksonian sample waters yielded two out of ten stations with detectable sulfate. The sample from Piedmont well P32 yielded the study's highest overall sulfate content and a Secondary MCL exceedance. The other Secondary MCL exceedance of sulfate was from Floridan well PA9C. The lowest incidences of detectable sulfate were in the Miocene/Surficial at one of six stations and the Claiborne aquifer with one of three stations.

4.2.3 Nitrate/Nitrite

One hundred nine (109) samples from 75 of the 138 stations sampled for this project contained detectable nitrate/nitrite. At least one sampling station drawing from each of the aquifers and aquifer systems discussed in this report gave a sample with detectable nitrate/nitrite. The combined substances are most widespread among the Valley and Rldge/Appalachian Plateau, where all stations gave samples containing detectable amounts. The combined substances are also widespread in Clayton, Piedmont/Blue Ridge and Floridan waters. The four highest concentrations of nitrate/nitrite (6.6 mg/L well MI2A, 4.3 mg/L well P33, 3.6 mg/L well WKE1 and 3.2 mg/L well P30) occurred at Miocene/Surficial and Piedmont stations. All four samples exceeded the naturally occurring maximum level of 3 mg/L (as nitrogen), a level generally considered to indicate human influence (Madison and Brunett, 1984; Gaskin et al., 2003).

Since nitrate/nitrite, an oxidant, becomes depleted the farther water travels away from oxidizing near-surface environments and into reducing ones, a crude inverse relation exists between the concentration of the combined substances and well depths. The nitrate/nitrite concentrations in Floridan samples illustrate this: the combined substances are undetected in wells deeper than about 400 feet and reach a maximum concentration of 2.4 mg/L in spring PA59 and 1.8 mg/L and 1.9 mg/L in four of four samples from well PA25, 174 feet deep. The situation in the Piedmont/Blue Ridge is less straightforward, as springs P12A and HAS2 lack detectable nitrate/nitrite in all five samples, and well P24 at 705 feet and wells P39 and P20 at 600 feet each gave water with concentrations of 0.30 mg/L, 1.1 mg/L and 0.46 mg/L respectively.

4.2.4 Phosphorus

Analyses determine only total phosphorus; the method used (EPA Method 365.1) for testing cannot determine how the element is bound. There were only three samples from three stations collected for the Claibome, however this aquifer registered the highest mean phosphorus content of 0.30 mg/L. Of the more extensively sampled Piedmont/Blue Ridge and Floridan aquifer systems, the former registered a mean phosphorus content of 0.04 mg/L and the latter a content of 0.02 mg/L. The high phosphorus value for the Floridan was .37 mg/L (well PA36) and the high for the Piedmont/Blue Ridge was 0.29 mg/L (well COU2). The highest value for all the aquifers was in the Floridan aquifer system at a level of 0.37 mg/L detected in the sample from station PA36. However, the Floridan aquifer system still only registered a mean phosphorus content of 0.02 mg/L. The apparent low phosphorus content occurred for the Valley and Ridge/Appalachian Plateau aquifer system with one detection from seven stations.

4.2.5 Dissolved Oxygen

The measurement of dissolved oxygen contents is beset with some difficulties that can cause spurious values: instrument malfunction; aeration of well

water due to cascading or to a pump's entraining air at low pumping water levels; measuring at spring pools or at sampling points that cannot be isolated from the atmosphere. Nevertheless, measured dissolved oxygen generally decreases with well depth.

4.2.6 Volatile Organic Compounds

Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) were found in 12 samples from 10 wells and one spring (see Table 4-2). No station exceeded the trihalomethane Primary of 80 ua/L. The trihalomethanes: chloroform. bromodichloromethane and chlorodibromomethane were the most widely occurring of the VOCs. These compounds result from halogen-bearing disinfectants reacting with organic matter naturally present in the water. Two scenarios accompany the occurrence of the compounds. The first involves disinfection of the well and plumbing components incident to maintenance or repairs, as took place in well P43 and well MI2A. The second scenario involves leaking check valves or foot valves that allow disinfectant-treated water to flow back down the well when pumps are off. as apparently happened with well PA23 in the past and well J4.

Well VR6A and spring PA59 yielded water containing chlorinated ethylene compounds. Sample water from VR6A has also contained detectable chlorinated benzene compounds in the past. The former are used as solvents; in addition to solvent uses, the latter can be used as disinfectants, fumigants, pesticides, and starters for manufacturing other compounds. The owner of well VR6A, Chemical Products Corporation, manufactures barium and strontium compounds.

Well COU4 yielded water containing methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE; 2-methoxy-2-methyl-propane), which has no MCL. An advisory range of 20 ug/L to 40 ug/L has preliminarily been set due to offensive taste and smell. The compound has been added to motor fuels as an oxygenate (promotes cleaner burning). That use is being curtailed due to the greater water solubility of the compound compared to other fuel components thus its heightened ability to contaminate groundwater. Data on the long-term health effects of the compound are sparse.

4.3 ICP METALS

Analysis using inductively coupled plasma spectrometry (ICP) works well for metals that occur in larger concentrations in groundwater samples. Samples in this study were not filtered, so the method measured analytes that occurred in fine suspended matter as well as those occurring as solutes. The laboratory used the technique to test for aluminum, beryllium, calcium, cobalt, iron, potassium, magnesium, manganese, sodium, titanium, and vanadium. No beryllium, cobalt, titanium or vanadium occurred in any samples at detectable levels.

4.3.1 Aluminum

Aluminum, a common naturally occurring metal in the State's groundwater may be present in particulate form or as a solute. Current sampling procedures do not allow separate analyses of particulates and solutes. For its Secondary MCL, aluminum is subject to a range of concentrations from 50 ug/L to 200 ug/L, depending on the ability of a water system to remove the metal from water undergoing treatment. The EPD laboratory's reporting level for the metal of 60 ug/L lies within the Secondary MCL range, therefore placing any sample with detectable aluminum within the MCL range.

The metal appears to be most abundant in water samples with acidic pHs and, as a rule, is more concentrated the higher the acidity. The Miocene/Recent aquifer system, updip portions of the Cretaceous/Providence aquifer system, and updip terrigenous clastic-rich portions of the Clayton aquifer are examples. Aquifers giving mildly basic samples such as the carbonate hosted Floridan aquifer and carbonate portions of the Valley and Ridge/Appalachian Plateau aquifers produce few sample waters containing any detectable aluminum. The metal's abundance in bedrock waters from the Piedmont Blue Ridge aquifer system seems also low. Samples from deeper wells with more strongly basic pHs (approaching 8.00) may contain some detectable aluminum.

4.3.2 Iron and Manganese

Iron and manganese are also two more naturally occurring metals in Georgia's groundwater. Both, like aluminum, may occur as fine particulates or as solutes. Both seem more abundant in acidic waters. Manganese also seems more abundant in waters with low dissolved oxygen contents. Sand units (e.g., the Cretaceous and updip Clayton) and shallower igneous/metamorphic bedrock give waters with the highest iron or manganese concentrations. Waters with the lowest concentrations are drawn from carbonate units (e.g., the Floridan and the carbonates in the Valley and Ridge/Appalachian Plateau province), which also usually have the higher pH waters.

4.3.3 Calcium, Magnesium, Sodium, and Potassium

Calcium is most abundant in sample waters from the Jacksonian aquifer with an average calcium content of 54,800 ug/L for ten samples. Sample waters from the Floridan and the Piedmont/Blue Ridge aquifer systems also contain high calcium levels. The metal could be considered least abundant in samples from the Cretaceous/Providence aquifer system with an average calcium content of 7,178 ug/L for 23 samples.

Magnesium appears most abundant in the Valley and Ridge/Appalachian Plateau aquifer system with a 15,600 ug/L average and least abundant in the Cretaceous/Providence system with a 522 ug/L average.

Detectable sodium is nearly ubiquitous. The metal is most abundant in waters from the Floridan and the Piedmont/Blue Ridge and least so in waters from the more updip Cretaceous.

The testing method used by the EPD laboratory to analyze for potassium is not very sensitive (reporting limit 5,000 ug/L), therefore detectable potassium was found in only six samples from three stations – one sample from one station in the Miocene, one sample from one station in the Floridan and four samples from one station in the Piedmont/Blue Ridge.

Kellam and Gorday (1990) observed that Ca/Mg ratios are highest in the Floridan where recharge areas are closest. Their observation also applies to the Floridan in this study, and a wide range of Ca/Mg ratios from indefinitely large (division by zero or a very small number) to 1.3 exists. However, for carbonate or carbonate-bearing aquifer media in the Valley and Ridge/Appalachian Plateau, the Jacksonian, the Claiborne, the Miocene/Surficial aquifers and aquifer systems the rule does not seem to apply. The ratios seem to cluster around 2.0 for the Valley and Ridge/Appalachian Plateau samples, and to range from 27.4 up to indefinitely large for the Jacksonian. The low number of sampling stations situated in these other aquifers or aquifer systems might cause the differences between Floridan Ca/Mg ratios and ratios for the other aquifers and aquifer systems to be apparent.

4.4 ICPMS METALS

The ICPMS method works well for most trace metals. Sample waters undergoing testing by this method, as with the samples subject to ICP testing, were unfiltered. The EPD laboratory tested for the following trace metals: chromium, nickel, copper, zinc, arsenic, selenium, molybdenum, silver, cadmium, tin, antimony, barium, thallium and lead; uranium testing was performed by the Soil, Plant and Water Analysis Laboratory at the University of Georgia. Silver and antimony remained below detection in all samples. Other than uranium, which exceeded its Primary MCL of 30 ug/L in a sample from Piedmont well P34, no other metals analyzed under the ICPMS method registered any levels above the Primary or Secondary MCLs or action levels.

4.4.1 Chromium and Nickel

Detectable chromium occurred in one sample from one Clayton station and detectable Nickel occurred in one sample from one Clayton station and two samples from one Floridan station. These metals do occur naturally occasionally in the sedimentary rocks of the Floridan and Clayton aquifer systems.

4.4.2 Arsenic, Selenium, Molybdenum and Uranium

Arsenic was not detected in any samples this year but has been detected in the past in the Floridan aquifer system. The Floridan samples came from the Gulf Trough area of Grady County, the scene of other groundwater arsenic detections, some above the Primary MCL (10 ug/L) (Donahue et al., 2012). Selenium was found in samples from the Cretaceous, Miocene and Floridan aquifer systems (wells MAR1, MI10B, PA9C and PA36). The element may accompany uranium in deposits formed from the reduction of oxic groundwaters. Twelve samples from three Floridan stations contained detectable molybdenum. The stations – PA23A, PA28, and PA56 – are all Gulf Trough area wells. Like selenium, molybdenum can be associated with uranium in deposits formed through the reduction of oxic groundwaters (Tumer-Peterson and Hodges, 1986). Uranium appears to be most abundant in the Piedmont/Blue Ridge, with five stations giving six samples containing detectable uranium. Uranium detections were down from previous years due to the reporting limit of the lab going from the previous 1.0 ug/L to 10 ug/L. Uranium minerals, sometimes accompanied by molybdenum and selenium minerals, can precipitate from oxic groundwaters subjected to strong reduction.

4.4.3 Copper, Lead, and Zinc

Copper and lead did not exceed their action level nor zinc its Secondary MCL in any samples. Out of a total of 203 samples taken for the study, 43 samples with pHs below 7.00 contained detectable amounts of at least one of these metals. In contrast, only 22 samples with basic pHs contained detectable amounts of any of these metals. Past experiences where two samples, each drawn from a different spigot, had different copper, zinc, and lead values, suggest that these metals are, at least in part, derived from plumbing. Therefore, the copper, lead, and zinc levels in the samples are not necessarily representative of those in the ambient groundwater.

4.4.4 Barium

A possible effect of the sensitivity of the testing method, barium detections occur in almost every sample. Because, perhaps, nearby barite deposits and associated mining and processing activities greatly increased the barium level in groundwater at station VR6A, a sample from that station has caused the Valley and Ridge/Appalachian Plateau samples to have one of the highest average barium levels along with samples from the Floridan and Miocene/Surficial aquifer systems. Groundwater containing excessive barium (Primary MCL of 2,000 ug/L) has not been a problem since the in-town public well field, drawing from the Floridan at Fitzgerald, Ben Hill County, closed in 1995.

4.5 CONTAMINATION OCCURENCES

According to the Safe Drinking Water Act (Public Law 93-523, section 1401, Dec. 16, 1974) a "contaminant" is any "physical, chemical, biological, or radiological substance in water" – almost anything except water itself. Some contaminants can be innocuous or even beneficial; others can be undesirable or harmful.

Modeled after limits the EPA has established concerning the quality of water offered for public consumption, the State established limits on certain contaminants in water for public use (Table 4-1). Some contaminants may endanger health if present in sufficient concentrations. Two types of limits apply to such contaminants. The first, the Primary MCL, imposes mandatory limits applying to treated water at the point of its production. The second, the action level, sets forth mandatory limits that regulate copper and lead contents and apply to water at the point where the consumer can partake of it.

Secondary MCLs (Table 4-1) are suggested limits established for substances imparting only unpleasant qualities to water. The unpleasant qualities include bad taste and staining ability as with iron and manganese, and cosmetic effects as with silver.

4.5.1 Primary MCL and Action Level Exceedances

One well and one spring produced samples with substances that exceeded Primary MCLs or action levels (Table 4-1). The Piedmont mineral spring P12A gave four samples that exceeded the Primary MCL for fluoride (4 mg/L). The spring has, in the past, regularly given samples that fall in a range from 4 mg/L to a little above 5 mg/L fluoride. The fluoride is almost certainly natural. Uranium exceeded its Primary MCL of 30 ug/L in one sample from Piedmont well P34.

4.5.2 Secondary MCL Exceedances

Substances occurring in excess of Secondary MCLs (Table 4-1) consisted of manganese, aluminum, iron, sulfate, and chloride. Manganese, aluminum, and iron are common naturally occurring metals in Georgia's groundwater.

Manganese equaled or exceeded its MCL in 33 samples from 24 wells. Five of the wells were quarterly (P21, P25, P35, P37 and PA34A); three gave four samples and the other two gave one out of four samples with excessive manganese. Four wells (quarterly well PA34A, and annual wells PA34B, PA34C and PA34D) in and around McRae gave seven samples that exceeded the MCL for manganese.

The Secondary MCL for aluminum is established as a range, varying from 50 ug/L to 200 ug/L. The range is designed to accommodate varying ability of water treatment facilities at removing aluminum from treated water. This is a consequence of a tradeoff between introducing into treated water coagulants, which contain soluble aluminum, versus impaired removal of suspended aluminum-bearing contaminants. The aluminum present in waters covered by this study is naturally occurring rather than introduced. Of additional note, water in shallow wells may experience an increase in suspended matter (turbidity) during prolonged rain events, which may result in an increased aluminum value because of suspended

material. Aluminum excesses, those which exceeded the 50 ug/L level (most groundwater used for public consumption lacks measureable suspended matter) were found in 20 samples from 18 wells.

Iron exceeded its Secondary MCL in 24 samples from 23 wells. Iron is another common naturally occurring contaminant in Georgia's groundwater. One of the wells was quarterly well P33 which had detectable iron in two of the three quarterly samples and MCL exceedances of aluminum in all three quarterly samples. Well P33 is a shallow bored well and sample water from this well is typically murky with suspended particulates.

Well P32 gave four samples with excessive sulfate and well PA9C gave a sample with excessive sulfate and chloride.

4.5.3 Volatile Organic Compounds

Trihalomethanes are the most common of the VOCs detected (Table 4-2). Chloroform, the most commonly detected of the VOCs, was present in six samples from six stations. Chlorodibromomethane was the next most common trihalomethane with four detections from four stations. and Bromodichloromomethane and bromoform each with two detections from two In groundwater, these compounds originate as by-products when halogenous disinfectants react with naturally-occurring organic matter present in the water. The disinfectants are introduced to the water through cleaning processes incident to well maintenance or through leaky check valves or foot valves allowing treated water down a well during normal operation.

One station (VR6A) gave a sample containing detectable tetrachloroethylene and 1,1-dichloroethylene. Well VR6A, an industrial process water well, is in an industrial area and is within about two miles of former and current landfills. The former landfills utilized unlined exhausted barite pits. Cressler et al. (1979) had warned of the danger of using these sorts of pits for waste disposal in the Cartersville area because of the karstic bedrock. However, the source of the VOCs at station VR6A is uncertain.

Well COU4 gave a sample with a detection of MTBE, a fuel additive, and spring PA59 gave a sample with a trichloroethylene detection. Trichloroethylene and 1,2 dichloroethylene are commonly used as solvents or degreasers for metal parts, as dry-cleaning solvents and in the manufacturing of a range of fluorocarbon refrigerants. Well FRA1 yielded a sample containing toluene, which is also used as a solvent and cleaning agent.

i)	Table 4-1. Contaminant Ex	xceedance	s, Calendar Year	2019.
Station	Contaminant	MCL	Type Source	Date Sampled
	Primary MCL and Copper	/Lead Actio	n Level Exceeda	nces
P12A	Fluoride = 4.6 mg/L	4 mg/L	mineral spring	02/21/19
P12A	Fluoride = 4.6 mg/L	4 mg/L	mineral spring	05/15/19
P12A	Fluoride = 4.6 mg/L	4 mg/L	mineral spring	08/07/19
P12A	Fluoride = 4.6 mg/L	4 mg/L	mineral spring	11/07/19
P34	Uranium = 45.1 ug/L	30 ug/L	public well	08/21/19
	Secondary MC	L Exceedar	nces	
COU2	Manganese = 1,000 ug/L	50 ug/L	public well	04/03/19
COU3	Manganese = 350 ug/L	50 ug/L	public well	04/03/19
COU4	Manganese = 310 ug/L	50 ug/L	public well	04/03/19
WAS3	Manganese = 260 ug/L	50 ug/L	public well	02/20/19
HAL1	Manganese = 220 ug/L	50 ug/L	public well	09/11/19
COU1	Manganese = 170 ug/L	50 ug/L	public well	04/03/19
SUM2	Manganese = 150 ug/L	50 ug/L	public well	01/24/19
P35	Manganese = 130 ug/L	50 ug/L	domestic well	04/04/19
P35	Manganese = 130 ug/L	50 ug/L	domestic well	10/10/19
P35	Manganese = 120 ug/L	50 ug/L	domestic well	07/25/19
P35	Manganese = 120 ug/L	50 ug/L	domestic well	01/23/19
HAS1	Manganese = 120 ug/L	50 ug/L	public well	03/21/19
MAD1	Manganese = 120 ug/L	50 ug/L	public well	04/16/19
WAY1	Manganese = 110 ug/L	50 ug/L	public well	08/20/19
PA34A	Manganese = 110 ug/L	50 ug/L	public well	06/18/19
PA34C	Manganese = 100 ug/L	50 ug/L	public well	09/25/19
PA34B	Manganese = 100 ug/L	50 ug/L	public well	06/18/19
PA34A	Manganese = 96 ug/L	50 ug/L	public well	03/20/19

Contaminant	MCL	Type Source	Date Sampled
Secondary MCL	Exceedance	s Continued	
Manganese = 96 ug/L	50 ug/L	public well	12/04/19
Manganese = 92 ug/L	50 ug/L	public well	09/25/19
Manganese = 83 ug/L	50 ug/L	public well	12/04/19
Manganese = 74 ug/L	50 ug/L	public well	01/09/19
Manganese = 71 ug/L	50 ug/L	public well	10/10/19
Manganese = 70 ug/L	50 ug/L	public well	06/19/19
Manganese = 67 ug/L	50 ug/L	public well	05/15/19
Manganese = 62 ug/L	50 ug/L	public well	02/21/19
Manganese = 62 ug/L	50 ug/L	public well	11/07/19
Manganese = 61 ug/L	50 ug/L	public well	08/07/19
Manganese = 60 ug/L	50 ug/L	public well	03/20/19
Manganese = 60 ug/L	50 ug/L	domestic well	06/06/19
Manganese = 53 ug/L	50 ug/L	public well	01/24/19
Manganese = 52 ug/L	50 ug/L	public well	02/21/19
Manganese = 52 ug/L	50 ug/L	public well	01/09/19
Aluminum = 3,600 ug/L	50-200 ug/L	domestic well	02/20/19
Aluminum = 840 ug/L	50-200 ug/L	public well	02/06/19
Aluminum = 550 ug/L	50-200 ug/L	domestic well	10/10/19
Aluminum = 530 ug/L	50-200 ug/L	public well	07/11/19
Aluminum = 430 ug/L	50-200 ug/L	public well	08/07/19
Aluminum = 370 ug/L	50-200 ug/L	public well	01/09/19
Aluminum = 350 ug/L	50-200 ug/L	public well	03/20/19
Aluminum = 330 ug/L	50-200 ug/L	domestic well	04/04/19
Aluminum = 310 ug/L	50-200 ug/L	domestic well	07/25/19
	Secondary MCL Manganese = 96 ug/L Manganese = 92 ug/L Manganese = 83 ug/L Manganese = 74 ug/L Manganese = 71 ug/L Manganese = 70 ug/L Manganese = 67 ug/L Manganese = 62 ug/L Manganese = 62 ug/L Manganese = 60 ug/L Manganese = 60 ug/L Manganese = 60 ug/L Manganese = 53 ug/L Manganese = 52 ug/L Aluminum = 3,600 ug/L Aluminum = 840 ug/L Aluminum = 550 ug/L Aluminum = 530 ug/L Aluminum = 370 ug/L Aluminum = 370 ug/L Aluminum = 350 ug/L Aluminum = 350 ug/L Aluminum = 350 ug/L Aluminum = 350 ug/L	Secondary MCL Exceedance Manganese = 96 ug/L 50 ug/L Manganese = 83 ug/L 50 ug/L Manganese = 74 ug/L 50 ug/L Manganese = 74 ug/L 50 ug/L Manganese = 70 ug/L 50 ug/L Manganese = 67 ug/L 50 ug/L Manganese = 62 ug/L 50 ug/L Manganese = 62 ug/L 50 ug/L Manganese = 60 ug/L 50 ug/L Manganese = 60 ug/L 50 ug/L Manganese = 53 ug/L 50 ug/L Manganese = 52 ug/L 50 ug/L Manganese = 52 ug/L 50 ug/L Aluminum = 3,600 ug/L 50-200 ug/L Aluminum = 840 ug/L 50-200 ug/L Aluminum = 550 ug/L 50-200 ug/L Aluminum = 430 ug/L 50-200 ug/L Aluminum = 370 ug/L 50-200 ug/L Aluminum = 350 ug/L 50-200 ug/L Aluminum = 350 ug/L 50-200 ug/L Aluminum = 350 ug/L 50-200 ug/L	Secondary MCL Exceedances Continued Manganese = 96 ug/L 50 ug/L public well Manganese = 92 ug/L 50 ug/L public well Manganese = 83 ug/L 50 ug/L public well Manganese = 74 ug/L 50 ug/L public well Manganese = 71 ug/L 50 ug/L public well Manganese = 67 ug/L 50 ug/L public well Manganese = 62 ug/L 50 ug/L public well Manganese = 62 ug/L 50 ug/L public well Manganese = 61 ug/L 50 ug/L public well Manganese = 60 ug/L 50 ug/L public well Manganese = 60 ug/L 50 ug/L public well Manganese = 53 ug/L 50 ug/L public well Manganese = 52 ug/L 50 ug/L public well Manganese = 52 ug/L 50 ug/L public well Manganese = 52 ug/L 50 ug/L public well Aluminum = 3,600 ug/L 50-200 ug/L domestic well Aluminum = 840 ug/L 50-200 ug/L public well Aluminum = 430 ug/L 50-200 ug/L

Table 4-1 Continued. Contaminant Exceedances, Calendar Year 2019. **Type Source** Station Contaminant MCL **Date Sampled** Secondary MCL Exceedances Continued COU₂ Aluminum = 260 ug/L 50-200 ug/L public well 04/03/19 BR5 Aluminum = 250 ug/L50-200 ug/L public well 03/06/19 05/14/19 P40 Aluminum = 220 ug/L50-200 ug/L public well **PA44** Aluminum = 210 ug/L50-200 ug/L public well 11/20/19 BR9 Aluminum = 200 ug/L50-200 ug/L domestic well 09/11/19 P33 Aluminum = 180 ug/L50-200 ug/L domestic well 01/23/19 PD3 Aluminum = 180 ug/L 50-200 ug/L public well 02/21/19 MI2A Aluminum = 170 ug/L50-200 ug/L domestic well 06/06/19 P20 Aluminum = 150 ug/L50-200 ug/L public well 06/19/19 **K3** Aluminum = 150 ug/L50-200 ug/L public well 02/07/19 SUM₂ $Iron = 15,000 \, ug/L$ 300 ug/L public well 01/24/19 Iron = 12,000 ug/L **K**3 300 ug/L public well 02/07/19 HAL1 Iron = 4,800 ug/L300 ug/L public well 09/11/19 COU₂ $Iron = 3,100 \, ug/L$ 300 ug/L public well 04/03/19 COU₃ Iron = 2,300 ug/L300 ug/L public well 04/03/19 Iron = 2,000 ug/LCL4A 300 ug/L public well 01/24/19 WHI1 Iron = 1,700 ug/L300 ug/L public well 06/05/19 CHT1 Iron = 1,500 ug/L300 ug/L public well 10/23/19 PA9C former test Iron = 1,400 ug/L300 ug/L 08/20/19 STW1 Iron = 1,300 ug/L300 ug/L public well 10/23/19 MAC1 Iron = 990 ug/L300 ug/L public well 02/06/19 Iron = 980 ug/L300 ug/L COU1 public well 04/03/19 Iron = 970 ug/Ldomestic well P43 300 ug/L 04/18/19 Iron = 830 ug/L300 ug/L domestic well MI10B 06/06/19 FRA1 Iron = 770 ug/L300 ug/L public well 04/16/19

Table 4-1 Continued. Contaminant Exceedances, Calendar Year 2019. Station Contaminant MCL **Date Sampled** Type Source Secondary MCL Exceedances Continued SUM1 Iron = 760 ug/L300 ug/L public well 01/24/19 GLY2 Iron = 650 ug/L300 ug/L public well 10/09/19 **K11A** Iron = 630 ug/L300 ug/L public well 06/05/19 MAD1 Iron = 610 ug/L300 ug/L public well 04/16/19 P33 domestic well Iron = 580 ug/L300 ug/L 07/25/19 STW2 Iron = 540 ug/L300 ug/L public well 07/11/19 CL8 Iron = 490 ug/L300 ug/L public well 01/24/19 P33 Iron = 350 ug/L300 ug/L domestic well 01/23/19 LIB₂ Iron = 310 ug/L300 ug/L public well 05/01/19 P32 Sulfate = 450 mg/L 250 mg/L domestic well 04/04/19 P32 Sulfate = 390 mg/L 250 mg/L domestic well 01/23/19 P32 Sulfate = 380 mg/L 250 mg/L domestic well 10/10/19 P32 domestic well Sulfate = 360 mg/L 250 mg/L 07/25/19 PA9C former test Sulfate = 270 mg/L 250 mg/L 08/20/19 PA9C Chloride = 770 mg/L 250 mg/L former test 08/20/19

(The alphabetic prefix in a station number indicates the aquifer/aquifer system tapped: CL=Claiborne, J=Jacksonian, K=Cretaceous, P=Piedmont/Blue Ridge, PA=Floridan, CT=Clayton, VR=Valley and Ridge, M=Mlocene)

	Table 4-2. VOC Detection Incl	dents, Calenda	ar Year 20	19.
Station	Constituents	Primary MCL	Type Source	Date Sampled
WEB1	chlorodibromomethane = 0.59 ug/L chloroform = 0.54 ug/L	See note (Page A-31)	public	02/06/19
GWN-PA17	chloroform = 1.8 ug/L chlorodibromomethane = 1.8 ug/L bromodichloromethane = 1.7 ug/L bromoform = 1.2 ug/L	See note (Page A-31)	public	02/07/19
GWN-PA57	chlorodibromomethane = 0.55 ug/L	See note (Page A-31)	public	07/10/19
GWN-J4	bromoform = 0.65 ug/L chlorodibromomethane = 1.1 ug/L bromodichloromethane = 0.72 ug/L	See note (Page A-31)	public	01/09/19
GWN-PA57	chloroform = 0.60 ug/L	See note (Page A-31)	public	04/17/19
GWN-P43	chloroform = 6.4 ug/L	See note (Page A-31)	domestic	04/18/19
GWN-MI2A	chloroform = 2.1 ug/L	See note (Page A-31)	domestic	06/06/19
FRA1	toluene = 0.81 ug/L	1,000 ug/L	public	04/16/19
GWN-PA59	trichloroethylene = 0.64 ug/L	5 ug/L	public	07/10/19
GWN-COU4	MTBE = 1.4 ug/L	No MCL	public	04/03/19
GWN-UPS1	chloroform = 1.5 ug/L	See note (Page A-31)	public	03/21/19
GWN-VR6A	1,1 dichloroethylene = 1.5 ug/L	7 ug/L	industrial	03/06/19
CAALA-ALZOV	tetrachloroethylene = 2.2 ug/L	5 ug/L	แนนอนเสเ	03/00/19

4.6 GENERAL QUALITY

A review of the analyses of the water samples collected during calendar year 2019 indicates that the chemical quality of groundwater sampled for most of the Groundwater Monitoring Network stations is quite good.

However, as mentioned in Chapter 1, areas of elevated risk for low-quality groundwater exist:

- 1) Valley and Ridge/Appalachian Plateau Province surface influence;
- 2) Piedmont/Blue Ridge Province in areas excluding the eastern metavolcanic terranes uranium:
- 3) Coastal Plain agricultural areas high nitrate/nitrite;
- 4) Coastal Plain, Dougherty Plain surface influence;
- 5) Coastal Plain, Gulf Trough high total dissolved solids, especially sulfate high radionuclides, high barium, high arsenic;
- 6) Coastal Plain, Atlantic coast area saline water influx.

CHAPTER 5 LIST OF REFERENCES

- Applied Coastal Research Laboratory, Georgia Southern University, 2002, Gulf Trough and Satilla Line Data Analysis, Georgia Geologic Survey Project Report 48, 14 p., 1 pl.
- Brooks, R., Clarke, J.S. and Faye, R.E., 1985, Hydrology of the Gordon Aquifer System of East-Central Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Information Circular 75, 41 p., 2 pl.
- Clarke, J.S., Faye, R.E., and Brooks, R., 1983, Hydrogeology of the Providence Aquifer of Southwest Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Hydrologic Atlas 11, 5 pl.
- Clarke, J.S., Faye, R.E., and Brooks, R., 1984, Hydrogeology of the Clayton Aquifer of Southwest Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Hydrologic Atlas 13, 6 pl. Midville Aquifer Systems of East Central Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Information Circular 74, 62p., 2 pl.
- Clarke, J.S., Brooks, R., and Faye, R.E., 1985, Hydrogeology of the Dublin and Midville Aquifer Systems of East Central Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Information Circular 74, 62 p., 2 pl.
- Clarke, J.S., Hacke, C.M., and Peck, M.F., 1990, Geology and Ground-Water Resources of the Coastal Plain of Georgia: Geologic Survey Bulletin 113, 116 p., 12 pl.
- Clarke, J.S., Falls, W.F., Edwards, L.E., Fredriksen, N.O., Bybell, L.M., Gibson, T.G., Gohn, G.S., and Fleming, F., 1996, Hydrogeologic Data and Aquifer Interconnection in a Multi-Aquifer System in Coastal Plain Sediments Near Millhaven, Screven County, Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Information Circular 99, 49 p., 1 pl.
- Cressler, C.W., Franklin, M.A., and Hester, W.G., 1976, Availability of Water Supplies in Northwest Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Bulletin 91, 140 p.
- Cressler, C.W., Blanchard, Jr., H.E., and Hester, W.G., 1979, Geohydrology of Bartow, Cherokee, and Forsyth Counties, Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Information Circular 50, 45 p., 5 pi.
- Crews, P.A. and Huddlestun, P.F., 1984, Geologic Sections of the Principal Artesian Aquifer System, in Hydrogeologic Evaluation for Underground Injection Control in the Coastal Plain of Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Hydrologic Atlas 10, 41 pl.

- Davis, K.R., Donahue, J.C., Hutcheson, R.H., and Waldrop, D.L., 1989, Most Significant Ground-Water Recharge Areas of Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Hydrologic Atlas 19, 1 pl.
- Davis, K.R., 1990, Water Quality in Georgia for 1988: Georgia Geologic Survey Circular 12E, 99 p.
- Daniel III, C.C., and Harned, D., 1997: Ground-Water Recharge to and Storage in the Regolith-Crystalline Rock Aquifer System, Guilford County, North Carolina: USGS Water Resources Investigations Report 97-4140, 65 p.
- Donahue, J.C., Kibler, S.R., and Chumbley, A.W., 2012, An Investigation of the Occurrence of Uranium in Ground Water in Georgia: Watershed Protection Branch Circular 12W, 105 p.
- Donahue, J.C., Kibler, S.R., and Chumbley, A.W.,2013, An Investigation of the Occurrence of Arsenic in Ground Water in the Gulf Trough Area of Georgia: Watershed Protection Branch Circular 12X, 69 p.
- EPD, 1991, A Ground-Water Management Plan for Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Circular 11 (1991 edition).
- EPD, 1998, A Ground-Water Management Plan for Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Circular 11 (1998 edition).
- EPD, 2009, State of Georgia Environmental Rule 391-3-5: Rules for Safe Drinking Water.
- Foyle, A.M., Henry, V.J., and Alexander, C.R., 2001, The Miocene Aquitard and the Floridan Aquifer of the Georgia/South Carolina Coast: Geophysical Mapping of Potential Seawater Intrusion Sites: Georgia Geologic Survey Bulletin 132, 61 p., 4 pl.
- Gaskin, J., Vendrell, P. F., and Atiles, J. H., 2003, Your Household Water Quality: Nitrate in Water. University of Georgia Cooperative Extension Service Circular 858-5, 1 p.
- Gorday, L.L., Lineback, J.A., Long, A.F., and McLemore, W.H., 1997, A Digital Model Approach to Water-Supply Management of the Claiborn, Clayton, and Providence Aquifers in Southwestern Georgia, Georgia Geologic Survey Bulletin 118, 31 p., Appendix, Supplements and II.
- Hayes, L.R., Maslia, M.L., and Meeks, W.C, 1983, Hydrology and Model Evaluation of the Principal Artesian Aquifer, Dougherty Plain, Southwest Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Bulletin 97, 93 p.

- Heath, R.C., 1980, Basic Elements of Ground-Water Hydrology with Reference to Conditions in North Carolina: USGS Open File Report 80-44, 87 p.
- Hetrick, J.H., 1990, Geologic Atlas of the Fort Valley Area: Georgia Geologic Survey Geologic Atlas 7, 2 pl.
- Hetrick, J.H., 1992, Geologic Atlas of the Wrens-Augusta Area: Georgia Geologic Survey Geologic Atlas 8, 3 pl.
- Hicks, D.W., Krause, Clarke, J.S., 1981, Geohydrology of the Albany Area, Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Information Circular 57, 31 p.
- Huddlestun, 1988, A Revision of the Lithostratigraphic Units of the Coastal Plain of Georgia: The Miocene through Holocene, Georgia Geologic Survey Bulletin 104, 162 p., 3 pl.
- Huddlestun, P.F., 1993, A Revision of the Lithostratigraphic Units of the Coastal Plain of Georgia: the Oligocene: Georgia Geologic Survey Bulletin 104, 152 p. 5 pl.
- Huddlestun, P.F., and Summerour, J., H., 1996, The Lithostratigraphic Framework of the Uppermost Cretaceous and Lower Tertiary of Burke County, Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Bulletin 127, 94 p., 1 pl.
- Kellam, M.F., and Gorday, L.L., 1990, Hydrogeology of the Gulf Trough-Embayment Area, Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Bulletin 94, 74 p., 15 pl.
- Krause, R.E., 1979, Geohydrology of Brooks, Lowndes, and Western Echols Counties, Georgia: United States Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations 78-117, 48 p., 8 pl.
- Krause, R.E., and Clarke, J.S., 2001, Coastal Ground Water at Risk Saltwater Contamination at Brunswick, Georgia, and Hilton Head Island, South Carolina: United States Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 01-4107, 1 pl.
- Long, A.F., 1989, Hydrogeology of the Clayton and Claiborne Aquifer Systems: Georgia Geologic Survey Hydrologic Atlas 19, 6 pl.
- McFadden, S.S., and Perriello, P.D., 1983, Hydrogeology of the Clayton and Claiborne Aquifers of Southwestern Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Information Circular 55, 59 p., 2 pl.

- Madison, R.J., and Brunett, J.O., 1984, Overview of the Occurrence of Nitrate in Ground Water of the United States in National Water Summary 1984 Hydrologic Events, Selected Water-Quality Trends, and Ground-Water Resources: United States Geological Survey Water Supply Paper 2275, p. 93-105.
- O'Connell, D.B., and Davis, K.R., 1991, Ground-Water Quality in Georgia for 1989: Georgia Geologic Survey Circular 12F, 115 p.
- Turner-Peterson, C.E. and Hodges, C. A.,1986, Descriptive Model of Sandstone U, Model 30c, in Mineral Deposit Models: Deposits in Clastic Sedimentary Rocks, Cox, D.P. and Singer, D. E., eds., USGS Bulletin 1693, on-line pdf. publication.
- Pollard, L.D., and Vorhis, R.C., 1980, The Geology of the Cretaceous Aquifer System in Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Hydrologic Atlas 3, 5 pl.
- Summerour, J.H., Shapiro, E.A., Lineback, J.A., Huddlestun, P.F., and Hughes, A.C., 1994, An Investigation of Tritium in the Gordon and Other Aquifers in Burke County, Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Information Circular 95, 93 p.
- Tuohy, M. A., 1984, Isopach Map of the Claiborne Aquifer, in Hydrogeologic Evaluation for Underground Injection Control in the Coastal Plain of Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Hydrologic Atlas 10, 41 pl.
- Vincent, R.H., 1982, Geohydrology of the Jacksonian Aquifer in Central and East Central Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Hydrologic Atlas 8, 3 pl.
- Williams, L.J., 2007, Hydrology and Potentiometric Surface of the Dublin and Midville Aquifer Systems in Richmond County, Georgia, January 2007: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Map 2983, 1 sheet.

LABORATORY AND STATION DATA

Tables A-1 through A-8 list the values for both laboratory parameters and field parameters for each well or spring. The following abbreviations are used on these tables:

and Units of Measure		
= chloride	ND	= not detected
= conductivity	NG	= not given
= dissoived oxygen	NOx	= nitrate/nitrite
= fluoride	Р	= total phosphorus
inductively coupled plasma (emission) spectroscopy	SO4	= sulfate
inductively coupled plasma/mass spectrometry	Temp.	= temperature
= milligrams per liter	ug/L	= micrograms per liter
milligrams per liter as nitrogen	uS/cm	= microSiemenses per centimeter
= not available; not analyzed	VOC	volatile organic compound
	= chloride = conductivity = dissolved oxygen = fluoride = inductively coupled plasma (emission) spectroscopy = inductively coupled plasma/mass spectrometry = milligrams per liter = milligrams per liter as nitrogen = not available; not	= chloride = conductivity NG = dissolved oxygen Fluoride = inductively coupled plasma (emission) spectroscopy = inductively coupled plasma/mass spectrometry = milligrams per liter = milligrams per liter as nitrogen = not available; not ND NG ND ND ND ND ND ND NG NG NOX P P Familiar Formation ND NG NOX P P Formation NOX P P Formation NOX P P Formation NOX P P Formation ND NG NOX P P Formation ND NOX P P Formation ND NOX P P Formation NOX P P Formation NOX P P Formation ND NOX P P Formation NOX P Form

Volatile Organic Compounds

1,1dce bdcm dbcm	= 1,1-dichloroethylene= bromodichloromethane= dibromochloromethane	mdcb odcb pdcb	m-dichlorobenzeneo-dichlorobenzenep-dichlorobenzene
рсе	= tetrachloroethylene	tbm	= bromoform
cb	= chlorobenzene	tem	= chloroform
MTBE	=methyl tert-butyl ether	tce	=trichloroethylene
TTHM	=total trihalomethanes	dcm	=dichloromethane

Table A-9 gives the reporting limits for the various analytes. The abbreviations used for Tables A-1 through A-8 also apply to Table A-9.

Table A-1. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Cretaceous/Providence Stations.
Part A: Station Identification, Date of Sampling, Field Parameters, VOCs, Anions, and Non-Metals.

Station No. County	Well Name	Well Depth feet	Well Depth Cashg Depth Well Stzs feet feet Inches	Well Stza	Della	£	comd.	dies O2	Temp C	Vocs	<u>ة</u> و	8 Tal	F fee	N N	d the
GWN-KZA Wildinson	frwhaton Well #4	400	9N	Ñ	5/1/2019	5.12	5	2.00	19.19	Ş	9	=	ž	0.57	2
GWN-K3 Washington	Sendersville Well #7B	607	9	Ű.	2/7/2019	5.65	118	3.19	19.57	QN	2	€ī	ş	0.05	0.55
GWN-K6 Twiggs	Kalvin Well #8	400	9 W	NG	6/5/2019	5.50	45	2.99	20.31	9	2	2	≨	0.02	2
GWN-K7 Jones	Jones County #4	128	S Y	S N	8/5/2019	628	ਲ	6.17	18.07	Q.	2	2	ş	0.70	2
GWNHGA	Marsielvie wei #2	250	ŊĠ	N G	1/8/2019	3.47	47	\$	18.57	QN	S	Ŧ	ş	Q	Q
GWN+K10B Peach	Fort Valley Well #6	000	ON.	S N	10/23/2018	4.49	8	8.30	18.56	ð	2	2	§	0.77	9
GWN-K11A Houston	Werner Robins Well #2	540	NG	S N	6/5/2019	494	8	6.61	19.82	Š	2	Ş	≨	0.99	Ş
GWN-K12 Houston	Pernytholiday Inn Well	999	NG	S S	1/9/2019	3.56	5	0.62	19.51	Q	2	12	≨	9	2
GWN-K15A Quitment	Georgatown Well #3	Ö	NG NG	S N	11/19/2019	9.05	447	0.24	30.86	Q	2	2	≨	2	0.07
GWN-K19 Rickmond	Heptzbah/Aurphy Street Well	484	9N	Ď.	8/21/2019	4.58	8	6.49	19.98	2	2	9	¥	25	2
GWN-K20 Susmber	Plains Well #7	1000	9 N	Š	1242019	7.57	118	0.23	28.50	Q	2	2	≨	9	0.18
GWN-BUR2 Burtie	Kayaville #1	SN SN	NG	S S	8/21/2019	4.78	4	8.46	20.32	QN	2	9	≨	0.00	Q
GWN-CHT1 Chaffahoochee	Camp Darby Well	Ö	NG.	2	10/23/2019	5.59	25	440	22.40	2	Q	9	¥	2	0.05
GWN-GLA1 Glascock	Michel #3	NG	S S	9	27772019	4.12	8	8.32	19.97	QN	2	2	≨	22	9
GWN-MAC:	Whitewater Crock PK #1	SN S	SN SN	9	2/6/2019	5.22	8	0.34	19.82	2	Q	2	§	9	0.77
GWN-MAR1 Marion	Unimin #1	150	SQ.	NG	2/8/2019	5.01	133	290	20.15	Q	2	3	2	0.21	Q
GWN-STW1 Stawart	Louvale Community Well	NG	NG S	9 N	10/23/2019	4.47	æ	030	18.66	Q	N	Q	≨	2	0.02

Table A-1. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Cretaceous Stations. Part B: Metals.

Station No.	0	ğ	Chro- Mak- Cop-	Zinc Arse	Asser	摄	App		1	F	And. Bee	Book and Th			ъ		- 14	- Н	ŀ	- 1	- [- 1	ı	- 1	
County	milm	10 P	6 100		o lon		denum	100					-					_	_		Stum Stum	-	Sodim	_	Vena Plum Plum
GWN-K2A Wilkingon	9	£	4		£	£	9	4	28	•	9	٠,	4	ND NA	2	Q Q	000°9 Q	ON OS	130 130	<u>8</u>	No.	ş E	upf. 2,500	₫	g Q
GWN-K3 Washington	8	2	5	12	2	£	2	9	Q	9	S S	z g	<u>R</u>	≨	A 150	Q.	D 18,000	QN 8	12,000	Q Q	1,600	4	2,500	Q	9
GWN-K6 Twiggs	Ø	2	2	4	2	2	2	2	Q	9	ē.	17 N	<u>Z</u>	S S	QN e	QN	0 4,200	QN 98	110	8	Š	2	2,900	2	<u>R</u>
GWNHR7	2	S	2	£	2	2	9	9	<u>8</u>	2	S 5	2	2	Ø S	2	Q.	2,600	8 O	5	2	9	*	2,100	S	Q
GWN-K9A Macon	2	Q	₹	Ş	2	2	2	9	₽	5	N S	3.3 N	Ö.	1.5 NA	A 310	ON O	Q.	Ñ.	250	S	Q	8	1,000	2	2
GWN-K10B Pench	2	9	2	2	8	9	2	2	₽	9	S.	S.5	2	ND NA	2	<u>Q</u>	2	<u>R</u>	2	ð	8	Q	1,300	2	2
GWN-K11A Houston	2	2	60	32	2	9	Q	2	9	2	<u>6</u>	8.9 N	8 -1	1.4 NA	QN QN	Q.	2	Q.	0230	S	Q	ĸ	2,000	2	Q
GWN-K12 Houston	g	2	ន	ક	Q	Ð	2	Š	2	. z	ND 6.7		Ö	1.9 NA	370		₽	₩ Q	150	2	Š	11	1,100	2	₽
GWN-K15A Quftman	2	2	₽	<u>N</u>	9	9	Q	9	<u>Q</u>	Z ₽	QN QN		Q Q	ND NA	2	S C	1,000	QN Q	2	2	2	2	110,000	9	9
GWNHK19 Richmond	2	2	6.2	4	2	2	Q	9	2	2 2	ND 21		ND 27	¥.	Ð	Q	2,700	ON OD	2	P	1,500	10	3,300	2	Ş
GWN-K20 Sumher	Ş	€	2	2	2	9	2	2	₽	Q Q	QN QN		<u>Q</u>	Ā	9	<u>N</u>	3,000	ON O	<u>Q</u>	9	9	2	23,000	2	S
GWN-BURZ Burke	2	2	2	9	2	Q	2	2	2	2 9	7.7 ON		N 1.1	N.	<u>N</u>	S	2	2	12	Q	2	Š	1,400	9	2
GWN-CHT1 Chattahoochee	£	2	9	52	Q	ğ	<u>R</u>	2	9	S S	<u>2</u>		QN QN	¥ o	S .	2	3,200	Q.	1,500	2	1,000	8	1,400	2	2
GWN-GLA1 Glescock	9	9	ري وي	4	2	9	2	9	9	8 8	ND 12	N O	2	¥	Đ.	2	1,200	QN O	Q	Ð	S	Q	4,400	2	9
GWN-MAC1 Million	2	2	9	9	2	Q	Q	2	Q.	Z	ND 48	₽	2	¥	2	2	6,300	2	006	S	9	1	4,500	9	2
GWN-MAR1 Marlon	2	9	2	9	2	8.2	Q.	9	9	2 2	ND 3.3	S ND	9	¥	2	2	2	Š	2	Š	Q	2	27,000	₽	£
GWN-STW1 Stawart	Q	Q		8	Q	2	9	2	9	QN QN	96 Q	Q.	9	§ O	Q.	QN	Ž	R	1,300	Ş	2	91	1,500	2	2

Table A-1. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Cretaceous/Providence Stations.
Part A: Station Identification, Date of Sampling, Field Parameters, VOCs, Anions, and Non-Metals.

Station No. County	Well Name	Well Depth feet	Well Depth Cashing Depth Well Size feet feet Inches	Well Siza Inches	Date	Ŧ	cond.	dies 02 mg/t	Temp	VOCS	D W	SO4	F	NOK HIS IN	mg/L
GWN-TAL1 Talbot	Junction City Well #2	300	S S	SN SN	10/23/2019	8.41	208	222	21.46	QV	9	8	≨	Q	₽
GWN-PD2A Early	Preston Wall #4	202	Ð	S NG	1/24/2019	5.43	4	7.85	19.30	QN	2	2	§	*	0.03
GWN-PD3 Clay	Fort Gaines Well #2	456	NG	NG	2/21/2019	8.32	383	0.30	21.57	Q	Q	2	ş	Ð	0.02
GWN-PD8 Early	Blatcely Well #4	1025	9N	NG G	6/16/2019	8.22	338	0.28	25.74	QN	Q	5	≨	2	0.02
GWN-STW2 Stewart	Providence Cenyon SP Well	S R	9 N	9	7/11/2019	6.65	157	44.	21.37	9	9	£	≨	2	0.15
GWN-WEB1 Webster	Weston Well #1	NG	SN N	NG	2/6/2019	0.90	310	2.89	18.00	chloroform=0.54 chlorodbromome@sens=0.59	2	2	≨	0.37	0.05
	Aquifor Low Range Aquifor High Range Aquifor Median (ND-0) Aquifor Mean (ND-0)					3.47 9.05 5.28 6.75	47 47 121	0.23 8.32 1.34 3.06	18.00 30.86 19.97 20.98		0000	0401		0 2.5 0.02 0.43	0.08

Table A-1. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Cretaceous Stations. Part B: Metals.

Station No.	6 E	≱ ₹	8 3	24	Chro- Nicke Cap- Zinc Arsen-	07			_	Ē	Tin Anti- Barlum	artem .	\vdash	Lead	-	-	-	Calchim	ප්	hon	Potas-	Magne-	Mange	Sodium	Æ	Vana
County	(va)	:0	ugl	novi	no/L	Fig.	ntl.	UD/L	mum May	ugil, t	ugit, ugit	-	und. und		min r	E thin	Man July	Joh	part of	nan	skim	Sium	9690	Period	1	dicm
GWN-TAL1 Talbot	g	2	9	ន	₽	S	2	Ð	2	9	9	66	<u> </u>	2	_	9	5	13,000	₽	Ð	Ð	Ð	9	28,000	2	9
GWN-PD2A Webster	Q	8	9	£	9	£	2	9	9	S	S	8	2	2	_ ≨	2	9	4,100	Ð	Q	Q.	Ş	2	1,400	9	Ş
GWN-PD3 Clay	2	£	2	2	2	2	ð	8	2	2	2	5.6	9	2	¥	8	Q.	6,300	9	ð	9	1,100	9	83,000	9	9
GWN-PD6 Early	2	S	9	2	2	9	9	Š	9	9	. ₽	7.5	2	2	_	9	Q.	8,500	9	2	Ð	4,100	2	000'69	9	9
GWN-STW2 Stowart	S	2	2	8	2	9	2	2	2	2	2	47	-	2	-	S S	N S	21,000	Ð	640	Q	1,000	9	8,800	Q	N Q
GWN-WEB1 Webster	ĝ	2	8	2	2	8	2	2	ð	2	9	2	-	2	≨	.048	2	84,000	Q	9	9	1,700	9	1,800	2	9
Aquifer Low Range Aquifer High Range Aquifer Median (ND=0) Aquifer Mean (ND=0)	(D) (O) (O) (O) (O) (O) (O) (O) (O) (O) (O										 -	0 79 9.5 16.7					901-	0 64,000 3,000 7,178	-	0 12,000 34 773		0 0 522	0400	1,000 110,000 2,500 16,891		

Table A-2. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Clayton Stations.

Part A: Station identification, Date of Sampling, Field Parameters, VOCs, Anions, and Non-Metals.

Station No.	Well Name	Well Depth	Well Depth Cashig Depth Well Size	Well Size	Delle	표	cond.	dlss 02	Temp	vocs	5	804	ш	Σ	-
County		1961	feet	Inches	sampled		mg/cm	mp/L	ç	Von.	rngit	mg/L.	mg/L	mg NA	mgill
GWN-CT3 Terrell	Dewson Crawford Street Well	367	NG	S S	7/10/2019	808	255	6.73	21.18	Q	Q	6	¥	Ð	2
GWN-CT5A Randolph	Cuthbert Well #3	326	Ð	S S	7/10/2019	8.02	253	4.03	20.12	Q	Q	50	ž	2	0.03
GWN-CT8 Schley	Weathersby House Well	8	9 N	9	1/24/2019	4.18	41	7.42	18.13	g	2	2	ž	6.	2
GWN-SUM1 Sumfar	Brierpatch MHP Well #1	NG	NG	NG	1/24/2019	6.83	8	3.80	15.34	Q	Ø	2	≨	₽	0.02
GWN-SUM2 Sumber	Andersonville #1	230	NG S	₩	1/24/2019	4.66	82	6.40	18.81	9	Q	8	ş	0.18	2
	Aquifor Low Range Aquifor High Range Aquifor Medien (ND=0) Aquifor Mean (ND=0)					4.16 8.04 5.83 6.14	47 255 228 175	0.73 7.42 4.63	15.34 21.18 18.81 18.72		0000	0 88 13 22 22		0 1.6 0.18 0.58	0.03

Table A-2. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Clayton Stations. Part B: Metals.

Station No. County	Chro	Nick I el	S Per for	Zho	Chro- Nick- Cop- Zine Arsen- mlum el per ic ual. ual. uar. uar. ual.	Selen- fum	Mohyb- denum uan.	Sher	3 1	E	And-B	Bartum Thak- Illum	_	Pa 1	Ura-	Akm	Party.	Calclum	ও 💈	<u>ē</u>	Potas	Magne- skum	Menga	Sodium		Verne
GWN-CT3 Terrell	2	Ş	2	2		₽	9	₽	₽	Ð	Ð	92	. 1	2	-	1	4	38,000	9	32	9 9	4,200	9	6,700	g Q	9
GWN-CT5A Randolph	Q	9	2	S	9	2	2	Q	12	Q	Q	16	2	9	≨	Q	S	46,000	2	Q	Q	4,000	55	1,600	2	2
GWN-CT8 Schley	2	2	7.9	2	2	S	Q	2	2	Q	₽	Φ	9	2.1	¥	2	₽	Q	9	2	Q	Q	72	3,000	Q	Q
GWN-SUM1 Surriter	9	Š	80	22	2	Š	2	2	Q	9	Ð	6	9	1,0	¥.	100	9	13,000	Ş	780	Q	1,200	8	4,300	Ş	Q
GWN-SUM2 Sumfer	5.2	16	6.4	73	2	2	9	2	Ş	8	Q	8	9	9	¥ F	1,700	Q.	18,000	Q	15,000	Q	8,200	150	2,500	Q	2
Aquifor Low Range Aquifor High Range Aquifor Median (ND=0) Aquifor Mean (ND=0)	nge (ND=0) (D=0)										1-3	9.2 89 19 30.4						0 46,000 18,000 23,000		0 16,000 32 3,158		0 8,200 4,000 3,529	0 150 21 432	1,600 6,700 3,000 3,620		

Table A-3. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Clathorne Stations.

Part A: Station Identification, Date of Sampling, Fleid Parameters, VOCs, Anions, and Non-Metals.

Station No. County	Well Name	Well Depth feet	Casing Depth feet	Well Size Inches	Dafa	Ŧ	cond.	des 02 mg/L	<u>ရီ</u> ပ	NOCS	D Table	\$05 101	Financia	MCN mo NA	a 10
GWN-CL2 Dooly	Unadia Wel #3	315	315	*	6/5/2019	7.48	200	3.47	20.02	9	£	9	ž	0.58	2
GWN-CL4A Sumter	Plains Well #8	230	NG	S S	1/24/2019	6.58	1	ž	20.10	Q	Q.	7	¥	<u>Q</u>	0.37
GWN-CLB Dooly	Flint River Nursery Office Well	8	NG	NG	1/24/2019	66.9	8	0.31	20.16	Q	Q	욮	ž	2	0.53
	Aquifor Low Range Aquifor High Range Aquifor Median (ND=0) Aquifor Mean (ND=0)					5.89 7.48 6.58 6.68	88 24 4 44 44 84	0.31 3.47 1.89	20.02 20.16 20.10 20.09		0000	0204		0.58 0	0.50 0.50 0.30

Table A-3. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Clalborne Stations. Part B: Metals.

Station No.		A K	S p	Cino- Nick- Cop- Zinc Arsen mium el per ic	Arsent	Selent FI	Motyb- denum	Smith	Cad Tifum	E	And- Ba	Barlum	Fig. 1	B	Light /	\$ E	-ykari	Calcium	8 %	June 1	Potas	Magne	Manga	Sodum	鱼	Vane
County	lug/L	UQU.	100	ual	uarl.	nor	UOL	nor	unt.	IN TO	94 Jul	No.	TO VIS	No.	16s	ADI.	10th	100	ton	ugu	1,60	hon	nov.	1000	unk	UDA
GWN-CL2 Dooly	9	2	QN QN	£	2	2	9	2	Q	₽	£	12	2	2	¥	9	2	39,000	2	₽	Ð	Ð	Q	1,300	2	₽
GWN-CL4A Sumfer	9		QN QN	2	2	2	2	Q	ð	9	₽	÷	2	2	¥	2	2	20,000	N	2,000	Ş	2,900	23	1,800	<u>Q</u>	S
GWN-CL8 Dooly	2	Ş	2	9	S	9	2	9	Q	2	윤	S	2	9	ş	2	Q	11,000	9	490	Q	1,200	8	1,800	Q	욪
Aquifer Low Range Aquifer High Range Aquifer Median (ND=0) Aquifer Mesen (ND=0)	96 ND=0) 1=0)											12 S 2 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2						11,000 39,000 20,000 23,333		2,000 490 830		0 2,900 1,200 1,367	o & & ¥	1,300 1,800 1,587		

Table A-4. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Jacksonian Stations.
Part A: Station Identification, Date of Sampling, Field Parameters, VOCs, Anions, and Non-Metals.

Station No.	Well Name	Well Depth	Well Depth Casing Depth Well Size	Well Size	Date	Ŧ	cond.	diss 02	Temo	ADCS	5	28		3	ŀ
County		feet	feet	Inches	sampled		uS/cm	mg/l.	ပ	- nat	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	I'mg NV	mgit
GWN-J18 Jefferson	McNair House Well	06	S S	Ď	5/1/2019	7.32	286	4.74	19.11	2	5	2	≨	5.6	90'0
GWN-J4 Johnson	Whichisville #4	250	9 N	100	1/8/2019	7.61	278	7.81	19.05	bromodictionmethens=0.72 chlorodisconomethens=1.1	2	2	ž	0.61	0.02
GWN-J5 Bleckley	Cochran #3	307	NG	NG	1/8/2019	7.38	348	5.32	20.26	bromoform=0,65 ND	S	13	ş	Q	0.02
GWN-JB Jefferson	Wrens #4	200	MG	S N	2/20/2019	72.7	276	1.00	18.68	9	2	6	ž	9	0.14
GWN-JBA Jefferson	Kaim House Well II	100	NG	D N	2/20/2019	7.46	287	1.07	16.91	Q	9	2	§	0.46	0.02
GWN-J9 Jefferson	Herday 1 Louisville	175	NG	S	5/1/2019	8,05	55	8.01	19.90	Q	9	Q	ş	6.	9
GWN-J10 Jefferson	Herday 2 Barlow	175	ŊĠ	9	5/1/2019	7.38	#	3.46	18.89	9	2	2	£	0.45	0.17
GWN-J11 Weahington	Harrison #2	380	NG	9	11/21/2019	7.76	280	3.07	19,80	ð	2	9	ž	0.15	0.02
GWN-JEF1 Jefferson	Bertow #1	345	NG	S S	1/8/2019	7.46	327	5.40	19.47	Ð	9	Q	§	Š	0.02
GWN-WAS2 Washington	Riccieville #1	9 <u>V</u>	9NG	S	1/8/2019	7.57	238	3.82	19.28	QN	9	2	ş	0.10	9
	Aquifor Low Range Aquifor High Range Aquifor Median (ND=0) Aquifor Mean (ND=0)					7.27 8.06 7.46 7.52	170 282 273	1.07 8.01 4.28	16.91 20.26 19.20 19.12		020-	0500		0.20 0.30 0.80	0.02 0.02 0.05

Table A-4. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Jacksonian Stations. Part B: Metals.

Station No. County	Chr.	Nick e el	8 18 15	Zino	Arsen- lo	Selen-	Molyb- denum ugit.	Shrer Cad- mium ugil, ugil,		Tin Anti- mony ugit, ugit.		Berlum Thel- lum unit unit	r Lead	d Ura-		Alumi Beryin num lum ngil. ugil.	Calclum	き重点	non you	Potze-	Megne-	Manga nese	Sodium	4 5	Vana
GWN-J1B Jefferson	2	2	2	₽	9	9	₽	£	Q.	2	5 2	Q _	2	¥	2	Q.	53,000		257	g	₽	9	4,000	₽	£
GWN-J4 Johnson	9	2	Q	Ř	2	Q	9	8	9	Z Q	ND SS	12	2	¥	8	₽	52,000	2	S	Q	1,900	2	2,800	2	2
GWN-J5 Bleckley	9	S	Ş	9	9	2	2	2	Q.	N Q	ND 10	S	2	ž	2	₽ Q	99	8	7	Q	2,400	7	3,000	8	9
GWN-J8 Jefferson	2	9	9	60	9	2	ð	2	2	2 2	ND 13	2	Ş	¥	8	2	56,000	2	170	Q	1,600	Q	1,900	Q	9
GWN-J8A Jefferson	2	£	2	28	2	ð	Q	8	9	Q	ND 24	Q.	13	¥	3,600	Q.	000'09	2	5	Q	2,000	15	2,800	Q	£
GWN-JB Jefferson	2	2	9	Q	2	Q	9	<u>Q</u>	2	2	ND 4.5	S	2	≨	£	₽	34,000	2	3	S	Š	2	1,600	Ş	9
GWN-J10 Jefferson	2	9	₽	9	9	Q	9	9	9	N Q	ND 23	S.	2	¥	2	N O	48,000	<u>N</u>	Ş	Q	Q	2	2,400	2	9
GWN-J11 Washington	8	Q	9	Q	9	Q	9	9	9	<u>Q</u>	2	2	2	¥.	2	2	49,000	2	Š	Ş	1,500	9	2,400	Q	£
GWN-JEF1 Jefferson	9	Q	2	9	2	Q	9	8	9	QN QN	<u>Q</u>	2	Š	≨.	2	£	63,000	9	69	Q	1,800	23	3,000	₽	£
GWN-WAS2 Washington	£	8	2	2	9	Q	2	9	9	QV QV	8	8	2	¥	2	8	58,000	2	Q	9	1,100	Q	2,300	2	Ð
Aquifer Low Range Aquifer High Range Aquifer Median (ND=0) Aquifer Mess (ND=0)	Î a										-222	=.					34,000 69,000 54,500 54,800		0 2 2 4		0 2,400 1,550 1,230	0 7 0 7	1,600 4,000 2,600 2,620		

Table A-5. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Floridan Stations.
Part A. Station Identification, Date of Sampling, Field Parameters, VOCs, Anions, and Non-Metals.

Station No. County	Well Name	Well Depth fiset	pth Casing Depth Well Size	Well Size Inches	Deta sampled:	Ŧ	cond. d	diss 02	Temp C	VOCS	D M	SO4	£	H T	NOX mg NA
GWN-PA2 Chethem	Savarnah Well #13	100	9NG	NG	5/2/2019	8.01	287	0.29	24.48	QN	5		9	N NA	
GWN-PA4 Chathan	Tybee Island Well #1	402	<u>D</u>	9	6/2/2019	7.80	799	0.30	23.10	2	40	7	140	¥ 9	
GWN-PA6 Liberty	Interstate Paper Well #1	810	N O	S S	5/1/2019	8.03	333	≨	24.36	2	Q	8		ž	
GWN-PAB	Hinewille Well #5	808	S N	S S	8/20/2019	7.78	280	0.28	24.90	2	2	8		¥	
GWN-PA9C Glynn	Miller Ball Park North East Well	121	NG NG	NG	8/20/2019	7.51	3104	ž	23.99	Q	2	270	_	ž	
GWN-PA13 Ware	Waycross Well #3	£	SN N	S)	3/7/2019	7.43	408	0.30	24.86	QN	*	2		ş	NA ND
GWN-PA14A Buffoch	Statesboro Well #4	413	Se .	S S	3/20/2019 6/18/2019 8/25/2019 12/4/2019	8.00 7.97 7.85 7.90	23 25 55 23 25 55 23 25 55	\$\$\$\$	22.66 23.65 23.67 23.34	2222	2222	2222		\$ \$ \$ \$	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
GWN-PA16 Jenkins	Millen Well #1	200	NG	NG	2/7/2019	6.84	288	0.31	20.32	QN	10	2		¥	A G
GWN-PA17 Emanuel	Svainsboro Well #7	280	9 N	NG	2772019	7.08	254	4.09	20.03	chordom=1.8 bromodichicymethene=1.7 chlorodicomomethene=1.8	2	2		ž	NA 0.04
GWN-PA18 Candler	Mediar Well #2	640	NG	Ŋ	3/20/2019	8.04	ĸ	1.07	21.41	ON ON	Q	9		≨	Q X
GWN-PA20 Lanier	Lateland Well #2	340	Ŷ.	S S	3/7/2019	7.34	19	0.28	22.10	2	2	8		§	NA ND
GWN-PAZZ Thomas	Thomasville Well #8	400	S N	S)	3/8/2019 11/20/2019	7.34	417 610	414	21.84	22	22	22		£ £	NA 0.24 NA 0.28
GWN-PA23A Grady	Cairo #11	485	NG	Ö	1/9/2019 4/17/2019 7/11/2019 10/16/2019	7.32 7.76 7.73 7.55	330 326 326 326	0.26 0.29 0.27 0.30	22.43 22.80 22.80 22.74	2222	9999	8 8 8 8		\$ \$ \$ \$	
GWN-PA25 Seminole	Donalsonville / 7th Street Wall	174	NG	8	2/21/2019 5/16/2019 8/7/2019 11/19/2019	7.22 7.38 7.20 7.23	316 300 310 311	4.78 4.84 4.62 4.97	21.38 21.45 21.45	2222	2222	2222		\$ \$\$\$	NA 1.8 NA 1.8 NA 1.8 NA 1.8

Table A-5. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Floridan Stations. Part B: Metals.

Station No.	GWN-PA2 Chatham	GWN-PA4 Chatham	GWN-PAS Liberty	GWN-PA6 Liberty	GWN-PA9C Glynn	GWN-PA13 Ware	GWN-PA14A Bulloch	GWN-PA16 Jenkins	GWN-PA17 Emanuel	GWN-PA18 Candler	GWN-PAZD Lenler	GWN-PA22 Thomas	GWN-PA23A Grady	GWN-PA25 Seminole
Clino Math.	2	2	2	<u>N</u>	Q	Q	2222	2	2	<u>R</u>	Q	2 2	2222	2222
No No	2	Ž.	2	2	2	2	2222	2	2	8	2	9 ₽	2222	2222
S E E	2	<u>S</u>	8	2	2	2	2222	2	9.0	2	2	22	2222	2222
Z Too	2	8	2	8	2	2	2222	<u>R</u>	2	8	Š	22	2222	2222
Giro- Nick- Cap- Zinc Avsen- mium el per lo lo upti. ugit ugit. ugit.	2	2	₽	9	Q.	2	2222	2	₽	<u>R</u>	2	22	2222	2222
P- Selem- lum unit	₽	S S	2	₽	5.9	2	2222	2	₽	2	S .	2 2	2222	9999
						_				8				2222
A E	2 9	Z Q	2 9	N Q	Z Q	Z Q	2222	Z Q	2 9		N Q			
6	QN QN	DN ON	QN QN	QV QV	QV QV	QV QV	2222 2222	QN QN	Q Q	Q. Q.	QN QN			9999 9999
Cad- Th mium upt, upt.	Q	<u>N</u>	<u>Q</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>Q</u>	₽	2222	<u>Q</u>	2	Q Q	Q Q	22	2222	2222
And mony ust	2	₽ Q	2	€	<u>Q</u>	8		QN O	<u>Q</u>	₽	2	25		2222
Bertun	8.6	8.3	8	*	18	K	4 4 6 8 8 8 8 8	4.6	2	8	123	88	64 t	7.8 7.7 7.7 6.8
Her Her	₽	2	2	2	2	£	9999	2	2	₽	2	25	9999	2 2 2 E
n Laed	2	2	2	2	2	2	2222	2	20	₽	₽	22	2222	2222
od Cha-	≨	≨	≨	¥.	N A	≨ °	\$\$\$\$	≨	ž	AN O	¥	≨ ≨	\$\$\$\$	\$ \$\$\$
Abmi-	QN (QN /	QN /	Ð.	ð	9	2222	Q.	QN	2	2	28		2222
mi- Beryt-	Q Q	Q Q	Q Q	2	Q Q	2	2222	QN Q	QN C	2	2	22	2222	
Calclum	23,000	36,000	28,000	27,000	110,000	44,000	36,000 37,000 34,000 36,000	20,000	48,000	32,000	47,000	50,000	31,000 34,000 31,000	62,000 64,000 61,000 81,000
o the sta	Ð	Ð	Q	2	Q	₽	2222	9	Ð	9	₽	€ 8	9999	2222
lion	9	Q	Q	Ş	1,400	₽	9999	88	18	Q	28	99	5 5 5 5 5 5	2222
Skim skim	Ð	Q	8	£	8,800	9	2222	2	Ş	<u>R</u>	2	₽9	2222	2222
Magne- slum	009'6	28,000	15,000	13,000	77,000	18,000	6,600 7,000 6,400 6,400	3,400	1,800	3,500	18,000	23,000	15,000 17,000 16,000 16,000	2255
Mange nese	2	2	2	2	5	2	2222	28	8	8	12	22	5555	2222
Sodium	17,000	55,000	17,000	16,000	430,000	17,000	7,600 7,400 7,000 7,500	5,400	2,900	11,000	4,700	7,900	13,000 13,000 12,000 11,000	3,600 3,500 3,500
a miles	1	Q.	<u>Q</u>	2	2	₽.		S	Ñ	<u>N</u>	<u>Q</u>	<u> </u>	2222	9999
Vana	4	2	Q.	₽	9	2	2222	S C	QN O	8	Ş.	₽ ₽		2222
d F	1 .	_	_					_		_	_			

Table A-5, Continued. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Floridan Stations.
Part A: Station Identification, Date of Sampling, Field Parameters, VOCs, Anions, and Non-Metals.

Station No. County	Well Name	Well Dapth (Well Depth Cashing Depth Well Size fast fast inches	Well Stan	Dafe	표	cond. d	diss 02 mg/l,	Temp	VOCS	D mg/L	Mg/L	F	N N D	a four
GWN-PA27 Mitchell	Certifia Industrial Perk Well	380	9NG	NG	3/6/2019	7.38	230	1.19	20.26	QN	Ð	Ð	≨	0.28	0.02
GWN-PAZB	Mouthle Well #1	750	NG	NG	1/10/2019 4/16/2019 7/10/2019 10/16/2019	7.60 7.97 7.85 7.90	440 413 436 436	\$\$\$\$	23.63 23.62 23.66 23.64	2222	5555	98 97 100	\$ \$\$\$	2222	O O O O
GWN-PAZB	Adel Wet #6	405	NG	NG	1/10/2019 4/16/2019 7/10/2019 10/16/2019	7.18 7.52 7.51 7.61	386	0.31 0.35 0.37	21.92 22.08 23.22 22.09	2 2 2 2 2	2222	2668	\$\$\$ \$		0.00
GWN-PA31 Tift	Tibon Well #6	662	NG	NG	3772019	7.28	279	0.76	21.81	Q	9	2	ź	2	0.02
GWN-PA32 Irwin	Ocile Well #3	637	NG	NG.	4/17/2019	7.71	209	0.35	21.13	QN	9	2	≨	Q	0.02
GWN-PA34A Teifair	McRae Well #3	000	NG	S G G T	3/20/2019 6/18/2019 6/25/2019 12/4/2019	7.49 7.31 7.30 7.28	334 344 341 343	0.85 0.31 0.32	22.10 22.86 22.72 22.51		2222	2222	\$\$\$\$	2222	2222
GWN-PA34B Teffair	McRae Well #1	© N	9N	DN D	6/18/2019	7.30	326	0.34	22.73	5.	9	2	ž	2	0.07
GWN-PASAC Telfair	McRae Walf2	N C	DW .	B SW	8252019	727	331	0.36	22.63	QN	2	Q	ž	Q	Q
GWN-PA34D Telfair	McRas Well #4	S N	S _Q	NG	12/4/Z019	7.28	306	0.38	22.01	Q	Q	Q	¥	Q	ę
GWN-PA36 Toombs	Vidella Well #1	900	9N	0 0 0 1	3/20/2019 8/18/2019 8/25/2019 12/4/2019	8.02 7.30 7.81 7.70	233 233 216	0.41	22.76 24.71 23.46 23.02	2222	2222	9999	\$ \$\$\$	2222	N 0.37
GWN-PA38 Dodge	Esstman Well #4	410	DW.	NG 4	4/17/2019	7.51	233	4.16	20.79	ON	QN	Q	ş	0.29	0.02
GWN-PA39 Worth	SylvesterWell #1	198	NG	9	346/2019	7.20	300	128	22.06	QN	Q	9	ž	0.03	0.02
GWN-PA41A Turner	Aehbum #4	008	9N	DN 4	4/16/2019	7.89	161	0.27	22.59	QN	Q	Q	ş	Q	2

Table A-5, Continued. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Floridan Stations. Part B: Metals.

Sodum Tiz-	1,800 ND	26,000 ND 26,000 ND 26,000 ND 26,000 ND	3,300 ND 3,600 ND 3,300 ND 3,400 ND	2,800 ND	2,400 ND	4,800 ND 4,700 ND 4,300 ND 4,500 ND	900 ND	3,800 NID	ON 008	11,000 ND 11,000 ND 11,000 ND 11,000 ND	CIN 000'	3,600 ND	CIN 006,1
Manga- So nese	6		3. ជា ជា ស្តស្ត	ND Z	28 2,	85 5 8 4 4 4 4	00 4,	3,	83	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	ND 2,	ND 3/	5
Magne- Me stum		19,000 19,000 19,000 20,000	17,000 17,000 18,000	8,900	2,700	11,000 12,000 10,000	11,000	10,000	10,000	5,800 5,900 5,400 5,600	1,500	7,800	7,700
Potas-	ē	2222	2222	2	2	2222	Q.	S.	<u>S</u>	<u> </u>	Q	Q	Q
<u>u</u>	£	9999	848	2	130	23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23	110	120	240	4 5 8 8	Q	2	2
아 를 를	£	5555		8	2	2222	8	N	8	2555	웃	S	₹
Calchum	48,000	33,000 31,000 34,000 33,000	49,000 50,000 49,000 48,000	48,000	33,000	50,000 52,000 48,000 50,000	51,000	48,000	52,000	28,000 28,000 28,000	45,000	50,000	21,000
Beryk	₽	2555	2555	2	Ş	2555	<u>Q</u>	2	2	9999	2	₽	₽
Atm.	Ð	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	9999	2	2	2222	8	2	Q	8 5 5 5 8	2	2	2
F m Ten	≨	\$\$\$\$	\$ \$ \$ \$	¥	ž	\$\$\$ \$	ž	≨	≨	\$ \$ \$ \$	≨	≨	§
Lead	2	2222	2222	2	2	<u> </u>	2	2	2	2 2 2 <u>2</u>	2	9	2
	9	2222	9999	9	2	<u> </u>	2	2	Q.	<u> </u>	2	<u>Q</u>	문
Berlum	55	5 % 5 %	5 5 5 5	8	22	\$ 6 5 8	200	22	220	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	0 0	210	8
Anti-	₽	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	2	2	2222	Q	<u>Q</u>	Q		9	2	문
F Yes	₽	9999	<u> </u>	2	2	2222	2	2	8	2222	2	₽	2
Sed Fig.	S	5555	2222	2	2	2222	2	2	2	2222	2	9	2
Silver	2	2222	2222	8	2	2222	2	2	2	2555	2	2	운
Molyb- denum	2	+8 + +	2222	S	Q	2222	2	2	2	5555	2	Ð	9
Selen- Iun Lunk	₽	<u> </u>	9999	2	2	2222	2	9	9	5 8 8 5	9	Ş	2
Zinc Arsen- ic uqit, ugit,	₽	2222	9999	2	S	2222	2	2	2	9999	2	9	2
	2	± 5 6 5	9999	2	9	2222	2	2	S	2	2	2	Ž
Chro- Nick- Cop- mium el per ugh, ugh.	Ð	5 5 2 S	9999	2	2	2222	2	2	Q	2222	2	2	2
Nick-	₽	8 8 8 9	9999	2	Q	2222	2	2	9	2555	9	9	2
Chro-	2	8 8 8 8	8888	2	Q	<u> </u>	2	2	2	<u> </u>	2	Q	2
Station No.	GWN-PA27 Mitchell	GWN-PA28 Colquit	GWN-PA28 Cook	GWN-PA31 Tift	GWN-PA32 Irwin	GWN-PA34A Teifeir	GWN-PA34B Telfair	GWN-PA34C Telfair	GWN-PA34D Telfair	GWN-PA38 Toombs	GWN-PA38 Dodge	GWN-PA39 Worth	GWN-PA41A Turner

Table A-5, Continued. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Floridan Stations.
Part A: Station Identification, Date of Sampling, Field Parameters, VOCs, Anions, and Non-Metals.

Station No. County	Well Name	Well Depth feet	Well Depth Casing Depth Well Size	Well Size Inches	Date	Ŧ.	cond. d	des 02 1	di D	VOCS	D Mg	90k	T mg/L	NOX mg Mg	may.
GWN-PA44 Turner	Sycamore Well #2	501	NG	9	1/8/2019 4/16/2019 7/10/2019 11/20/2019	7.14 7.75 7.59 7.68	8	3.17 3.19 3.07 3.12	2121 21.48 21.52 21.40	9999	2222	2222	1	0.28 0.28 0.28	9999
GWN-PA56 Grady	Whighem / Davis Avenue Well	904	SW S	S S	2/21/2019 6/16/2019 8/7/2019 11/19/2019	7.86 7.85 7.51 7.58	44 44 5 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	0.90	22.95 23.06 23.08 22.08	<u> </u>	8888	ឧឧឧឧ	222	0.06 0.06 0.06	2222
GWN-PA57 Coffee	Ambrose Well #2	009	465	6	1/10/2019 4/17/2019 7/10/2019 10/16/2019	7.34 7.80 7.73	75 CSS CSS CSS CSS CSS CSS CSS CSS CSS CS	0.56 0.56 0.47 0.98	22.24 22.67 23.30 23.27	ND chlorofform=0.8 chlorofformomefrane=.55 ND	2222	2222	2222	9999	5 5 5 8 5 5 5 8
GWN-PA59 Dougharty	Radium Spring	0	¥	£	7/10/2019	7.78	323	× ≨	20.66	trichiorostrytens=0.64	2	2	≨	2.4	80.0
GWN-PA80 Seminole	Smith House Well	9 Q	S S	Ø	8/7/2019	7.42	202	6.89	22.46	Q	2	Q	ş	0.95	2
GWN-GLY2 Glynn	Holwyl Broadfield Well	Ö	NG	NG NG	10/9/2019	7.70	635	28	23.68	Q	36	110	¥	9	0.03
GWN-GLY3 Glynn	Jekyli istand #5	820	S N	Se .	8/21/2019	7.75	417	0.25	7572	Q	9	R	≨	9	0.02
GWN-GLY4 Glymn	Hampton River Marina	750	NG	SG.	12/5/2019	7.86	482	1.50	18.52	Q	98	100	≨	2	0.20
GWN-LIB2 Lberty	Fort Monts Well	200	S W	NG	5/1/2019	7.98	338	0.29	22.01	2	9	\$	≨	2	9
GWN-MCH Meintosh	Sapsio Gardens SD #1	089	NG	NG.	6/1/2019	7.78	417	0.33	25.16	Q	13	29	≨	Q	Q
GWN-THO2 Thomas	Waverly Four Comers #1	006	NG NG	S.	3/6/2019 1/20/2019	7.73	220	0.28 2	25.78 25.78	Q Q	99	22	\$ \$	22	22
	Aquifor Low Range Aquifor High Range Aquifor Median (ND=0) Aquifor Mean (ND=0)					6.91 8.04 7.61 7.58	161 3104 335	0.25 1 5.89 2 0.41 2 1.34 2	18.52 25.70 22.06		0 20 0 9	0 K 0 8		0.19 0.19	0.37 0 0 0.02

Table A-5, Continued. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Floridan Stations. Part B: Metals.

Tita- Vena- nhm dhum unt. unt.	2222			Q Q	QV Q	QN Q	Q Q	Q Q	QN	Q.	22	
-	1	5555	2222	S	2	2	₽	2	2	2	22	
Sodium	2,200 2,200 2,200	24,000 23,000 22,000	7,600 7,900 7,000 7,500	2,600	2,500	33,000	16,000	25,000	16,000	19,000	13,000	1,800 430,000 7,550
Manga- nese	2222	2222	2222	Q	2	柘	2	9	2	웆	22	0 10 0 4
Magne- slum	4,4800 9,400 1,400	21,000 20,000 20,000 20,000	14,000 15,000 14,000	1,400	2	27,000	24,000	27,000	16,000	21,000	15,000	0 77,000 12,500
Potas- slum vort	2222	2222	9999	Q	2	Q	2	<u>Q</u>	Q	Q	22	
lion light	2222	9999	2002	ĸ	2	029	45	150	310	S	\$ 5	0 1. 0 0 68
유 및 호	2222	2 2 2 2	8.888	8	9	9	9	2	S Q	R	25	
Calclum	31,000 32,000 32,000 32,000	34,000 35,000 34,000 33,000	25,000 25,000 26,000 24,000	62,000	41,000	43,000	39,000	40,000	28,000	34,000	23,000	20,000 110,000 35,500 39,914
Boyl-	2222	2222	2222	S	2	₽	Ð	Q	2	2	2 2	
Alum-	8888	2 2 2 Q	9999	Q	9	8	9	2	Q	2	22	
e Para	\$ \$\$\$	\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$	ž	≨	≨	¥	¥	≨	ž	₹ ¥	
NO.	2222	2222	2222	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	99	
	2222	9999	9999	2	2	2	2	S	2	2	22	
Anti- Bantum mony urat, urat.	\$ 5 5 5 5	55 55 5 4	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	25	3.3	45	4	8	*	18	6 5 5	25 54 88 88 4 28
And- mony usel	2222	2222	9999	Q	2	2	9	2	2	2	99	
E Van	2222	2222	2222	2	2	8	2	2	2	2	22	
Carlo	5555	2222	9999	2	2	9	9	2	2	2	22	
Shree uon	2222	2222	9999	2	2	9	2	Ş	9	2	9 €	
Motyb- denum denum	2222	9.9 9.1 9.5	2222	2	9	2	2	2	2	2	99	
Selen- ium ug/L	2222	2222	2222	9	2	2	2	2	2	2	28	
Armen	2222	2222	9 2 2 9	9	2	2	2	9	2	2	<u>8</u> 8	
Zinc	2222	S S S 3	2222	Q	6	24	2	25	S	S	2 2	
8 8 5	2222	2 2 2 2	2222	Ş	8	9	2	2	2	9	99	
N TO NO	9999	2222	9999	용	Q	8	S	9	S S	9	9 €	
Chm A	2222	2222	2222	9	2	9	2	2	2	2	8 8	
Stadion No. County	GWN-PA44	GWN-PA56 Grady	GWN-PAS7 Coffee	GWN-PA59 Dougherty	GWN-PA60 Seminole	GWN-GLY2 Glymn	GWN-GLY3 Glynn	GWN-GLY4 Glynn	GWN-LIB2 Liberty	GWN-MCI1 McIntosh	GWN-THO2 Thomas	Aquifer Low Ranga Aquifer High Ranga Aquifer Median (ND=0) Aquifer Mean (ND=0)

Table A-8. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Miocene Stations.

Part A: Station Identification, Date of Sampling, Field Parameters, VOCs, Anions, and Non-Metals.

Station No.	Well Name	Well Depth	Well Depth Cashig Depth Well Size	Wed Size	Date	₹.	cond.	des O2	Temp	VOCS	ច	80	T in	Ŏ Z	۵
GWN-MIT	AdeMAcMillen	022	SN S	2	6/6/2019] <u>F</u>	82	0870	22.20	Q	2	9	ž	9	9
GWN-M2A Lowndes	Boutwell House Well	R	<u>9</u>	S	6/6/2019	4.33	147	4.70	21.49	diantam=2.1	ន	S	ş	9.6	Q
GWN-Mi10B Colquit	Cathoun House Well	150	S.	9	6/6/2019	6.37	901	144	24.42	QN	2	Q	\$	Q	0.25
GWN-M16 Liberty	Liberty County East Dis- trict Fire Station Deep Well	400	S S	S	5/1/2019	7.80	331	0.31	22.86	Q.	Q	8	ş	2	0.02
GWN-MI17 Effingham	Springfield Egypt Road Test Well	120	NG	S	5/2/2019	7.58	5 2	ş	19.20	Q	Q	2	ž	2	2
GWN-WAY1 Wayne	Rainfree TP Main Well	400	NG	S NG	8/20/2019	7.76	216	0.31	22.01	2	2	9	§	2	0.07
	Aquiler Low Range Aquiler High Range Aquiler Median (ND=0) Aquiler Mean (ND=0)					4.33 7.69 7.66 6.96	106 331 228 217	0.31 4.70 0.90 1.53	19.20 24.42 22.11 22.03		0204	0800		0.000.1.1	0.25 0.01 0.06

Table A-6. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Miocene Stations. Part B: Metals.

Station No.		Nok-	충	Chro- Nick- Cop- Zinc 4488th	Atsett	Selen	Molyb-		Silver Cad-	Ē	Anth B	Bartum That-		peag	Line A	A THE	Baryl- (Calchan	ප්	Mod	Poles	Manne	Morrow	Code		None N
	E	8	Ŋ.	12	6	Em	denum	0	HQH.		молу	Ī	E L	_	ndem	Ē	_		Ħ		Shull	_	9			
County	MON	100	107	1001	WOV.	USJ.	100	light.	UST.	NO.	ugil. ur	ug/l.	USA. US	upf.	traff.	1,001	Ug/L	opt	ng/L	700	UD(L	nav	non	100	Port	Van
GWN-MI1 Cook	9	2	₽	ន	2	9	2	₽	2	2	2	6	2	9	≨	Ð	9	23,000	Ş	₽	₽	13,000	₽	6,400	S	9
GWN-MIZA Lowndes	Q	9	2	40	2	9	2	Š	Q	9	9	88	2	0.	≨	5	9	4,600	Q	2	5,600	2,900	10	9,700	2	9
GWN-MH0B Colquitt	9	2	2	38	8	13	<u>S</u>	2	9	9	2	5	9	Q	≨	9	Q	7,500	Ð	830	9	5,000	8	6,000	9	Q
GWN-MI16 Liberty	2	2	2	98	2	9	9	S	9	9	9	74	2	2	¥	9	₽	27,000	9	2	9	15,000	2	17,000	2	9
GWN-M17 Effingham	<u>Q</u>	Q	2	9	2	9	2	2	<u>Q</u>	2	2	8	2	2	≨	Q	S S	44,000	Q	9	2	1,800	£	7,700	2	Q.
GWN-WAY1 Wayne	2	2	2	€	2	9	2	2	2	2	Q	S	₽	2	¥	2	₽	24,000	9	2	Q	8,400	110	11,000	g	Q
Aquifer Low Range Aquifer High Range Aquifer Median (ND=0) Aquifer Mean (ND=0)	88 (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0)											6 중 8 4					. 41414	4,800 44,000 23,500 21,683		0 830 138		1,800 15,000 6,700 7,683	o 5 ± 8	6,000 17,000 8,700 9,633		

Table A-7. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Pledmont-Blue Ridge Stations.
Part A: Station Identification, Date of Sampling, Field Parameters, VOCs, Anions, and Non-Metals.

Statton No. County	Well Name	Well Depth feet	pth Cashing Depth Welf Size feet inches	Well Size Inches	Date	표	cond	diss 02 mg/l.	Temp o	VOCS	D III	SO4	mg/L	¥ N	교
GWN-P1A Mertwether	Lutheraville Well #3	185	SN SN	S N	4/17/2019	6.47	8	8.17	17.29	9	2	2	ş	Þ	0.07
GWN-P5 Hell	Flowery Branch Well #1	240	9 N	NG	6/19/2019	6.36	181	6.57	16.48	Q	9	2	§	1.1	0.03
GWN-P12A Butts	Indian Spring	0	9 N	9	2/21/2019 5/15/2019 8/7/2019 11/7/2019	7.29 7.38 7.24 7.30	266 266 276 276	\$ \$ \$ \$	16.41 17.31 21.07 17.78	N N N N	S 5 0 6	2 2 2 2	4 4 4 4 8 8 8	2222	8222
GWN-P20 Gwinnett	Suvernee #1	900	9	Q.	6/19/2019	7.33	300	1.07	17.11	2	Q	‡	≨	0.48	2
GWN-P21 Jones	Grayfbragg Well	405	S)	NG.	2/21/2019 5/15/2019 8/7/2019 11/7/2019	6.83 6.84 6.59 7.01	317 309 321 317	2.40 1.27 2.40 3.73	18.85 18.84 20.24 18.94	Q Q Q Q	<u> </u>	28 28 39	\$ \$\$ 9	0.15 0.19 0.15	0.03 0.03 0.03
GWN-P22 Fufton	Rahbar Well	200	9 N	NG	8/8/2019	4.86	8	6.05	17.34	Q	Q	2	ž	0.97	2
GWN-P23 Butts	Indian Springs State Park New Main Well	NG	NG	Ö	2/21/2019 5/15/2019 8/7/2019 11/7/2019	6.46 6.53 6.63 6.63	25 25 2 4	252 1.92 1.83 2.54	17.79 17.80 18.04 17.88	2222	2222	2222	0.83 0.82 0.91 1.10	0.33 0.32 0.56	0.06 0.06 0.07
GWN-P24 Coweta	The Gales Well #1	705	NG	NG	925/2019	7.25	285	1.17	19.41	Q	2	‡	≨	0.30	40.0
GWN-P25	Jerrell Plantation Staff House Well	9	g X	S R	221/2019 5/15/2019 8/7/2019 11/7/2019	6.24 6.34 5.97	204 204 207	3.50 3.50 3.50 4.06	17.91 18.11 18.48 18.15		2222	4 6 4 6	\$ \$\$ 9	0.15 0.15 0.13	0.12 0.10 0.11 0.11
GWN-P28 Coweta	Willow Court Well	S S	Ŋ	S.	8/Z5/Z019	6.09	‡	2.86	17.88	9	2	Ş	≨	9;	0.07
GWN-P30 Lincoln	Fizer House Well	220	NG	Š	5/14/Z019	7.04	400	1.71	18.98	Q	23	83	¥	3.2	0.03
GWN-P32 Ebert	Cecchir Deep Well	400	9	S S	1/23/2019 4/4/2019 7/26/2019 10/10/2019	NA 7.83 7.91 7.72	850 834 803	7.12 0.63 0.70 3.10	16.20 16.63 19.75 19.07	9999	2222	390 360 360 360	\$ \$ \$ \$	9999	ON NO 90

Table A-7. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Piedmont-Blue Ridge Stations. Part B: Metals.

Station No. County	GWN-P1A Mertwether	GWN-P5 Hall	GWN-P12A Butts	GWN-P20 Gwinnett	GWN-P21 Jones	GWN-P22 Fulton	GWN-P23 Butts	GWN-P24 Coweta	Gww-P25 Jones	GWN-P28 Coweta	GWN-P30 Lincoln	GWN-P32 Elbert
Chro- mkm ug/L	9	2		Q	2222	Q		2	2 2 2 2	S	2	<u> </u>
Chro- Nick- mium el ug/L ug/L	2	9	2222	£	9999	2	2555	2	2555	2	9	2222
	2	2	2222	2	2222	10	2222	9	9999	₽	2	2222
Cop- Zinc Arser per ic upt. upt. upt.	2	2	2222	29	2555	2	2222	91	2222	Ξ	2	2 2 2 2
Araen to to	2	2	2222	2	2222	g	5555	S	<u> </u>	9	2	9999
- Selen-	₽	Q	2222	9	9999	9	9999	2	9999	2	2	9999
- Molyb- denum	2	2	2222	8	2222	N Q	2222	2	2222	2	2	2 2 2 2
N Shre	2	Š	2222	S	9999	9	9999	S	9999	Ð	2	2222
Cast	2	2	2222	8	2222	9	2222	2	2222	2	2	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3
F 8	₽	2	8 8 8 8	Q	2222	Q	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	N C	5 5 4 5	Š	2	2222
Anth	2	9	9999	Ş	2222	₽	2 2 2 <u>2</u>	S	2 2 2 E	Ş	9	2222
Bertum	8	4	2222	22	<u>4 4 6 5</u>	8	6.5 6.2 5.8	10 60	ងឧឌឌ	8	28	£ £ £ £
Heat Hou	2	2	2222	2		2	2222	2	2222	2	9	2222
Load	£	2	2222	2	55 55	10	2222	9	5552	2	2	2222
ulum Mal	≨	≨	\$ \$\$\$	¥	ON ON CO.	9	\$\$\$ \$	≨	5 5 5 5 5 5	≨	≨	12.9 ND ND ND ON
Aml mum	2	2	2222	150	5 5 5 5	2	2222	Ð	2 2 2 2 2	2	2	9999
Beryi- Bum Mg/L	₽	2	<u> </u>	9	9999	S	<u> </u>	8	5555	Q	2	9999
Cafetum	9,800	29,000	17,000 17,000 17,000 16,000	26,000	38,000 38,000 38,000 37,000	1,400	13,000 14,000 13,000 11,000	35,000	16,000 18,000 18,000 16,000	11,000	37,000	130,000 180,000 150,000
P Set P	8	2	2222	<u>8</u>	2222	2	2222	9		2	<u>R</u>	2222
lon ton	72	Q.	2555	28	2 N N 28	Ð	9999	Q	200 200 200 200 200	Q	Ş	8888
Stum stum	£	S	2 2 2 2	Q	2222	Š	9999	<u>R</u>	<u> </u>	Q	8	2555
Magne- slum	2,400	5,600	2,700 2,800 2,700 2,500	11,000	8,100 8,500 8,100 7,700	1,400	3,800 4,200 4,100 3,500	4,800	5,500 5,800 5,400 5,500	3,600	37,000	2,100 1,900 2,100 2,300
Nemon Ness	1	2	2828	R	28 8 8 8	2	2222	2	8 2 2 8	S	2	5 t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t
Sodium	4,800	2,800	40,000 39,000 39,000 38,000	11,000	16,000 15,000 15,000 15,000	2,700	14,000 14,000 14,000 13,000	11,000	17,000 17,000 18,000 16,000	9,700	20,000	23,000 25,000 24,000 23,000
Table 1	₽	S	2222	<u>R</u>	8888	₽	8888	₽	2222	8	₽	2222
- Vene m dium	4	2	2222	Q.	5555	<u>R</u>	9999	8	2222	8	S.	2222
1 5	3											

Table A-7 Continued. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Pledmont-Blue Ridge Stations. Part A: Station Identification, Date of Sampling, Field Parameters, VOCs, Anlons, and Non-Metals.

Station No. County	Well Name	Well Depth C	Cesting Depth Well Stae feet Inches	Well Stze Inches	Date	£	cond.	des 02 mg/L	Temp C	VOCS	D Yell	SO4	m figh	NO.	ם
GWN-P33 Elbert	Cecchirl Bored Well	47	S S	S S	1/23/2019 4/4/2019 7/25/2019	NA 7.10 6.85	75 25 26	7.87 5.20 5.74	17.72 17.06 18.28	222	222	윤우	222	23 43	0.05
GWN-P34 Columbia	Mistletce State Perk Cottage Area Well	NG C	9	S S S S S	2/20/2019 5/14/2019 8/21/2019 11/21/2019	5.50 6.39 6.42	2 2 2 2 2	6.44 6.40 5.58	17.86 18.19 18.62 18.04	<u> </u>	2222	5545	2222	0.45 0.43 0.80	0.08 0.08 0.18 0.07
GWN-P35 Franklin	О'Соттог Well	<u>8</u>	NG	S S	1/23/2019 4/4/2019 7/25/2019 10/10/2019	NA 7.23 7.18 7.01	86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 8	1.71 5.33 1.35 1.40	16.83 17.35 17.14	<u> </u>	2222	2222	\$ \$\$\$	2222	S O O S
GWN-P37 Habersham	Mt. AkyCity Hall Well	200	S S	8 9	442019 442019 7725/2019 10/10/2019	8.03 6.11 6.14	85 25 95 85 25 25 85 25 25 85 25 25 85 25 25 85 25 25 85 25 25 85 25 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85	6.81 5.25 6.28 4.42	17.26 16.58 16.73 16.53	<u> </u>	2888	***	2222	8.1.6 0.1.6 0.1.0	2222
GWN-P36 Cerroll	Roopvile Well \$1	230	NG W	SNG NG	4/17/2019	5.19	8	6.32	17.85	9	2	2	ž	1.9	9
GWN-P39 Marwethar	Gey Well #1	009	9 N	9	4/17/2019	6.30	29	≨	17.64	2	2	2	≨	Þ	90.0
GWN-P40 Greene	Stoam Well #2	300	9	S S	6/14/2019	6.15	78	621	19.02	9	2	2	≨	2	90.0
GWN-P43 Lamar	Reeves House Well	2	9 N	DN DN	4/18/2019	5.98	R	26.8	16.71	chloroform=6.4	9	2	≨	0.43	2
GWN-P44 Marfwedter	Warm Spring at FD Rocsevelt SP	0	9 N	NG	7725/2019	6.96	8	≨	29.80	9	9	S	≨	0.24	Q
GWNP45 Frankin	Wison Family Well	8	9 N	9	7/25/2019	6.32	10	11.45 9.82	16.74 16.58	Q Q	25	22	≨ ≨	0.25	0.07
GWN-COU1 Columbia	Windy Acres Mobile Home Park Well #1	081	SN N	DN D	4/3/2019	7.16	123	0.90	19.42	QN	2	Q	ş	9	0.16
GWN-COUZ Cohumbia	Grövebown Well #1	D N	9 N	9	4/3/2019	7.60	148	ş	20.30	9	2	50	ž	Š	0.29
GWN-COU3 Columbia	Hartem Well #1	250	S S	NG	4/3/2019	7.05	168	0.93	20.01	Ð	2	2	¥	2	0.14

Table A-7 Continued. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Piedmont-Blue Ridge Stations. Part B: Metals.

Station No.	GWN-P33 Elbert	GWN-P34 Columbia	GWN-P35 Franklin	GWN-P37 Habersham	GWN-P38 Carroll	GWN-P39 Merfwether	GWN-P40 Greene	GWN-P43 Lamar	GWN-P44 Meriweither	GWN-P45 Franklin	GWN-COU1	GWN-COUZ Columbia	GWN-COU3 Columbia
O Marie	999	2222	2222	8888	8	Q	2	2	Z	2 2	2	2	2
N G	255	2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2	9999	2	2	₽	2	9	25	8	2	9
Chro- Note Cop- mism of per unt unt unt	17 13 13	5.2 S S	9999	8 8 8 8	2	2	2	S	2	7.1 13	Q.	6.1	9
	± 5 5	34 10 28 34		¥ ¥ ¥ ¥	12	_	5	11	2	₩ ₩	Š	4	190
Zinc Arsen ic ugit, ugit.	255	2222	2222	2222	S	2	2	S	8	22	2	2	£
Selent First Age	2 2 2	2222	2222	2222	Š	8	2	2	2	<u> </u>	Ø	2	2
	222	9999	2222	2 2 2 2	9	Ş	2	2	2	25	2	2	2
Motyb- Silver Cad- denum uph ugil. ogh.	555	2222	8888	2222	£	S	2	Q.	<u>N</u>	₽ ₽	Š	Ñ	2
mum mum	555	2222	2222	2222	2	2	2	Q.	Q.	99	2	S S	2
Tings III	222	2222	2222	9999	2	2	2	2	2	22	2	2	2
And-	222	<u> </u>	2222	2555	Š	<u>S</u>	<u>N</u>	S	Q	용용	Š	2	2
Bartum	2 2 2	28 28 33	***	8822	x	\$	ន	21	8	2 23	8	828	93
	222	2555	<u> </u>	2555	Š	웆	2	2	2	22	Š	2	2
ug/L	67 77	33 33 34 34	2222	5 5 5 Z	2	2	1:	2	2	22	2	2	2
F maga	\$ \$\$	S S 5	9999	\$ \$ \$ \$	¥	≨	S	≨	¥	2 ₹	§	≨	≨
Abmit num unit	35 33 34 34 34	2222	9999	9999	2	2	82	€	£	2 8	8	200	2
deryt ug/L	222	2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2	2222	2	2	2	2	2	울 물	Q	Q	S
Calcium	22,000 24,000 25,000	2,500 2,700 17,000 2,500	21,000 22,000 21,000 20,000	31,000 31,000 31,000 42,000	2,700	4,900	8,700	7,900	20,000	10,000	9,500	13,000	16,000
8 M M	222	2222	9999	2222	2	2	2	2	2	99	8	9	2
hon ug/L	22 38	2222	5 4 2 2 4 2 5 4 5 5 4 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	8218	2	2	2	970	ĸ	22	098	3,100	2,300
Potas- skm	555	9999	6,800 7,100 6,700 6,800	9999	2	Q.	Š	Q.	Q	88	O	S	Q
- Magne-	222	1,800 2,000 7,700 1,700	6,400 6,900 6,100 6,400	8,100 8,700 7,900 9,900	2	1,300	1,300	Q	11,000	3,100	3,600	2,700	1,800
e- Merge-	888	5 5 <u>5</u> 4	2000	7447	8	2	N O	8	QN O	22	5	1,000	320
B Sodkum	3,400	5,600 5,700 15,000 5,400	7,200 7,300 7,400 7,700	14,000 10,000 8,700 8,600	4,800	6,700	9,200	4,400	2,100	7,000	7,100	0 15,000	14,000
								_					
The Vene- mium dium	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	2222	2222		2	Q	2	N Q	Z Q	22	<u>2</u>	12 ND	S S
è 5 %	999	2	2222	2222	₽	£	ę	Ş	Q	99	Ð	۵	۵

Table A-7 Continued. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Pledmont-Blue Ridge Stations. Part A. Station Identification, Date of Sampling, Field Parameters, VOCs, Anions, and Non-Metals.

Chatter Ma	Natural Reserve	And the Personal		MAN COLOR		ŀ	- P	100		The state of the s					
County	0 Cal 14001100	foot	feet feet	Inches	sampled	5.	uS/cm	mg/L	ပ	ugt.	o y	mp/l.	mgit.	mg N/L	mark
GWN-COU4 Columbia	Tradewinds Merina Well	NG	NG	NG	4/3/2019	6.71	378	1.15	18.43	MTBE=1.4	Ð	9	ž	0.08	0.03
GWN-ELB1 Elbert	Beaverdam Mobile Homa Park Well #1	280	NG	NG	1/23/2019	ž	378	1.74	17.48	Q	QN	110	ş	<u></u>	0.08
GWN-FAY1 16.8 Fayette	Lone Oek Well	NG	NG	NG	9725/2019	7.32	272	0.79	18.51	QN	Q	\$	ş	Q	9
GWN-FRA1 Franklin	Victoria Bryant State Peak Well #101	NG	SN SN	NG	4/16/2019	6.11	18	\$	16.37	totusne=0.81	Q	2	ž	0.34	90.0
GWN-HAL1 Hall	Leisara Laio Vilogo Wei #1	380	SM SM	NG	8/11/2019	6.32	170	423	28.53	QN	QN	9	¥	3.5	0.07
GWN-HAS1 Harris	Valley Inn Well	NG	NG	NG	3721/2019	6.48	147	3.33	18.79	QN	2	2	≨	0.06	90'0
GWN-HAS2 Harrie	F D Roosevelt State Park Spring	0	≨	ž	3/21/2019	4.28	‡	ş	16.39	QN	Q	Q	ş	Q	Q
GWN-MAD1 Madison	Re Well #1	090	NG	NG	4/16/2019	721	171	1.06	17.81	QN	QN	5	ž	Q	0.04
GWN-STE1 Stephens	Lake Harbor Shores Well #4	378	SN N	NG	4/16/2019	6.36	138	7.02	17.31	QN	Q	Q	ž	0.28	0.04
GWN-UPS1 Upson	County Village Well #13	NG	NG	NG	3/21/2019	7.77	108	2.51	18.71	chloroform = 1.6	QN	9	ž	0.08	90.0
GWN-WAS3 Washington	Hamburg State Park	200	NG	NG	2/20/2019	7.63	235	1.08	18.73	QN	13	Q	¥	2	9
GWN-WHI1	Sweetwater Coffee House	NG	NG	NG	6/5/2019	6.48	8	5.73	16.11	QN	Q	Q	ž	97.0	90.0
GWN-WKEI WEGGS	Raylo #1	NG	NG	NG	11/21/2019	6.37	126	0.99	18.19	Q	4	2	ž	3.6	0.00
GWN-BR1B Towns	Young Harris/ Swamson Road Well	286	NG	NG	3/7/2019 6/5/2019 6/11/2019 12/4/2019	6.58 7.15 6.63 7.09	081 1471 178	N 1.73 1.73 1.34 1.34	16.28 16.41 16.57	N N N N	2222	ន្ទន	\$ \$\$\$	0.04 0.06 0.06 0.04	2222
GWN-BR5 Murray	Chatsworth Nix Spring	0	Ā	×	3/8/2019	520	3	§	13.05	QN	QN	QN	ş	0.32	0.03
GWN-BR6 Towns	Young Harris College Well	NG	NG	NG	6/5/2019	5.70	88	7.80	20.17	QN	Q	Q	≨	1,5	2

Table A-7 Continued. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Piedmonf-Blue Ridge Stations. Part B: Metals.

- Varia	_	Q Q	QN Q	QN	QN Q	QN Q	QN Q	QN C	ON C	Q.	QN O	<u>N</u>	<u>Q</u>	QN C	2222	<u>Q</u>	Q
<u>≱</u>	ğ	Q.	8	N P	2	2	£	S	2	Ş	8	2	S	2	9999	2	9
Sodlum	ugt	18,000	17,000	17,000	4,500	5,800	7,500	Ñ	10,000	7,500	6,500	21,000	8,500	12,000	4,100 3,700 4,000 4,000	2,000	5,500
Manga	ngy	310	Ş	8	ន	22	120	Š	8	2	Q	280	2	2	8 ± 6 4	£	Ŋ
Magne	10n	6,600	5,800	3,600	1,100	6,700	2,200	S	4,200	5,300	3,800	2,800	1,800	1,800	5,000 4,500 4,700 4,800	2	2,100
Potas-	1195	Q	9	Ñ	Š	Š	Ø	Q	Ñ	2	8	₽	Q	Q	2555	2	8
<u>ē</u>	11Bit	200	9	180	2	4,800	140	9	910	Q	Ñ	58	1,700	₽	2 5 5 5 5 5 5	2	2
8 8	Tight.	2	8	S	2	Š	₽	2	9	2	N	N	2	Ş	<u> </u>	2	2
Calclum	ogy	54,000	47,000	32,000	4,700	17,000	19,000	9	20,000	12,000	24,000	28,000	8,500	14,000	23,000 21,000 22,000 23,000	2,800	5,800
Beryl	100	2	₽	S	2	8	2	9	<u>N</u>	2	2	<u>Q</u>	2	2	<u> </u>	S	2
A E	ugil	2	2	N	2	2	2	Q	2	2	9	2	2	9	2222	250	9
다 타 드	100	≨	2	≨	9	≨	≨	¥	≨	≨	≨	2	¥	ž	ND ND 24.4	§	Q
Lead	J/Gr	Q	2	2	2.5	4.3	9	2	2	2	2	2	2	9	9999	9	12
That mile	ng/l	2	S	2	2	2	2	9	2	§	2	2	2	2	9999	<u>Q</u>	Š
Bartum	T/On	9.8	8	4	7	2	±	7	5.8	8	42	25	8	28	8882	2	3
	1001	2	Q	S	2	2	2	Q	2	Q	õ	9	₽	2	2 2 2 2	S	£
£		2	2	S	윤	2	2	₽	2	2	2	2	9	9	2222	2	2
Ceach Thirm	Age.	2	2	2	2	2	2	£	2	2	2	9	2	2	2222	2	9
Silve	No.	2	2	Ð	2	윤	2	<u>R</u>	£	2	2	2	8	2	2222	2	£
Motyte- denum	1000	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	₽	9	9999	2	9
107	S.	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	₽	9999	Q	Q
Zinc Arsen-	9	2	9	2	9	2	9	<u>Q</u>	9	2	2	2	2	2	2222	₽	9
		2	28	9	720	2	2	8	2	2	2	2	2	9	9999	9	Q
g F	ig.	ì	2	g	2	17	2	₽	2	8	8	Ş	9	Q	9999	Q	5.4
क कू	THE STATE OF	2	9	Q	₽	£	2	9	£	9	2	<u>Q</u>	9	Q.	9999	Ð	₽
	100	2	9	g	Ð	2	9	Ð	9	Q	9	9	Q	2	2222	Q	9
- ON	1	Columbia	GWN-ELB1 Elbert	GWN-FAY1 18.8 Fayette	GWN-FRA1 Franklin	GWN+IAL1 Hall	GWN-HAS1 Harris	GWN+IAS2 Harris	GWN-MAD1 Madison	GWN-STE1 Stephans	GWN-UPS1 Upson	GWN-WAS3 Washington	GWN-WHI1	GWN-WICE1 WRK88	GWN-BR1B Towns	GWN-BR5 Multay	GWN-BRB Towns

Table A-7 Continued. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Piedmont-Blue Ridge Stations. Part A. Station Identification, Date of Sampling, Field Parameters, VOCs, Anions, and Non-Metals.

Station No.	Well Name	Wed Depth	pth Cashig Depth Well Size	Wed Size	Dake	돐	cond.	dss 02	Temp	VOCS	ਠ	808	L	Š	۵
County		160et	feet	inches	poldures		mS/cm	mg/L	ပ္ခ	ugit	Tight.	mph	mgit	mg N	mpf.
GWN-BR7 Pictons	Jasper Spring	0	NG.	9	6/5/2019	5.70	2	≨	15.49	9	9	2	ş	13	2
GWN-BR8 Rabun	Goldmine Landing Well	NG.	S N	9	9/11/2019	6.32	8	7.00	14.14	N Q	2	2	ş	₽	9
GWN-BR9 Gilmer	Jacobs House Well	NG	NG	NG	9/11/2019	7.57	118	3.26	17.78	Q	Ð	Q	£	Q	0.04
GWN-TOW1 Towns	Brasstown Bald Spring	•	ž	ş	3/7/2019	4.70	5	ž	9.46	Q	Q	2	ž	0.57	9
GWN-UNI1 Union	Bryant Cove Well #2	909	84	ON	377/2019	6.40	101	ž	15.76	9	Ş	9	≨	2	0.04
	Aquifor Low Range Aquifor High Range Aquifor Modian (ND=0) Aquifor Meen (ND=0)					4.28 7.91 6.48 6.54	41 8 42 5 14 5 14 5 14 5 14 5 14 5 14 5 14 5	0.63 11.45 3.65 4.05	9.46 29.80 17.77 17.80		0 2 0 c	o 8 o £		0 0.24 0.8	0.029

Table A-7 Continued. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Piedmont-Blue Ridge Stations. Part B: Metals.

Station No.	A Marie	हें इ	g g	Chro- Nick- Cap- Zinc Arsen- mlum el per lo	Arsen- to	Select Final	Malyb- denum	_	S E	Ē	And-	Sliver Cad- Thr Anti- Bantum That- Lead	<u> </u>	_		3 5	3004	Calchim	8 1	Ē	Potes	Megne	Manga-	Sodium	# :	Vana
County	Lun.	US/L	tight.	upt	ndı.	not	100	900	ODL	until.	nart, to	of.	O'U	No.	1/0/1	ugit	100	Lon	Ħ	, Jon	NOV	- Villa	10%	, rest	val	l la
GWN-BR7 Pickens	2	2	9	2	9	9	£	₽	2	Q	9	8	Q	9	Ð	Q	9	7,000	£	Q	₽	3,300	2	2,700	₽	£
GWN-BR8 Rebum	Q	2	2	2	웃	Q	2	2	2	2	Ð	7.6	9	2	2	2	2	2,200	9	2	9	ð	Ş	1,800	₽	2
GWN-BR9 Gilmer	2	2	2	11	2	Q	Q	2	Ş	2	₽	6	Ð	9	9	200	Q	15,000	9	290	9	2,100	6	6,600	8	Q
GWN-TOW1 Towns	2	2	2	Q	2	2	Q	2	2	Q	9	*	Q.	2	¥	9	2	1,000	2	Q	2	Q	ક	1,100	₽	2
GWN-UNH Union	2	₽	2	5	£	2	2	2	2	2	Q	63	Q.	9	¥	9	2	13,000	Q	Ş	Q	1,500	2	7,100	9	2
Aquifer Low Range Aquifer High Range Aquifer Median (ND=0) Aquifer Mean (ND=0)	6 6 8 6 1											o % 2 %					4	0 190,000 17,000 25,718		0 4,800 0 247		0 37,000 3,550 4,345	00,1 81 81	0 40,000 8,550 11,358		

Table A-8. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Valley-and-Ridge/Appalachian Plateau Stations. Part A: Station Identification, Date of Sampling, Field Parameters, VOCs, Anions, and Non-Metals.

Station No.	Well Name	Well Depth	Well Depth Casing Depth Well Size	Well Size	Ode	£	-	dis 02	aug.	NOCS	8	8	-	NON	
County		Teet	feet	nches	sambled		uS/cm	may	p	Upf.	mpl	mp/L	Tight.	Mg PM	mpil
GWNLVR1 Flayd	Floyd County Kingston Road Well	280	Ð	NG	6/18/2019	7.84	287	8.26	16.09	QN	g	S	ž	0.71	₽
GWN-VR2A Walker p	Laf-ayetta Lower Big Spring	•	9N	Ű	6/18/2019	7.30	308	ş	17.53	QN	Q	2	ş	3.	2
GWN-VR3 Walker	Chickenneuge Grawfish Spring	0	Ø.	NG	6/18/2019	7.19	Z76	§	15.17	CN	2	Q	¥	99'0	2
GWN-VR6A Bartow	Chemical Products Corp. South Well	300	SN	S S	348/2019	7.61	283	£	18.85	1,1 - dichlorosthylene = 1.5	2	2	≨	0.90	0.02
GWN-VR8 Polk	Cederbwn Spring	•	NG	ĐN.	3/6/2019 6/16/2019 9/26/2019 12/4/2019	7.32 7.52 7.32 7.80	25 28 25 27 28 28 25 27 28 28 28	222	16.16 16.32 16.35 16.43	2222	2 2 2 2	2222	\$\$\$\$	0.29 0.75 0.75 0.78	<u> </u>
GWN-VR10 Murray	Eton Spring	0	NG	9	3/6/2019	6.89	254	5.30	15.88	Ç	Q	2	§	9:	Q
GWN-VR12 Floyd	Cave Spring	0	NG	NG NG	6/18/2019	7.7	212	ž	15.64	9	2	9	≨	0.33	2
	Aquifor Low Range Aquifor High Range Aquifor Median (ND=0) Aquifor Mean (ND=0)					6.89 7.77 7.42 7.42	212 306 271 289	6.83 6.83 6.83	15.17 18.85 16.24 16.44		0000	0000		0.33 0.82 0.91	0.00

Table A-8. Groundwater Quality Analyses for Valley-and-Ridge/Appalachian Plateau Stations. Part B: Metals.

Station No.	d S	NGF	S	Zhc	Chro- Nick- Cap- Zinc Arsen-	Seten	_	Shra	Mohits Shand Carl	E	Amil	Renting		t	-	ſ	-									
County	Mary I	S IN	and the	unt	og Va	日期		Ng/L	mum	100		ual	T Jos		e E		L L		8 I	<u>6</u>	of the	Magne	Paris Paris	Sodum		A Pare
GWN-VR1 Floyd	2	Š	<u>Q</u>	₽	£	2	Ş	₽	2	ş	Ð	=	1	1 .	≨	1	4	31,000	9	₽	2	18,000	9	1,900	9	9 9
GWN-VR2A Walker	2	₽	9	<u>N</u>	2	9	2	2	2	2	9	36	₽	₽	≨	2	2	42,000	£	Q	Q	15,000	2	1,700	S	₽
GWN-VR3 Walker	2	2	9	₽	2	문	Ş	S	Ş	문	Ş	8	Ð	2	≨	S	Q	36,000	S	Ş	9	17,000	Q	1,400	₽	웆
GWNLVR6A Bertow	2	S	2	S	2	2	2	2	2	9	Q	460	9	9	≨	2	9	30,000	₽	Ω Ω	<u>Q</u>	17,000	2	9,500	S	2
GWN-VR8 Polk	2222	9999	2 2 2 2 2	2222	2222	2222	9999	<u> </u>	2 <u>2 2 2</u>	2	9999	4 5 ± 5	2222	2222	\$ \$\$\$	2222	2222	33,000 35,000 33,000 35,000	2555	8555	9999	15,000 17,000 15,000 15,000	2222	1,500 1,600 1,500 1,500	<u> </u>	2222 222 222 222 222 222 223 223 223 22
GWN-VR10 Mutay	€	2	2	₽	2	2	2	2	2	9	9	<u>va</u>	2	2	≨	2	9	31,000	9	9	9	14,000	2	1,900	2	웆
GWN-VR12 Floyd	9	2	8	Ŷ	2	2	2	2	2	2	9	=	Ş	2	≨	Ð	2	26,000	문	Ş	Ş	13,000	9	9	S	9
Aquifer Low Range Aquifer High Renge Aquifer Median (ND=Aquifer Meen (ND=0)	Îs											± 8					4 45 85	28,000 42,000 33,000 33,200		0801		13,000 18,000 15,000	0000	0 9,500 1,600 2,230		

Table A-9. Analytes, EPA Analytical Methods, and Reporting Limits.

Analyte	Reporting Limit/ EPA Method	Analyte	Reporting Limit/ EPA Method
Vinyl Chloride	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	Dichlorodifluoro- methane	0.5 ug/L / 524.2
1,1-Dichloro- ethylene	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	Chloromethane	0.5 ug/L / 524.2
Dichloromethane	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	Bromomethane	0.5 ug/L / 524.2
Trans-1,2- Dichloroethylene	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	Chloroethane	0.5 ug/L / 524.2
Cis-1,2- Dichloroethylene	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	Fluorotrichloro- methane	0.5 ug/L / 524.2
1,1,1-Trichloro- ethane	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	1,1-Dichloroethane	0.5 ug/L / 524.2
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	2,2-Dichloropropane	0.5 ug/L / 524.2
Benzene	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	Bromochloro- methane	0.5 ug/L / 524.2
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	Chloroform	0.5 ug/L / 524.2
Trichioroethylene	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	1,1-Dichloropropene	0.5 ug/L / 524.2
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	Dibromomethane	0.5 ug/L / 524.2
Toluene	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	Bromodichloro- methane	0.5 ug/L / 524.2
1,1,2-Trichloro- ethane	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	Cis-1,3-Dichloropro-	0.5 ug/L / 524.2
Tetrachloroethylene	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	Trans-1,3- Dichloropropene	0.5 ug/L / 524.2
Chlorobenzene	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	1,3-Dichloropropane	0.5 ug/L / 524.2
Ethylbenzene	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	Chlorodibromo- methane	0.5 ug/L / 524.2
Total Xylenes	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	1,2-Dibromoethane	0.5 ug/L / 524.2
Styrene	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	1,1,1,2- Tetrachloroethane	0.5 ug/L / 524.2
o-Dichlorobenzene	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	Bromoform	0.5 ug/L / 524.2
o-Dichlorobenzene	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	Isopropylbenzene	0.5 ug/L / 524.2
1,2,4-Trichloro- cenzene	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	1,1,2,2- Tetrachloroethane	0.5 ug/L / 524.2

Table A-9, Continued. Analytes, EPA Analytical Methods, and Reporting Limits.

Analyte	Reporting Limit/ EPA Method	Analyte	Reporting Limit/ EPA Method
Bromobenzene	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	Total Phosphorus	0.02 mg/L / 365.1
1,2,3-Trichloro- propane	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	Fluoride	0.20 mg/L / 300.0
n-Propylbenzene	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	Silver	10 ug/L / 200.7 (ICP)
o-Chlorotoluene	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	Aluminum	60 ug/L / 200.7
1,3,5-Trimethyl- benzene	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	Arsenic	80 ug/L / 200.7
p-Chlorotoluene	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	Barium	10 ug/L / 200.7
Tert-Butylbenzene	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	Beryllium	10 ug/L / 200.7
1,2,4-Trimethyl- benzene	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	Calcium	1000 ug/L / 200.7
Sec-Butylbenzene	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	Cadmium	10 ug/L / 200.7
p-Isopropyltoluene	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	Cobalt	10 ug/L / 200.7
m-Dichlorobenzene	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	Chromium	20 ug/L / 200.7
n-Butylbenzene	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	Copper	20 ug/L / 200.7
1,2-Dibromo-3- chloropropane	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	Iron	20 ug/L / 200.7
Hexachlorobutadi- ene	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	Potassium	5000 ug/L / 200.7
Naphthalene	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	Magneslum	1000 ug/L / 200.7
1,2,3-Trichloro- benzene	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	Manganese	10 ug/L / 200.7
Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	0.5 ug/L / 524.2	Sodium	1000 ug/L / 200.7
Chloride	10 mg/L / 300.0	Nickel	20 ug/L / 200.7
Sulfate*	10 mg/L / 300.0	Lead	90 ug/L / 200.7
Nitrate/nitrite*	0.02 mg/L as Nitrogen / 353.2	Antimony	120 ug/L / 200.7

Table A-9, Continued Analytes, EPA Analytical Methods, and Reporting Limits.

Analyte	Reporting Limit/ EPA Method	Analyte	Reporting Limit/ EPA Method
Selenium	190 ug/L / 200.7	Selenium	5 ug/L / 200.8
Titanium	10 ug/L / 200.7	Molybdenum	5 ug/L / 200.8
Thailium	200 ug/L / 200.7	Silver	5 ug/L / 200.8
Vanadium	10 ug/L / 200.7	Cadmium	0.7 ug/L / 200.8
Zinc	20 ug/L / 200.7	Tīn	30 ug/L / 200.8
Chromium	5 ug/L / 200.8 (ICPMS)	Antimony	5 ug/L / 200.8
Nickel	10 ug/L / 200.8	Barium	2 ug/L / 200.8
Copper	5 ug/L / 200.8	Thaillum	1 ug/L / 200.8
Zinc	10 ug/L / 200.8	Lead	1 ug/L / 200.8
Arsenic	5 ug/L / 200.8	Uranium	1 ug/L / 200.8

^{*} Note: Reporting limits for sulfate and nitrate/nitrite are subject to change. A sample with a concentration of either analyte greater than certain ranges may need to be diluted to bring the concentration within the analytical ranges of the testing instruments. This dilution results in a proportional increase in the reporting limit.

Table A-10. Analytes, Primary MCLs (A), and Secondary MCL (B).

Analyte	Primary MCL	Second- ary MCL	Analyte	Primary MCL	Second ary MC
Vinyl Chloride	2 ug/L	None	p-Dichlorobenzene	75 ug/L	None
1,1-Dichloro- ethylene	7 ug/L	None	o-Dichlorobenzene	600 ug/L	None
Dichloromethane	5 ug/L	None	1,2,4-Trichloro- benzene	70 ug/L	None
Trans-1,2- Dichloroethylene	100 ug/L	None	Chloroform (1)	Total 1,2,3,4 = 80 ug/L	None
Cis-1,2- Dichloroethylene	70 ug/L	None	Bromodichloro- methane (2)	Total 1,2,3,4 = 80 ug/L	None
1,1,1-Trichloro- ethane	200 ug/L	None	Chlorodibromo- methane (3)	Total 1,2,3,4 = 80 ug/L	None
Carbon Tetrachloride	5 ug/L	None	Bromoform (4)	Total 1,2,3,4 = 80 ug/L	None
Benzene	5 ug/L	None	Chloride	None	250 mg/L
1,2-Dichloroethane	5 ug/L	None	Sulfate	None	250 mg/L
Trichloroethylene	5 ug/L	None	Nitrate/nitrite	10 mg/L as Nitrogen	None
1,2-Dichloro-propane	5 ug/L	None	Fluoride	4 mg/L	2 mg/L
Toluene	1,000 ug/L	None	Aluminum	None	50 -200 ug/L
1,1,2-Trichloro- ethane	5 ug/L	None	Antimony	6 ug/L	None
Tetrachloroethylene	5 ug/L	None	Arsenic	10 ug/L	None
Chlorobenzene	100 ug/L	None	Barium	2000 ug/L	None
Ethylbenzene	700 ug/L	None	Beryllium	4 ug/L	None
Total Xylenes	10,000 ug/L	None	Cadmium	5 ug/L	None
Styrene	100 ug/L	None	Chromium	100 ug/L	None

Table A-10, Continued. Analytes, Primary MCLs (A), and Secondary MCLs (B).

Analyte	Primary MCL	Second- ary MCL	Analyte	Primary MCL	Second- ary MCL
Copper	Action level = 1,300 ug/L(C)	1000 ug/L	Selenium	50 ug/L	None
Iron	None	300 ug/L	Silver	None	100 ug/L
Lead	Action level = 15 ug/L(C)	None	Thallium	2 ug/L	None
Manganese	None	50 ug/L	Zinc	None	5,000 ug/L
Nickel	100 ug/L	None	Uranium	30 ug/L	None

Notes:

- (A) Primary MCL = Primary Maximum Contaminant Level, a maximum concentration of a substance (other than lead or copper) allowed in public drinking water due to adverse health effects.
- (B) Secondary MCL = Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level, a maximum concentration of a substance suggested for public drinking water due solely to unpleasant characteristics such as bad flavor or stain-causing ability.
- (C) Action Level = the maximum concentrations of lead or copper permitted for public drinking water as measured at the user's end of the system. Water issuing from at least ninety percent of a representative sample of user's end outlets must contain copper or lead concentrations at or below their respective action levels.

mg/L = milligrams per liter.

ug/L = micrograms per liter.

